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Summary

Population models typically describe patterns of mortality, fertility, marriage, divorce, and/or migration, and depict how those behaviors change the size and age structure of populations over time. Demographic behavior in fixed rate, one-sex populations is quite well understood by means of existing demographic and actuarial models, principally the stationary population (life table), stable population, and multistate models. Progress has been made on “two-sex” marriage and fertility models, though no consensus has been achieved. Work since the mid 1970s has led to a better understanding of timing effects and the relationship between period and cohort measures over time. Generalizations of the stable model that allow changing vital rates are now available, but such dynamic
modeling remains in a relatively early stage.

1. Introduction

Mathematical models of population date back at least to 1662, when John Graunt used parish records of birth and death to describe the demography of London, England and present the first example of a life table. Fundamentally, population models are elaborations of the basic differential equation

\[ P' = mP \]  

(1)

where \( P \) represents the number in the population of interest; \( P' \) is the time derivative of \( P \), i.e., how the size of the population changes over time; and \( m \) is a force of transition, or an instantaneous rate (or probability) of change with respect to the demographic behavior of interest.

The most common demographic behaviors are birth, death, marriage, divorce, and migration, though models have frequently been applied to many other topics, including educational enrollment, labor force status, and disability status.

Population models have the great advantage of being logically closed, that is population size and composition (or stock) at one time can be found from the population stock at an earlier time and the demographic events (or flows) that occurred between those time points. The classic expression of that closure is the so-called Vital Statistics Balancing Equation which, ignoring age and sex, can be written

\[ P(t+1) = P(t) + B(t) - D(t) + I(t) - O(t) \]  

(2)

where \( P(t) \) is the size of the population of interest at the beginning of year \( t \), \( B(t) \) is the number of births during year \( t \), \( D(t) \) is the number of deaths during year \( t \), \( I(t) \) is the number of in-migrants during year \( t \), and \( O(t) \) is the number of out-migrants during year \( t \). Fertility, mortality, and migration constitute the core demographic processes.

Most demographic models employ two significant simplifications. The first is that the models are deterministic, i.e., that they ignore chance fluctuations and focus on expected values of population behavior. For example, the same rates of death always have the same impact on survivorship, with no chance (or stochastic) variability. The second simplification is that within the categories specified, population heterogeneity is ignored. Thus in a model that only recognizes age and sex, all persons of the same age and sex are at equal risk of experiencing an event (i.e., of “transferring”).

Population models are rooted in Markov processes, and embody the Markovian assumption that a person’s risk of transfer depends only on current characteristics, with past experiences having no effect. While those assumptions are generally counterfactual, their practical importance varies greatly.
2. Life Table Models

2.1 Life Table Structure and Functions

The basic life table model follows a birth cohort to the death of its last member. More formally, there is only one living state, and the only recognized transfers are exits (decrements) from that state. At any exact age \( x \), basic differential Eq. (1) can be written in the form

\[
m(x) = -P'(x)/P(x)
\]

which defines \( m(x) \), the force of mortality (or decrement) at exact age \( x \), to be minus the derivative of the natural logarithm of the change in the number of persons at exact age \( x \). Since the size of the life table cohort decreases monotonically, it is customary to use a minus sign so that the force [often written as \( \mu(x) \)] is positive. Let the initial size of the life table cohort, referred to as its radix, be designated by \( l(0) \).

Then Eq. (3) can be integrated to show that \( l(x) \), the number of survivors to exact age \( x \), is given by

\[
l(x) = l(0)e^{-\int_0^x m(y)dy}
\]

Equation (4) is the basic solution for a life table, as it transforms rates of decrement \( m \) into probabilities of survival \( l(x)/l(0) \). As a practical matter, Eq. (4) can be implemented by choosing a simple functional form for \( m(x) \). For single year age intervals, assuming that \( m(x) \) is constant within intervals is generally acceptable.

An alternative approach, which has been termed the “General Algorithm” for life table construction, sets forth three sets of equations. The first set, the flow equations, describe the permissible flows into and out of states. In the basic life table, there is one flow equation which can be written

\[
l(x+n) = l(x) - d(x,n)
\]

where \( d(x,n) \) is the number of deaths (or decrements) between exact ages \( x \) and \( x+n \). Equation (5) simply states that the number of survivors to exact age \( x+n \) is the number of survivors to age \( x \) less the number who exit the table between exact ages \( x \) and \( x+n \).

The second set of equations is that of the orientation equations, which relate the observed rates of transfer to the model rates. It is generally convenient and desirable to equate those sets of rates, hence the basic orientation equation is
\[ M(x,n) = m(x,n) \quad (6) \]

where \( M(x,n) \) and \( m(x,n) \) refer, respectively, to the observed and model rates of transfer prevailing between exact ages \( x \) and \( x + n \).

