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11.1. Blocking Out the World: The Dominant Understanding of Rights

Individual rights are typically understood as the basic medium of modern society’s relations. They are recognized entitlements through time to things and actions by which modern societies function (property rights), or aspire to be better (human rights). The overall customary understanding of rights in our era is that bundles of rights come together in the free market in an optimal way, with property rights and human rights in dynamic mix and evolution. This view of rights widely assumed in political, media,
court systems, and academic discourses. It is what might be called the porridge view of rights. Everything runs into everything else.

11.1.1. The Underlying Regulators Repressed by Blinkered Ideas

We have seen in the previous chapters that the actual meta-program regulating the world cannot be understood by this structure of conception. The global market in fact operates by rights of money demand possession and exchange in which each and all seek to self-maximize their private property in money possession and the commodities it can purchase (including others’ labor), with no limit to possession or dispossession of individuals in its ruling system. This is what we have called the “meta-program” of the regulating global system, with its underlying regulators referred to as “the ruling value syntax”.

Philosophy in general and contemporary theories of justice in particular are structured to blinker out this actually regulating order so that its consequences to real people of systemic life deprivation and destruction disappear from view – not an intentional strategy, but a production of the ruling syntax of understanding and action. On the surface, the ideal of “equality of rights” is a dominant ideological norm and is debated from manifold standpoints: but this concept’s ambivalence of meaning admits of vast inequalities in its name, and systematically misleads analysis from humanity’s actual life requirements (Section 10.10).

In general, conceptions of human well-being and justice have long been decoupled from any life-ground – from the biophysical world itself, human needs and their criterion, the organizing principles of providing the life means without any of which people are oppressed, and the nature of the money-sequence driver of the global capitalist order itself. While opposed on the surface, “conservative”, “libertarian”, “liberal” and “communitarian” schools of theory share all these exclusions.

11.1.2. The Ruling Persons of the World Are Invisible to Philosophy and Economic Theory

What is in common across virtually all contemporary philosophical schools of thought, however opposed they are in other matters, is a zone of silence on the transnational corporate person which presides over the world’s means of mass production. It is simply abstracted out in philosophy and the dominant economic paradigm. For both, only single individuals exist for theoretical understanding, a metaphysic which is called “methodological individualism”. Yet the corporation is in reality the equivalent of the gods in ancient thought. It has powers across the lines of human life and death, independence from name and place, borderless freedom to act, powers over the world’s resources and human actions, and no liability of the owners of its powers for its actions. The legal nature and ontology of the corporate person and its powers are defined in the Glossary.

The supreme powers of the transnational corporation have become better known in critical circles in the last decade or so, but remain unexamined in undergraduate and graduate programs of philosophy as well as economic theory, as consultation of
calendars of internationally known academies will show. In discourses of the mass media and electoral politics, criticism of governments, politicians and celebrities normally draw all the attention. Communication of the inhuman program and powers of transnational corporate persons is rarely to be found. When some unusual public disaster occurs – like an ecocidal oil-spillage or mass-homicidal explosion where negligence of the corporate cannot be plausibly denied – the deep-structural issue is still not raised. Public commiseration will be shown for the victims, but legal blocking of accountability for the “market externalities” involved will be sustained by superpower corporations long after human memories fade.

What is almost certain not to be an issue anywhere in official culture is the rights of the corporate person to profit versus the rights of people to protection of their lives.

11.2. Corporate Person Rights versus Living Person Rights

We have seen how philosophy blocks out the actually ordering principles of the embodied human condition, and like economic theory abstracts out critical examination of the actual supreme persons of the transnational corporate system. So do mass media and political discourses in constructing a general syntax of meaning in which whatever conflicts with acceptance of this global system is undiscussed. Understanding thus needs to move underneath this concealing structure of selection and exclusion to recognize the fundamental opposition between the ultimate systems of rights of corporate persons and living people.

While examination of legal understanding of the corporate person over centuries of increasingly pervasive powers reveals an occasional awareness of its inhuman nature – “lacking both a body to be kicked and a soul to be damned, they therefore do as they like” in words attributed to Lord Chancellor Turlow (1731-1806) – there is no philosophical follow-up to what this entails for the rights of real persons, nor public or court challenge to the supreme powers involved. One might compare the situation to past conditions in which the absolute powers held by the Monarch and his Lords (whatever the names given across East and West to these positions) were presupposed rather than examined, with the rules of the society so governing not questioned until their overthrow had begun.

