OCEANS AS MAJOR RESERVOIRS OF PROTEIN

M. Robin Anderson

Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Canada

Richard B. Rivkin

Ocean Sciences Centre, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada

Keywords: Marine productivity, capture fisheries, marine aquaculture, food web, trophic transfer, methylmercury, protein production, fisheries yields, seafood, fishmeal

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Oceanic Primary Production
- 3. Capture Fisheries
- 3.1. Current Production and Trends of Marine Fisheries
- 3.2. Potential Production of Marine Fisheries
- 3.3. Environmental Risks of Marine Fisheries
- 4. Marine Aquaculture
- 4.1. Current Production and Trends of Marine Aquaculture
- 4.2. Potential Production of Marine Aquaculture
- 4.3. Environmental Risks of Marine Aquaculture
- 5. Oceans as a Source of High-Quality Protein
- 5.1. Potential for Human Nutrition
- 5.1.1. Benefits
- 5.1.2. Risks
- 5.2. Potential for High Quality Animal Feeds
- 5.2.1. Benefits
- 5.2.2 Risks
- 6. Conclusions
- Acknowledgments

Glossary

Bibliography

Biographical Sketches

Summary

The ocean is currently perceived as a limitless and generally pristine source for food resources. This presumption is largely false and has lead to the current near-crisis state of many of the wild-capture fish stocks. In this article we will demonstrate that while fish do provide an excellent source of high quality protein, many fisheries have reached or exceed sustainable levels. It is unlikely that wild fisheries yield will increase substantially, and likely that future increases in food production will come from improved fisheries management or utilization of by-catch and other wasted or discarded product. Production from marine aquaculture is increasing rapidly, and the eventual sustainable yield of this emerging agro-industry cannot yet be predicted. However, concomitant with the spread of marine aquaculture are increasing concerns about the

potential for environmental impact and degradation resulting from nonsustainable culture practices. As the industry matures, better husbandry practices and improved performance of farmed stock will improve the economic and environmental sustainability of marine aquaculture. Nevertheless, marine aquaculture, as it is presently practiced, is resource and energy-intensive, with products targeting high-cost specialty markets. If this trend continues into the future, marine aquaculture will not contribute significantly to the alleviation of protein deficiencies in the developing world.

1. Introduction

The world oceans cover vast extents of the surface of the globe and humans have long considered them to be a pristine and boundless reservoir of resources and a limitless dumping ground for all manner of wastes. Increasing evidence of environmental degradation and declining yields of many wild fisheries are symptoms of the fallacy of this belief. While the oceans can provide a source of high-quality protein, marine resources are not limitless and their exploitation must be managed with caution and care to ensure continuing environmental and economic sustainability.

2. Oceanic Primary Production

Net primary production in the oceans (50 to 55 Pg C y⁻¹; 1 Pg = 10^{15} g) is about the same as on land (55 to 60 Pg C y⁻¹), however since the standing stock of plant biomass is in the ocean is 500- to 1000-fold smaller than on land (450 to 500 Pg C), carbon turns over on the scale of days in the ocean compared with tens of years on land. Less than 10% of oceanic primary production is harvested (aquaculture and wild fisheries) providing 6% of the global human protein requirement. This contrasts with a harvest of 35–40% of terrestrial primary production to provide the remaining 94% of human protein requirements. The plant biomass that sustains the terrestrial and oceanic food webs that feed humankind differs, by nearly three orders of magnitude. In addition, most of the terrestrial plant production that is channeled into animal protein occurs on cropland where the plant biomass is ~3 Pg C and production is 4 to 7 Pg C y⁻¹. Thus, the role of land-based protein production is even greater, per unit plant production and biomass, than global values indicate.

Ocean resources utilized by humans include wild or capture fisheries and marine aquaculture. Exploitation of ocean production has increased steadily at a rate of 1.85 metric tonnes (mt) per year over the past 50 years to almost 110 x 10^6 mt wet weight during 1999 (Figure 1). Capture fisheries increased to approximately 85 x 10^6 mt by the mid-1980s and have since remained relatively stable. The contribution of marine aquaculture to total ocean harvest has increased from less than 5% to over 20% during the past 30 years. Including aquatic plant production, marine aquaculture now produces 25×10^6 mt per year. Capture fisheries provide fish for both human consumption and for production of fishmeal and other components of livestock food. Fishmeal and oil production accounts for approximately 35% (30 x 10^6 mt) of the capture fisheries may now be at their maximum sustainable level, rapid increases in aquaculture production are anticipated to continue the trend in increased ocean yields for direct human consumption.



Figure 1. Global production of marine aquaculture and capture fisheries in metric tonnes (mt) x 10⁶ per year from 1970 to 1999. Data are from FAO FishStat databases for 1950–99 (capture fishery) and 1970–99 (aquaculture).

3. Capture Fisheries

3.1. Current Production and Trends of Marine Fisheries



Figure 2. Marine production (aquaculture plus capture fishery) by ocean in metric tonnes (mt) x 10^6 per year from 1950 to 1999. Data are from the FAO FishStat database for 1950–99.

