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Summary

Considerable progress has been made in the field of antiviral drugs. However, current therapies, especially combination of antiretrovirals, are too expensive to be affordable in developing countries and are suffering from the emergence of drug resistance. Taking into account the large number and structural diversity of currently available plant constituents, the plant kingdom remains an exciting source for new antiviral agents. This review focuses on plant-derived compounds possessing activity against Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), and Influenza Virus. If known, special attention is given to their mechanism(s) of action and cytotoxicity.

1. Introduction

Since the mid 1990s, we have witnessed a remarkable growth in the development of antiviral therapies for Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) and Influenza Virus infections. Nevertheless, there is a constant medical need for new antiviral drugs, since these are not always fully efficacious or well-tolerated and since drug-resistance is rapidly emerging. Moreover, most of the marketed antiviral drugs, especially the antiretrovirals, are too expensive to be used in developing countries, which still have to rely to a large extent on traditional medicine. It should be emphasized that traditional medicine may offer an inexhaustible source of biologically active compounds, and with respect to this review, of potentially new antivirals. Research on antiviral natural products is mainly focused on plants, since, among other reasons, they can be selected on the basis of their ethnomedicinal use. The ethnomedical use of plants, known to contain highly active antiviral constituents, is listed in Table 1 and the importance of this strategy is clearly demonstrated by the increasing number of reviews on antiviral plant products. This review intends to critically evaluate the current state of the art on plant-derived antiviral substances.

2. The plant kingdom as source of new antiviral agents

2.1. Opportunities and challenges

The plant kingdom is undoubtedly a successful source of drug leads. This has resulted in the use of a large number of medicinal plants to treat various diseases, and several
drugs in western medicine are based on the traditional use of such drugs. Well-known examples are taxol (paclitaxel) and camptothecin derivatives (topotecan and irinotecan) as antitumor agents (see Plants as a Source of Anti-Cancer Agents) and artemisinin derivatives (artemether and artesunate) as antimalarial agents (see Traditional Medicinal Plants for the Treatment and Prevention of Human Parasitic Diseases). Approximately one-third of the top-selling drugs in the world are natural products or natural product derivatives, indicating a higher hit rate for natural products compared to synthetic chemicals. A prevailing impression is that the plant kingdom has already been thoroughly examined for biologically active molecules. However, this is not the case, since the number of different plant species is estimated at over a quarter of a million, and only 10% have been tested for some type of biological activity. In addition, natural compounds offer structural diversity that is not rivaled by the creativity or synthetic ingenuity of medicinal chemists.

Despite this positive outlook, many large pharmaceutical companies have stopped exploring natural resource collections for several reasons. Firstly, because of concerns about the ‘intellectual property’ status of leads from natural resources. Secondly, because natural compounds do not fit well into modern high throughput screening (HTS) strategies. Extracts are generally seen as too difficult to assay or too time-consuming to be competitive with chemical collections. Furthermore, known structures are frequently ‘re-discovered’ or if new lead compounds can be isolated, medicinal chemists are often reluctant to derive optimized drugs due to structural complexity and/or many chiral centers. Therefore, screening of extracts and subsequent isolation and characterization of biologically active compounds have been passed on to smaller companies or academia and involves a multidisciplinary team consisting at least of a pharmacognosist and a virologist. Furthermore, as estimated by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature, we are steadily losing a number of plant species each day. Recognizing that still very little is known about the biodiversity on Earth, high priority must therefore be given to preservation and inventorization of species, supported by ethnobotanical and ethnomedical information.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family Name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Traditional use</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Active substances</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anacardiaceae</td>
<td><em>Rhus javanica</em> L.</td>
<td>Gastric and duodenal ulcer and empyema</td>
<td>Japan, China</td>
<td>Moronic aid, Betulonic acid</td>
<td>Terpenes</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berberidaceae</td>
<td><em>Podophyllum peltatum</em> L. and <em>P. emodi</em> Wall.</td>
<td>Condylomata acuminata</td>
<td>USA, Canada, India</td>
<td>Podophyllin</td>
<td>Lignans</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae</td>
<td><em>Momordica charantia</em> L.</td>
<td>Bitter tonic, antipyretic, antirheumatic, antihelmintic and laxative</td>
<td>China, India, Thailand</td>
<td>MAP30</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosanthes kirilowii Maxim.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mid-term abortion and treatment of choriocarcinoma</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Trichosanthin</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cupressaceae</td>
<td><em>Cupressus sempervirens</em> L.</td>
<td>Vascular diseases</td>
<td>Mediterranean countries</td>
<td>Proanthocyanidin polymer fraction</td>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephedraceae</td>
<td><em>Ephedra sinica</em> Stapf.</td>
<td>Common cold, fever, cough</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Catechin, ...</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbiaceae</td>
<td><em>Croton lechleri</em> Muell.-Arg.</td>
<td>Wound healing, anti-inflammatory, antiviral and antitumor properties</td>
<td>Colombia, Ecuador, Bolivia and Peru</td>
<td>12-deoxyphorbol 13-phenylacetate</td>
<td>Phorbol esters</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euphorbia poissonii Pax.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prostratin</td>
<td>Phorbol esters</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homolanthus nutans Baill.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Diverse medicinal purposes</td>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>Lectin</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ricinus communis L.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Laxative</td>
<td>China, Vietnam</td>
<td>Lectin</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guttiferae</td>
<td><em>Calophyllum lanigerum</em> Miq. var. austrocoriaceum</td>
<td>Wounds</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Calanolide</td>
<td>Coumarins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypericaceae</td>
<td><em>Hypericum perforatum</em> L.</td>
<td>Depression and mental illness</td>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>Hypericin</td>
<td>Naphthoantrones</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labiatae</td>
<td><em>Scutellaria baicalensis</em> Georg.</td>
<td>Common cold, conjunctivitis, enteritis, burns</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Isoscutellarein and isoscutellarein-8-methyl ether</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leguminosae</td>
<td><em>Glycyrrhiza glabra</em> L.</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory, antipyretic and laxative activities</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Glycyrrhizin</td>
<td>Terpenes</td>
<td>Influenza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phaseolus vulgaris L.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lectin</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meliaceae</td>
<td><em>Melia azedarach</em> L.</td>
<td>Anthelmintic, antilithic</td>
<td>China, India</td>
<td>Meliacine</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Traditional use of plants used for the isolation of antiviral active substances and discussed in this review.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Genus and Species</th>
<th>Traditional Uses</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Active Constituents</th>
<th>Pathogens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Menispermaceae</td>
<td>Stephania cepharantha Hayata</td>
<td>Inflammatory diseases, asthma bronchiale and alopecia areata</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Cepharanthine</td>
<td>HIV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FK-3000, cephakicine</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HIV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HSV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moraceae</td>
<td>Maclura cochinchinensis (Lour.) Corner</td>
<td>Chronic fever, skin infection and abnormality of the lymph nod</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Morin</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrsinaceae</td>
<td>Maesa lanceolata Forsk.</td>
<td>Hepatitis and bacillary dysentery</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>Maesasaponin V3</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Syzygium aromaticum Merr. et Perr.</td>
<td>Allergic disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td>Molucena</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eugentin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosaceae</td>
<td>Geum japonicum Thunb.</td>
<td>Diarrhea, tonic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargassaceae</td>
<td>Sargassum horneri (Turner) C. Agardh</td>
<td>Savory food</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fucan sulphate</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simarubaceae</td>
<td>Quassia Africana Baill.</td>
<td>Antidiysenteric and antidiarrhoeal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Simalikalactone D</td>
<td>HSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Terpenes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Traditional use of plants used for the isolation of antiviral active substances and discussed in this review.
2.2. Selection of plants