11.2.1. Nature of the Corporate Person as Inhuman

The contemporary global condition features an inner logic of rule wherein there are, in truth, two worlds and philosophies of rights in unseen combat beneath clichés and distractions – life-protective rights versus corporate profit rights. Their underlying conflict constitutes the invisible war of the post-1945 era. This unspoken war has been going on against indigenous, subsistence and village societies for over 500 years and it extends into the present and the developed world at every level of life and life support systems. Conscious or not, it has been waged by the transnational corporate-and-financial system against the entitlements and resources of public sectors and welfare states of the developing and developed worlds since approximately 1979-80 (when the Thatcher-Reagan turn of Western state policies began). Leading under the banners of “defeating communism” and achieving “market reforms”, its war of movement has been
structured to the following system goals entailed by the money-capital meta-program:

(1) To impoverish social programs as unaffordable:

(2) To reduce workers to a transnational commodity alone:

(3) To massively transfer public revenues from public use to subsidies to private money-sequences and corporations:

(4) To marginalize independent intellectual and scientific activity by the trend of funding only research which serves private corporate persons

These built-in system goals have one meta-property in common. All override the requirements of organic, social and ecological life as entailments of their underlying money-sequence program (whose regulating mechanism is spelled out in earlier analysis from Sections 1.16. to 7.12, 9.3, and 9.10). As always in philosophy, however, counter examples should be sought to test the unifying pattern which (1) to (4) defines.

11.2.2. Life Insecurity Rises as Non-Capitalist Alternatives Are Proscribed

One global consequence has been, as John Kenneth Galbraith said on his last television interview before his passing in April 2006, “the rich have won their war against the poor”. Certainly the poor have been the most obvious victims. They include the majority of humanity and a rise towards majority in developed societies themselves. Yet ‘poverty amelioration’ and ‘human rights’ have been simultaneously featured in official discourses and advocacy. In fact felt and seen but not said, almost everyone’s life security has been increasingly endangered by transnational corporate system growth. (1) to (4) define the distinct system operations at work whose unifying form is the overriding of life-system requirements at all levels by unlimited corporate powers across borders without international regulatory inhibition.

Before the post 1980 turnaround empowering transnational corporate persons with these effectively unaccountable global rights, universal life security was the accepted goal of not only most socialist societies, but of the welfare-states of the industrialized world. Yet once the Soviet Union fell, an underlying system shift occurred across the world. Its defining negations have been evident, but seldom comprehended in principle. No non-capitalist alternative was allowed to develop, while existing “mixed economies” or “welfare states” were cumulatively cut back by defunding, privatization and eradication – again system operations which follow from the ruling value syntax with transnational corporate persons as the managing drivers of the unifying meta-program. “There is no alternative” and the “end of history” became attendant mottos of “the new world order”, ironically transposing the metaphysic of Marxian revolutionary inevitability and endpoint onto global corporate capitalism instead. These patterns have been spelled in such works as Unequal Freedoms;: The Global Market as an Ethical System (1998), The Cancer Stage of Capitalism (1999), and Value Wars;: the Global Market versus the Life Economy (2002). Here analysis will investigate the unpenetrated meta-conflict at the level of the rights of persons – the system-wide conflict between life-protective and capacity-enabling rights for real persons, on the one hand, and the money-property
rights of transnational corporate persons, on the other hand.

11.2.3. The Unseen Schism of the Person

The nature of “persons” is an ancient metaphysical problem, but no prior philosophical examination has examined the distinction between the corporate person and the human person. It is not only liberal, conservatives and libertarian thinkers who do not attend to this basic ontological distinction, but Marxists as well. They generally dismiss rights of the person as sops of the capitalist system to quiet the working class, and so the distinction does not matter to their analysis. Yet it is clear to life-value analysis that rights to life security by public healthcare, welfare programs and pensions are of very substantial importance to their beneficiaries. They are what hundreds of millions of people’s lives require to exist as human. Since illness or unemployment or old-age strike almost all people at some time, social programs sustain them when their own private money stocks do not suffice, and their isolated deprivation can be less than a human life without them. This condition in fact afflicts most of the world’s persons today. On the other hand, transnational corporations, banks and stock-movers repudiate any rights which pose any barrier or cost to their turning private money into ever more in private money-capital growth. Life security independent of the capitalist market is abhorred.

This inhumanity is not so much a problem of personal greed as it is often misunderstood to be. Nor is it even an ethos issue. The system mechanism of determination reaches far deeper. Long ago in a Supreme Court decision (Dodge v. Ford Motor Co, 204 Michigan 459 (1919), for example, the Court held in a precedent ruling that has not since been overturned that it is a violation of “the lawful power of a corporation” to decide anything not “organized for the profit of the stockholders”. In this case, even Henry Ford’s own plan to “employ more men, to spread the benefits of this industrial system to the greatest possible number, to help them build up their lives and homes” was ruled illegal. It transgressed the rights of corporate stockholders to maximum profits revenues to themselves. In short, the corporate person could not plan for the life benefits of anyone, even “the greatest possible number” of real persons, without violating its legal purpose of private money sequencing to maximally more for money-stock investors. The corporate person remains programmed by law to this one overriding goal in exclusion of providing more life means for more people by still-profitable business.