The rate of increase in global production from capture fisheries has slowed considerably since the 1950s. Since the 1970s, production from the Atlantic Ocean, which then represented 40% of the total, has remained relatively stable (Figure 2), while production from the Pacific Ocean sector increased steadily until the late 1980s, and now represents almost 65% of total landings. Indian Ocean production has also increased and now provides about 10% of marine landings. Production from other oceanic regions has remained at less than 1% of the total over this time.

For the world's 200 major fisheries, which account for over 75% of total landings, 35% are considered to be senescent (show a declining yield), 25% are mature (plateauing at high exploitation levels) and 40% are developing (show an increasing yield). This suggests that at best and with careful management, only 40% of the major fisheries have the potential for increased harvest, and these may yet be offset by overexploitation of mature fisheries and further decline of senescent ones.

When compared with terrestrial production systems, ocean harvest tends to be energetically inefficient. As production at one trophic level is consumed by the next, only a portion is incorporated into biomass. The rest is metabolized, excreted, or defecated. As a result, in long food chains, only a small fraction of solar energy (or biomass of primary producers) is incorporated into biomass at the top trophic levels. Transfer efficiency between trophic levels is often estimated to be on the order of 10%. On land, the majority of protein production comes directly from plants or from herbivores (that is, trophic levels 1 and 2), whereas in the ocean, most protein production comes from higher trophic levels. Currently, fishers harvest 3% of total production from trophic levels 2–3, 42% of production from trophic levels 3–4, and 38% of production from trophic levels 4 and above.

Upper trophic level species have long been a preferred target of fishers. However, as ecosystems react to intense fishing pressure on the upper trophic levels, fishers have been obliged to concentrate on lower trophic levels. This practice termed "fishing down the foodweb," is considered an indicator of significant changes to marine fish communities in consequence of fishing activity. As a result, the average trophic level harvested in most oceanic regions has been declining, and the global average trophic level harvested is now about 3. This is still two levels above most terrestrial producers.

Over the past decade, it has been recognized that by-catch and discards may account for a significant and unreported component of wild fishery catches. By-catch is the capture of non-target species or size classes captured during a directed fishery. The by-catch is often dumped or discarded at sea and is usually not reported as part of the harvest. As a result, accurate estimates of by-catch have been difficult to obtain. First estimates of world by-catch made in 1994 were between 17 and 40 x 10^6 mt. More recent estimates place this at 20 x 10^6 mt, equivalent to 20% of the total reported catch. As understanding that ocean production is not limitless has grown, major initiatives have been undertaken to reduce by-catch and to properly document the resultant losses. By-catch varies greatly depending on the type of fishery, the gear used, and management practices. For some fisheries (artisanal and subsistence fisheries for example) it is close to zero, while for others (trawling for shrimp and other cragonids) it may equal to or exceed the catch of the target species. Recent efforts to reduce by-catch include

modifications to gear (for example, mesh size of nets), to species retention practices (limitations of high grading and increased use of multiple species), and to fishing practices (management practices and reporting practices). These have significantly reduced by-catch in some fisheries. For example, the yellowfin tuna fishery in the eastern tropical Pacific has reduced the number of dolphins killed from hundreds of thousands to fewer than 3000 per year by implementing gear modifications and operational changes, while the Gulf of Mexico shrimp fishery has reduced the number of sea turtles killed by use of turtle exclusion devices on the trawls.

- -
- -
- _

TO ACCESS ALL THE **17 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

Bibliography

Behrenfeld M. J., Randerson J. T., McClain C. R., Feldman G. C., Los S. O., Tucker C. J., Falkowski P. G., Field C. B., Frouin R., Esaias W. E., Kolber D. D. and Pollack N. H. (2001). Biospheric primary production during an ENSO transition. *Science* **291**, 2594–2597. [This paper uses satellite information to provide a comparison of simultaneous ocean and land net primary production responses to a major El Niño to La Niña transition.]

Field C.B., Behrenfeld M.J., Randerson J.T., and Falkowski P. (1998). Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science*, **281**, 237–240. [This reference provides recent estimates of primary productivity for terrestrial and oceanic systems.]

Finfish Information Network (FIN) http://www.gafta.com/fin/fin.html [This website provides information on the harvesting and processing of industrial fish for fishmeal and fishoil as well as some of the benefits associated with their use in animal feeds.]

Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations http://www.fao.org/ [This website provides direct access to worldwide data on capture fisheries and aquaculture productivity as well as information on food resources and their availability. FAO publications lists can also be accessed from this website. Many of these are now available online.]

FAO Fisheries (2000). *The State of the World Fisheries and Aquaculture (SOFIA) 2000*. 160pp. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/003/X8002E/X8002E00.htm [This text provides a comprehensive review of the status of capture fisheries and aquaculture. It also addresses some of the possible improvements to ensure that harvesting of ocean resources moves toward environmental and economical sustainability.]

Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations Fisheries (FAO/Fisheries (2001), *FishStat+* downloadable software and databases. http://www.fao.org/fi/statist/FISOFT/FISHPLUS.asp [A set of fishery statistical databases downloadable to personal computers together with a data retrieval, graphical and analytical software. This product provides more detailed data than those contained in the FAOSTAT/WAICENT online database. Datasets include: Aquaculture production: quantities, values; Capture production; Total production; Trade and production of fishery products; Eastern Central Atlantic capture production; Mediterranean and Black Sea capture production.]

International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management (ICLARM). (1998). *FishBase 98*. CD ROM, http://www.iclarm.org/ ICLARM, Philippines. [This is the fourth and newest release of ICLARM's comprehensive database on finfish. Contains over 20 000 of the 25 000 known finfish species. It has over 54 000 names; new FAO catch data (1950–1996); aquaculture data (1984–1996) and introductions data; over 15 000 pictures and over 12 000 references. The classification of higher taxa now follows Eschmeyer (1998). The other major improvements are the inclusion of Eshmeyer's (1998) Catalog of Fishes databases (all original descriptions of fishes) and new analyzing FAO catch data at the country level, aside from several new graphs and reports.]

Moore G. and Jennings S. (eds.) (2000). *Commercial Fishing: The Wider Ecological Impacts*, 66pp. Cambridge UK: British Ecological Society, Blackwell Science/Cambridge University Press. [This book presents a synthesis of current studies on the environmental effects of fishing activities including impacts on marine habitats, biodiversity and species of conservation concern.]

National Research Council (1999). *Sustaining Marine Fisheries*. 164 pp. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press, http://www.nap.edu/catalog/6032.html [This book presents a review of the current state of marine fisheries, fisheries management practices and fisheries science with the objective of making recommendations to improve the sustainable management fisheries and marine ecosystems.]

National Research Council (2000). *Toxicological Effects of Methylmercury*. 344 pp. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press. [This book synthesizes current knowledge of the toxicology of mercury in all its forms with particular emphasis on methylmercury.]

Pauly D. and Christensen V. (1995). Primary production required to sustain global fisheries. *Nature* **374**, 255–257. [This paper provides calculations of the primary productivity required to sustain global fisheries based on regional estimated of primary production, FAO catch statistics and trophic models.]

Pauly D., Christensen V., Dalsgaard S., Froese R. and Torres F. (1998). Fishing down marine food webs. *Science* **279**, 860–863. [This article puts forth the premise that fisheries of today are harvesting fish from increasingly low trophic levels. The authors provide evidence for this from fisheries around the world and discuss the consequences and resulting concerns.]

NACA/FAO (2000). *Report of the Conference on Aquaculture in the Third Millennium*. 120pp. Bangkok, Thailand. NACA, Rome: FAO. http://www.fao.org/fi/meetings/aq2000/tech_proc/third_mill.asp [This report synthesizes on both global and regional bases the current status of aquaculture in both freshwater and marine systems and provides an assessment of its potential productivity and its contribution to increasing world food security.]

Biographical Sketches

M. Robin Anderson is a Marine Habitat Research Scientist at the Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Centre of the Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans in St. John's Newfoundland. She obtained her Ph.D. in Biology (ecology of freshwater aquatic plants) at McGill University, Montreal and her M.Sc. (ecology of kelp in the St. Laurence Estuary) and B.Sc. in Biology at Laval University, Quebec City. Dr. Anderson's research program focuses on the effect of human activities on the marine environment. Her current projects include the environmental effects of finfish and shellfish aquaculture, mercury in marine foodwebs, impacts of marine tailings disposal, and of offshore oil production. She also carries out research on the role of microzooplankton in carbon and nutrient cycling in the oceans and is currently an investigator in the Canadian Surface Ocean Lower Atmosphere Study (SOLAS).

Richard B. Rivkin is a Professor at the Ocean Sciences Centre of Memorial University of Newfoundland in St. John's, Newfoundland. He obtained his Ph.D. in Biology at the University of Rhode Island and his M.Sc. and B.Sc. in Biology at the City College of New York. Dr. Rivkin's research focuses on addressing large-scale questions about the transformation of organic matter in the sea, biogeochemical cycling of carbon and the role of microbial trophic pathways in regulating the biological pump in the World Oceans. Dr. Rivkin has been a program leader in several large Canadian research networks studying carbon cycling in polar and temperate oceans. His research interests include nutrient metabolism, photoadaptations of photosynthesis, carbon metabolism and cell division of phytoplankton from natural populations in temperate, tropical and polar oceans, the relationships among primary producers and procaryotic and eucaryotic microheterotrophs, and the regulation of biogenic carbon cycling. He has also applied research programs studying the impacts of human activities such as shellfish aquaculture and produced water from offshore oil platforms on planktonic communities.

		SS
	AL'O	
,5		
2 PM		