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Summary

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) models have become one of the most widely applied tools of statistics for investigating multifactor data. It is a statistical technique for analyzing measurements depending on several kinds of effects operating simultaneously, to find out which kinds of effects are important and to estimate these effects. Such theory of analyzing measurements is naturally very useful in experiment design. The analysis of covariance is a generalization of regression analysis as well as analysis of variance for analyzing simultaneously the qualitative effects of some factors and the quantitative effects of the other factors.

1. Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance is a statistical technique for analyzing measurements, quantitative results of observations and experiments depending on several kinds of effects (factors) operating simultaneously, to decide which kinds of effects are important and to estimate the effects (factors). A suitable theory of analyzing results of measurements, observations, and experiments naturally has useful application in experiment design.
The methodology of analysis of variance, which was originally developed by Sir Ronald A. Fisher, is concerned with the investigation of the factors probably having significant effects, by suitable choice of experiments. The main technique consists in an isolating procedure of the variations associated with different factors or defined sources. Mathematically this is a suitable partitioning of the total sample variance that means a partitioning of the total sum of squares.

In this way the procedure involves division of total observed variations in the data into individual components attributable to various factors and those due to random effects, and tests of significance to find out which factors influence the experimental results.

2.1 Fixed Models

1.3.1. One-Way Classification

The one-way classification (also called one-way layout) refers to the comparison of the means of several (univariate) populations. Considering an experiment having \( m \) treatment groups or \( m \) different levels of a single factor \( A \) one supposes \( n_i \) observations have been made at the \( i \)-th level giving a total of \( N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \) observations (cf. Experiment Design (I)). If \( y_{ij} \) is the observed score corresponding to the \( j \)-th observation at the \( i \)-th level or treatment group, the analysis of variance model for such an experiment is given as

\[
y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m; \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_i)
\]

where \( \mu \) (\( \mu \)-real number) is a common (or general) mean common to all the observations, \( \alpha_i \) is the special effect due to the \( i \)-th level of the considered factor and \( \varepsilon_{ij} \) is the realization of a random error associated with the \( j \)-th observation, at the \( i \)-th level or treatment group. Without loss of generality one assumes \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \alpha_i = 0 \) (in the other case one transforms \( \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \alpha_i \rightarrow \mu \).
The $N(= n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_m)$ observed or measured values $y_{ij}$ are considered as realizations of $m$ mathematical samples

$$(Y_{11}, Y_{12}, \ldots, Y_{1n_1}), \quad (Y_{21}, Y_{22}, \ldots, Y_{2n_2}), \ldots, (Y_{m1}, Y_{m2}, \ldots, Y_{mnm}).$$

Thus (1) implies

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \sigma_i + e_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m; \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_i) \quad (2)$$

where $\mu$ and $\alpha_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, m$) are (generally unknown) real parameters. Moreover, it is assumed that $e_{ij}$ are random normally distributed independent variables with the expected value $E(e_{ij}) = 0$ (that means, the random influence is a non-systematic one) and the variance $\text{var}(e_{ij}) = \sigma_i^2$ ($\sigma > 0$) (that means, the variability of the random influences is constant).

With these propositions the model (2) has the following matrix structure

$$Y = X^T \beta + E \quad (3)$$

with

$$(Y_{11}, \ldots, Y_{1n_1}, Y_{21}, \ldots, Y_{2n_2}, \ldots, Y_{m1}, \ldots, Y_{mnm})$$

$$X^T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}$$

and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m$</th>
<th>$y_{m1}$</th>
<th>$y_{m2}$</th>
<th>$\cdots$</th>
<th>$y_{mm}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 1. Experiment Design (I)
\[
E = \begin{pmatrix}
E_{11} \\
\vdots \\
E_{1n_1} \\
E_{21} \\
\vdots \\
E_{2n_2} \\
\vdots \\
E_{m_1} \\
\vdots \\
E_{mn_m}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Using the least squares method, that means

\[
|Y - X^T \beta|^2 \to \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}}
\]

or component-wise

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} (Y_{ij} - \mu - \alpha_i)^2 \to \min_{\mu, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}},
\]

with the condition \(\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \alpha_i = 0\) one obtains the following estimates \(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_m\) of \(\mu, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\) respectively:

\[
\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}.
\]

\[
\hat{\alpha}_1 = \bar{Y}_1 - \bar{Y}.
\]

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\hat{\alpha}_m = \bar{Y}_m - \bar{Y}.
\]

with

\[
\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_j} Y_{ij}
\]

\[
\bar{Y}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m).
\]

The so-called analysis of variances table has the following form (Table 2)
Source of Variation | Degrees of Freedom | Sum of Squares | Mean square | Expected Mean Square |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between treatment groups</td>
<td>$m - 1$</td>
<td>SQA</td>
<td>$\frac{SQA}{m - 1}$</td>
<td>$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i \alpha_i^2}{m - 1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within treatment groups</td>
<td>$N - m$</td>
<td>SQR</td>
<td>$\frac{SQR}{N - m}$</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$N - 1$</td>
<td>SQG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analysis of Variances

with

$$SQA = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i (\bar{Y}_{i*} - \bar{Y}_*)^2$$

(8)

$$SQR = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ik} - \bar{Y}_{i*})^2$$

(9)

$$SQG = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ik} - \bar{Y}_*)^2$$

(10)

and

$$SQG = SQA + SQR$$

(11)

For testing the hypothesis $H_0: \alpha_1 = \ldots = \alpha_m = 0$ one uses the quotient $\frac{MQA}{MQR}$ as a (test) statistic. If the hypothesis $H_0$ is true, then the statistic is $F$-distributed with $(m - 1,N - M)$ degrees of freedom. That means the identity of the $m$ treatment means $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$ can be statistically verified by a test based on the comparison of the mean square between the treatment groups and the mean square within the treatment groups.
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