The third set of equations is that of the person-year equations, which relate the number of survivors to each exact age to the number of person-years lived in an interval (where one person year is one year lived by one person). The exact relationship is

\[ L(x,n) = \int_0^0 l(x+u) \, du \quad (7) \]

where \( L(x,n) \) is the number of person-years lived between exact ages \( x \) and \( x + n \). The General Algorithm shifts the task of making a life table from integrating the force of decrement function in Eq. (3) and then integrating the survivorship (l) function in Eq. (7), to simply integrating the survivorship function. The General Algorithm also readily generalizes to specify more complex models (e.g. multistate models).

Constructing a life table from a set of data involves, implicitly or explicitly, making two choices. On is an assumption about how the observed rates relate to the life table rates; typically they are assumed to be the same. The second concerns the functional form of either the force of decrement or the survivorship curve. Many choices are possible. The simplest choice for the survivorship curve is a linear relationship, that is

\[ L(x,n) = \gamma \cdot n [l(x) + l(x+n)] \quad (8) \]

which is generally adequate for single years of age and for 5 year age intervals when the force of decrement is rising within the interval. Many other choices are possible and often desirable, especially for intervals longer than one year or when the force of decrement is large. The details of life table construction are discussed in the works cited in the bibliography. While there is no “ideal” solution, a number of adequate methods are available.

Table 1 provides an example of a life table, based on the experience of California Females during the year 1970, and shows the principal life table functions. The table is “abridged”, as age is shown for roughly every fifth year up to age 85. Age 1 is also shown as mortality in the first year of life is typically much higher than in the immediately following years. In the past, age 85 was the highest age commonly shown in life tables, though with improved survivorship and better data for the high ages, many tables now show survival to age 90. The next column is the survivorship column, which begins with the frequently used radix \( l(0) = 100,000 \). Of that number, 32,338 females survive to exact age 85. Next is the \( d(x,n) \) column, calculable from Eq. (5), which shows the age distribution of decrements. By definition, \( l(85) = d(85,\infty) \).
### Table 1. Life Table for California Females, 1970


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at Start of Age Interval (x)</th>
<th>Number Alive at Start of Age Interval l(x)</th>
<th>Number of Deaths During Age Interval d(x,n)</th>
<th>Probability of Dying During Age Interval q(x,n)</th>
<th>Average Annual Mortality Rate During Age Interval m(x,n)</th>
<th>Years Lived in Interval by Those Dying in Interval a(x,n)</th>
<th>Number of Person-Years liven During Age Interval L(x,n)</th>
<th>Number of Person-Years Lived After Age x, T(x)</th>
<th>Expected Years of Life at Start of Age Interval e(x)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>100000</td>
<td>1568</td>
<td>0.015679</td>
<td>0.015905</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>98584</td>
<td>7566021</td>
<td>75.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>98432</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.002755</td>
<td>0.000690</td>
<td>1.498</td>
<td>393050</td>
<td>7467437</td>
<td>75.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>98161</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>0.001378</td>
<td>0.000276</td>
<td>2.489</td>
<td>490466</td>
<td>7074387</td>
<td>72.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>98026</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>0.001462</td>
<td>0.000293</td>
<td>2.818</td>
<td>489813</td>
<td>6583921</td>
<td>67.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>97882</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>0.003496</td>
<td>0.000700</td>
<td>2.655</td>
<td>488608</td>
<td>6094108</td>
<td>62.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>97540</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>0.004051</td>
<td>0.000812</td>
<td>2.549</td>
<td>486732</td>
<td>5605500</td>
<td>57.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>97145</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>0.004495</td>
<td>0.000901</td>
<td>2.606</td>
<td>484669</td>
<td>5118768</td>
<td>52.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>96706</td>
<td>566</td>
<td>0.005850</td>
<td>0.001173</td>
<td>2.638</td>
<td>482208</td>
<td>4634099</td>
<td>47.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>96143</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>0.008578</td>
<td>0.001723</td>
<td>2.670</td>
<td>478793</td>
<td>4151891</td>
<td>43.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>95318</td>
<td>1245</td>
<td>0.013060</td>
<td>0.002628</td>
<td>2.686</td>
<td>473709</td>
<td>3673098</td>
<td>38.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>94073</td>
<td>1937</td>
<td>0.020594</td>
<td>0.004159</td>
<td>2.663</td>
<td>465838</td>
<td>3199389</td>
<td>34.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>92136</td>
<td>2760</td>
<td>0.029961</td>
<td>0.006078</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>454195</td>
<td>2733551</td>
<td>29.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>89375</td>
<td>3945</td>
<td>0.044137</td>
<td>0.009016</td>
<td>2.629</td>
<td>437520</td>
<td>2279356</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>85430</td>
<td>5187</td>
<td>0.060717</td>
<td>0.012503</td>
<td>2.631</td>
<td>414864</td>
<td>1841836</td>
<td>21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>80243</td>
<td>7207</td>
<td>0.089816</td>
<td>0.018760</td>
<td>2.635</td>
<td>384173</td>
<td>1426972</td>
<td>17.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>73036</td>
<td>9862</td>
<td>0.135020</td>
<td>0.028841</td>
<td>2.644</td>
<td>341941</td>
<td>1042799</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>63174</td>
<td>13998</td>
<td>0.221578</td>
<td>0.049580</td>
<td>2.604</td>
<td>282329</td>
<td>700858</td>
<td>11.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>49176</td>
<td>16838</td>
<td>0.342400</td>
<td>0.082311</td>
<td>2.546</td>
<td>204565</td>
<td>418529</td>
<td>8.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>32338</td>
<td>32338</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.151139</td>
<td>6.616</td>
<td>213964</td>
<td>213964</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

©Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems(EOLSS)
The probability of dying between exact ages \( x \) and \( x + n \), denoted \( q(x,n) \), is shown in the fourth column, and is found from the relationship

\[
q(x,n) = \frac{d(x,n)}{l(x)} \tag{9}
\]

The fifth column shows the life table decrement rates, \( m(x,n) \). In terms of life table functions, they satisfy the relationship

\[
m(x,n) = \frac{d(x,n)}{L(x,n)} \tag{10}
\]

The sixth column is often not presented, but can be quite useful. It shows Chiang’s \( a(x,n) \), the average number of years lived between exact ages \( x \) and \( x + n \) by those decrementing (or dying) during the interval. Mathematically, it must satisfy the equation

\[
L(x,n) = nl(x+n) + a(x,n)d(x,n) \tag{11}
\]

as the total number of person-years lived in an interval is the sum of the years lived by those who survive the interval and those who do not.

The seventh column shows the number of person-years lived in every age interval. The last entry, \( L(85, \infty) = 213964 \), gives the total number of person-years lived above age 85. The eighth column, \( T(x) \), shows the number of person-years lived at and above each exact age \( x \), and is the sum of the \( L(x,n) \) column from age \( x \) through the highest age group in the table. The last column, \( e(x) \), shows the expectation of life at age \( x \), or the average number of years a person exact age \( x \) is expected to live. In terms of life table symbols

\[
e(x) = \frac{T(x)}{l(x)} = \sum L_i / l(x) \tag{12}
\]

where \( \sum L_i \) indicates the sum of the person-years lived at and above exact age \( x \). For the highest age interval, \( e(85) = a(85, \infty) \). The most commonly used life table summary measure is \( e(0) \), the expectation of life at birth, here 75.66 years.

To this point, the life table has been viewed as following the experience of a birth cohort, and showing the implications of a set of decrement rates on survivorship. A second perspective needs to be recognized, a period perspective that gives rise to a stationary population. Assume that age \( \omega \) is the highest age attained in the life table (i.e., no one survives to attain exact age \( \omega + 1 \)). Now assume that the rates of decrement remain constant over time, and that for at least \( \omega + 1 \) consecutive years there are annual birth cohorts of \( l(0) \) persons. The result is a stationary population, that is a population of constant size (specifically of \( T(0) \) persons) and of unchanging age composition. The
The number of persons between the ages of \( x \) and \( x + n \), at any time, is given by \( L(x, n) \). The experience of the stationary population in one year captures that of the life table cohort over its entire lifespan. Each year in the stationary population \( I(x) \) persons attain exact age \( x \), there are \( d(x, n) \) deaths between the ages of \( x \) and \( x + n \) with a total of \( l(0) \) deaths, and there are \( L(x, n) \) person years lived between the ages of \( x \) and \( x + n \) giving a total of \( T(0) \) person-years lived.

Life tables have been widely used to reflect mortality patterns, and are now routinely produced by most national statistical organizations. The basic model can easily be generalized to recognize more than one cause of decrement. Cause-of-death life tables, nuptiality-mortality life tables, and numerous other types of multiple decrement models have been constructed, and describe the implications of competing risks. Cause eliminated life tables (also known as associated single decrement life tables) have also been calculated. They can address the hypothetical question of how survivorship would change if one (or more) observed causes disappeared while the forces of decrement from the remaining causes remained unchanged.

Various means have been used to deal with problems related to population heterogeneity. Separate life tables are routinely prepared for males and females, and frequently recognize other characteristics such as geographical area or race/ethnicity. That approach, however, can quickly exhaust the data available for even a large population. Proportional hazard (or Cox) models have been used to incorporate more covariates, though usually with the assumption that risks differ by a constant factor over age. More sophisticated efforts have sought to explicitly model individual “frailty” (or susceptibility to death). They have been hampered by the complexity of the problem and the very limited empirical evidence available on the distribution of frailty, both between persons and over the life course.
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