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Summary
This chapter discusses the efforts and difficulties to accommodate and integrate the diversity of disciplines and goals that compose the field of ethnopharmacology. Perspectives and future trends on ethnopharmacology research are discussed, including advances in laboratory and clinical sciences, the meaning of traditional dietary prohibitions and prescriptions, research implications of dosage schedules used for traditional remedies, ecological factors, ethical and socioeconomic issues and the multicontextual use of plants.

1. Introduction: Defining Ethnopharmacology

A primary difficulty in projecting a future for ethnopharmacology is to identify the objectives of a largely virtual field whose self-identified membership represents a diverse suite of academic and applied disciplines, as well as commercial interests. In earlier times ethnopharmacology was an endeavor characterized more by folkloristic than scientific inquiry; today it is heavily represented among published investigators trained in Pharmacology, Anthropology, Botany, and Pharmacognosy. Contributions are made as well by historians of science, clinicians, ethnographers, agronomists, biochemists, researchers in veterinary medicine, and others. This multivocality frustrates efforts to harmonize objectives and integrate methodologies; at the same time, it creates a dynamic tension that encourages dialogue.
1.1. The International Society for Ethnopharmacology and the Journal of Ethnopharmacology

This essay is part of that dialogue and projects the perspective on ethnopharmacology that was articulated in the formation of the International Society for Ethnopharmacology (ISE). This perspective is reinforced in the objectives of the organization’s journal, which serves as a gauge of what ethnopharmacology constitutes. The *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* (JEP) was inaugurated in 1979 with a statement of mission that defined ethnopharmacology as “a multidisciplinary area of research concerned with the observation, description, and experimental investigation of indigenous drugs and their biological activity.” The statement of scope emphasized the balance and breadth of disciplinary representation across a range of natural and social sciences:

*The Journal of Ethnopharmacology will publish original articles concerned with the observation and experimental investigation of the biological activities of plant and animal substances used in the traditional medicines of past and present cultures. The journal will particularly welcome interdisciplinary papers with an ethnopharmacological, an ethnobotanical, or an ethnochemical approach to the study of indigenous drugs. Reports of anthropological and ethnobotanical field studies fall within the journal’s scope. Studies involving pharmacological and toxicological mechanisms of action are especially welcome.*

2. Objectives of Ethnopharmacology Research

Mission statement aside, after the first few years of the journal’s existence, the majority of articles published in the JEP were not interdisciplinary. In view of the highly skewed over-representation of pharmacology and pharmacognosy in the contents, one could argue that the JEP failed to establish the unique position it sought among journals concerned with natural products. Privileging the pharmacologic assessment of plants reproduces the Euro-American traditions of imperial expansion that have been fueled by the imagination that indigenous populations can only benefit from the transposition of western ideologies and technology. Embedded in this is the, at least implicit, notion that medicines become meaningful only when validated by pharmacologic inquiry. In the spirit of an integrated ethnopharmacology, pharmacology should instead serve as another lens through which to understand how people manage resources and health. On the other hand, a positive outcome has been methodological advances in the bioscientific exploration of traditional medicines that identified active compounds, with the objective to refashion indigenous medicines into suitable “new” botanical drugs or isolate compounds to develop as entirely new drugs.

2.1. Critical Reviews and Challenges for an Integrated Ethnopharmacology

Since the early 1990s, various critical reviews of the field of ethnopharmacology challenged researchers to strive for a more holistic, theory-driven, and culture- and context-sensitive study of the pharmacologic potential of (largely botanical) species used by indigenous peoples for medicine, food, and other purposes. The foundation of these critiques is that much of what is reported as ethnopharmacologic research is comprised by decontextualized catalogues of plants and lists of phytoconstituents and/or pharmacological
properties. While this work is technically-competent bioscience, it lacks synthesis—only a very small percentage of ethnopharmacology researchers reflect on the range of botanicals, the environments from which they are drawn, and the diverse chemistries they embody. Also, there is little incremental growth of knowledge within and beyond this corpus of evidence. Intellectually and substantively, each study is a stand-alone. Many laboratories are satisfied to report the results of the 15 or 20 species examined and then, rather than build on that knowledge, test the therapeutic potential of another group of plants against the same, or even a different, biological target. Few researchers in ethnopharmacology seem to be interested in the people whose knowledge and identity are embodied in these plants. While some studies are based on plants drawn from indigenous pharmacopoeias, most of what is published as ethnopharmacology has a weak, if any, ethnographic component. While laboratory-exclusive studies provide valuable baseline data, they disappoint from the standpoints of both practice and theory—a small percentage of researchers seek to make order of the iterative lists of active plants and their constituents, and fewer still offer theoretical advances. Further, only a small number of these studies offer insights into the experience of real people in specific cultural and eco-political settings, or project the findings against some higher level of abstraction that helps us to understand human-plant interactions. On the basis of these critiques, as well as deliberations within and outside the ISE, in 2001 the JEP Board issued a revised mission statement to underscore the importance of integrated, theory- and issue-driven research in ethnopharmacology:

*The Journal of Ethnopharmacology publishes original articles concerned with the observation and experimental investigation of the biological activities of plant and animal substances used in the traditional medicine of past and present cultures. The journal will particularly welcome interdisciplinary papers with an ethnopharmacological, an ethnobotanical or an ethnochemical approach to the study of indigenous drugs. Reports of anthropological and ethnobotanical field studies fall within the journal’s scope. Studies involving pharmacological and toxicological mechanisms of action are especially welcome. Clinical studies on efficacy will be considered if contributing to the understanding of specific ethnopharmacological problems. The journal also welcomes review articles in the above mentioned fields especially on novel methodologies relevant to disease states.*

The ISE President’s editorial stressed the importance of addressing the social and political implications of research design and application, especially for indigenous peoples whose knowledge and resources have been appropriated in the course of natural products development, which largely benefits the West. It was further emphasized that ethnopharmacology research should be understood within the broader context of biodiversity conservation, sustainable resource management, and intellectual and biological property rights. This revised statement better reflects contemporary circumstances and globalization processes in which indigenous people’s ideologies and material cultures become increasingly commoditized and politicized on a scale of global proportions. This broad, integrated vision of ethnopharmacology has substantial potential for scholarly and practical advances in the next decades. It remains to be seen whether this is a mandate that will shape research into the future, or whether—as has occurred in the past—this statement resonates the collective culture of the ISE but not necessarily the individuals who identify with that entity.
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