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Summary

In this paper we exposit investment models in discrete and continuous time with a special emphasis on solution methods. In a first part, we describe the early work of Markowitz and Tobin. We define and solve the mean-variance portfolio selection problem and formulate the two-fund separation theorem. Multiperiod discrete-time models are investigated by stochastic dynamic programming. For continuous-time portfolio problems, we explain two solution methods: stochastic control techniques and the martingale approach. In particular, we derive optimal portfolios for special HARA-utilities. The last section identifies some extensions and further models.

1. Introduction

The heart of investment models is the selection of an optimal set of financial assets. An investor endowed with a given amount of income has to decide how many shares of which asset he should hold at which time instant in order to maximize his wealth at the time horizon \(T\) and/or his expected utility of consumption during the time interval \([0, T]\). The main objective of investment analysis is to provide optimal decisions for such portfolio selection problems.

The earliest approach for solving the portfolio selection problem is the so-called mean-variance formulation. It was pioneered by Markowitz (1952, 1959) and Tobin
(1958) and is only suited for one-period problems. It still has great importance in real-life applications and is widely applied in risk management departments of banks. The problem of multiperiod portfolio selection was proposed in the late sixties and early seventies by (among others) Mossin (1968), Samuelson (1969) and Fama (1970) in a discrete-time setting. The work of Merton (1969, 1990) must be regarded as the real starting point of continuous-time portfolio theory.

In what follows we will distinguish investment models in discrete and continuous time. In section 2, we describe the mean-variance approach. Section 3 is devoted to discrete-time multiperiod portfolio selection, section 4 deals with solution methods for continuous-time models.

2. Mean-Variance Portfolio Selection

In the formulation of the mean-variance portfolio, we use the following notation: $x$ is a vector whose components denote the weight or proportion of the investor’s wealth allocated to the $n$ assets in the portfolio. Obviously, the sum of these weights is equal to 1. $e$ is a vector of ones. $r$ is the vector of expected returns of the $n$ assets, where it is assumed that not all elements of $r$ are equal, and $Q$ is the $n \times n$ covariance matrix. We assume that $Q$ is nonsingular. This essentially requires that none of the asset returns be perfectly correlated with the returns of any portfolio made up of the remaining assets; and that none of the assets or portfolios of the assets be riskless. Note that $Q$ is symmetric and positive definite being a covariance matrix. Finally, $r_p$ is the investor’s target return.

2.3 Markowitz Model

Following Markowitz (1952) and Tobin (1958) the portfolio selection problem can be stated as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad x^T Q x \\
\text{subject to} & \quad x^T r = r_p \\
& \quad x^T e = 1
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

In problem (1), we minimize the portfolio variance subject to two constraints: first, the portfolio mean must be equal to the target return $r_p$, and second, the portfolio weights must sum to unity, which means that all wealth is invested. Technically, we minimize a quadratic function subject to linear constraints. Since $x^T Q x$ is strictly convex, the problem (1) has a unique solution and we only need to consider the first-order conditions (see Nonlinear Programming).

Consider the Lagrange function of (1)

\[
L = x^T Q x - \lambda_1 (x^T r - r_p) - \lambda_2 (x^T e - 1).
\]

(2)

The first-order conditions are
\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 2Qx - \lambda_1 r - \lambda_2 e = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = r_p - x^T r = 0
\]
\[
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 1 - x^T e = 0
\]

Solving for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we obtain
\[
x = \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1}(\lambda_1 r + \lambda_2 e) = \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1}[r e][\lambda_1 \lambda_2]^T
\]
\[
= Q^{-1}[r e]A^{-1}[r_p 1]^T
\]

Where
\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r^T Q^{-1} r & r^T Q^{-1} e \\ r^T Q^{-1} e & e^T Q^{-1} e \end{pmatrix}
\]

Then \( x \) is called a minimum variance portfolio. Note that \( x \) is linear in its expected return \( r_p \). The result of this analysis can be stated as:

**Theorem 2.1**

Let \( Q \) be the positive definite covariance matrix, and \( r \) the vector of expected returns of the \( n \) assets where it is assumed that not all elements of \( r \) are equal. Then the minimum variance portfolio with given target return \( r_p \) is unique and its weights are given by (6).

Substituting (6) into the definition of the portfolio variance yields
\[
\sigma_p^2 := x^T Q x = [r_p 1]A^{-1}[r_p 1]^T
\]
\[
= \frac{a - 2b r_p + c r_p^2}{ac - b^2}.
\]

In (8) the relation between \( \sigma_p^2 \) and any given expected return \( r_p \) is expressed as a parabola and is called the minimum variance portfolio frontier. The set of portfolios having the highest return for a given variance is called set of mean-variance efficient portfolios. The global minimum variance portfolio is the portfolio with the smallest possible variance for any expected return. Its expected return \( r_g \) is given by \( r_g = \frac{b}{c} \) and its variance \( \sigma_g^2 \) is equal to \( \frac{1}{c} \). The weights of the global minimum variance portfolio are
\[ x_g = \frac{Q^{-1} e}{c}. \]  

Equation (6) shows a two-fund separation theorem, that the minimum variance portfolio frontier can be generated by any two distinct frontier portfolios.

**Theorem 2.2** (Two-fund separation)

Let \( x_a \) and \( x_b \) be two minimum variance portfolios with expected return \( r_a \) and \( r_b \) respectively, such that \( r_a \neq r_b \).

a. Then every minimum variance portfolio is a linear combination of \( x_a \) and \( x_b \).

b. Conversely, every portfolio, which is a linear combination of \( x_a \) and \( x_b \), is a minimum variance portfolio.

c. In particular, if \( x_a \) and \( x_b \) are minimum variance efficient portfolios, then \( \alpha x_a + (1 - \alpha) x_b \) is also a minimum variance efficient portfolio for \( \alpha \in [0, 1] \).

Only two portfolios are sufficient to describe the entire efficient set. It is of practical interest to select two portfolios whose means and variances are easy to compute. One such portfolio is the global minimum variance portfolio \( x_g \). The other efficient portfolio could be

\[ x_m = \frac{Q^{-1} r}{b} \]

with expected return \( r_m = \frac{a}{b} \) and variance \( \sigma_m^2 = \frac{a}{b^2} \).

---
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