Four discovery approaches for new (antiviral) agents from plants can be envisaged: (1) random collection of plants followed by mass screening; (2) selection based on ethnomedical uses; (3) follow-up of existing literature leads and (4) chemotaxonomic approaches. Approaches (2) and (3) would seem to be the most cost-effective for finding plants with antiviral properties. Information on ethnomedical use of plants is well-documented in Ayurveda, Unani, Kampo, and traditional Chinese medicine. They have flourished as traditional medical systems and have the following elements of credibility: (1) they have a long historical written documentation, (2) they are based on theories, (3) they are based on well-organized educational systems, and (4) they are frequently revised based on scientific research. In Africa and South America, ethnomedical use of plants is less recorded. The plants that are used are often kept secret by the traditional healer, so that there is less scientific evidence. In this case, it is necessary to determine which part of the plant is used, how it is conserved, its posology, and how it is prepared.

A comparative study between random and ethnobotanical collections of plants for in vitro anti-HIV activity showed a five-times higher percentage of active leads for the ethnobotanical collection. On the other hand, the screening of extracts from randomly collected plants may produce more novel substances. When the capacity of a screening operation is limiting, selection of plants based on a combined analysis of ethnomedical, phytochemical, taxonomical, and toxicological data should be preferred.

2.3. Preparation of plant extracts

Once the plant is selected, the next step is its collection and botanical identification. It is important that plant collection involves a professional botanist who rigorously documents with appropriate voucher specimens, photographs, and written notes on collection site, season, status of the plant, etc. Stabilization is usually obtained by drying the plant material at ambient temperature in a shady place. The dried or stabilized plant material should then be powdered and subjected to a suitable extraction process.

Plant extracts are generally prepared by maceration or percolation of dried powdered plant material with water or organic solvents. Sometimes, a fractionation of the total extract is carried out prior to testing in order to separate polar from non-polar compounds and acid and neutral from basic substances. It is advisable to extract the plants and to dry the extracts at low temperature to avoid destruction of thermo-labile (antiviral) constituents. Non-polar extracts can be dissolved in organic solvents, such as dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), and in methanol or ethanol. Since most plant extracts and purified compounds are readily soluble in DMSO, stock solutions of test samples can be prepared in 100% DMSO and stored until use. An added advantage is that DMSO eliminates microbial contamination of the test samples, so that sterilization by autoclaving, filtration or other methods is not necessary anymore. In view of automation and integrated high-capacity screening, choosing a single solvent for the stock solutions becomes an advantage. To avoid interference in in vitro cell-based test systems, the in-test concentration of DMSO should preferably not exceed about 1%.

For purification and isolation, the active plant extracts are further fractionated and each fraction is evaluated in an antiviral assay (see section 3), called bioassay-guided
fractionation. Two key-elements in a successful bioassay-guided fractionation are dereplication and selectivity. When screening natural products it is essential to identify the active constituent(s) at an early stage to avoid isolation of molecules with known structure and known activity. This ‘dereplication’ prevents a group from wasting resources by rediscovering known compounds. The combination of literature search and analytical power, such as GC-MS and HPLC with diode array detection, is a prerequisite before any bioassay-guided fractionation should be initiated. In anti-HIV and anti-HSV screenings, removal of sulfated polysaccharides and tannins from plant extracts must be considered. Tannins interfere with enzyme- and receptor-based inhibition assays giving false-positive results. However, caution should be taken with such an approach, as some leads could theoretically be missed. Once a new compound has been isolated and identified, it must be fully evaluated for its biological activity and toxicity. An important criterion for activity is selectivity of action, and this can only be investigated if the antimicrobial screens are run in an integrated manner, i.e. against a broad panel of related (other viruses) and unrelated organisms (bacteria, fungi, and parasites).
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