11.2.4. The Supreme Freedom and Morality of the Global Market

“The responsibility of business is only to make profits” has been attributed to Milton Friedman. Yet it is, more exactly, the built-in program of the corporate person across its agents. Private money sequencing for money-stock investors is enforceable law, and insofar as obedience to the law is moral, it is a moral commandment whose transgression is itself immoral. A paradox follows. Regard for the lives of real persons in any form not serving the private goal of maximizing money to money possessors is immoral in this ruling value program. The ultimate principle of moral evolution is thereby inverted to a new ruling norm – to be “competitive in the global market”. Since this value program entails dispossession and defunding of what does not serve this demand, individuals and societies compete to obey and the imposed system becomes
normalized as what must be done to survive.

Transnational corporations have behaved accordingly, and with ‘no body to kick or soul to be damned’ or even bad local public relations to worry about in the global market, the license ‘to do just as they please’, the supreme freedom of this system. In the post-1988 “global free trade” period, this freedom has included transnational profit rights across borders to the markets, natural resources, human labor and built infrastructures of other societies across the world. All damages to persons’ lives and life support systems done in the way of disemployment, depletion of mineral, timber, fish and other resources, non-living or reduced wages, toxic working conditions, and so on are irrelevant to the corporate person value system. They are, as economists euphemize it, “externalities” to their enterprise.

Being in principle rational for the corporate person and its executive decision makers to thus externalize all costs onto real people and their living conditions, it is also praiseworthy to do so to maximize profit returns – the more so, the “better for society by attracting investors”. Thus corporate executives move in and out through the revolving doors of governments as the “most proven” candidates for public service. Not even the cumulative destruction of terrestrial life support systems is connected back to this unexamined absolute rights system in which corporate persons have supreme rights, and living persons and the life conditions of the world have none to exercise in defense against them. The opposing rights types and their contents which are laid bare ahead are not discussed in public.

11.3. The Unseen Conflict between Human Life Development and Corporate Money Gain

One way or another the fatal conflict between life requirements and money-demand growth is blinkered out of view. While confusion of their meanings is to the advantage of transnational corporate persons and their money-capital sequencing program, some Marxian thinkers conceive all rights as capitalist rights in disguise, ironically sustain the ruling confusion. The revolutionary left can ironically join the mass media, the politicians and business in failure to distinguish the opposite right types. A near-universal confusion can thus rule which not even specialist philosophers of rights expose in the journal literatures.

An important symptom of this failure of distinction between the opposed kinds of rights is that it is widely assumed that what financially benefits corporate persons and increases the commodities they sell is assumed as what benefits living persons and provides them with more goods for their lives. This is why it is assumed by elected governments as well as economists that “free circulation of capital and commodities across borders” can alone ensure “development”, “higher standards of living” and “poverty alleviation” across the globe. No advocate of more freedom for transnational corporate persons to invest and sell as “free trade” – including governments known as “democratic” - notices that the facts of life do not confirm the egregious conflation. “What financially benefits corporate persons and increases the commodities they sell” and “what benefits living persons and provides them with more goods for their lives” are not equivalent, as assumed by even public measures like GDP. They are
increasingly the opposite as destabilized social and ecological life support systems variously demonstrate across borders.

George Orwell long ago recognized that equations of opposites normalized across society are the mark of totalitarian rule. Life-value analysis poses the question to our own social milieu. Do claims like “rising standards of living” by more corporate sales, and “countless millions lifted out of poverty” by income rises of less than the price of a bottle of pop qualify as normalized big lies? If these claims are used to describe what are, in fact, more pervasively degraded lives and life conditions across the globe, it is difficult to conclude otherwise. Received analysis across disciplines has, however, ignored this ultimate epochal confusion of more private capitalist money-property rights and more commodities and profits, on the one hand, and better health of more human beings and their life conditions, on the other.

11.3.1. Life-Value versus Money-Value Growth:: The Unseen System Contradiction

Analysis has explained the opposition in principle between money and life sequences of value in prior chapters, beginning from Section 1.14. We need not reiterate this explanation further here. Yet it is worth pointing out that it is a formal first premise of post-classical economic theory that the more commodities are bought, the more “welfare” is produced by definition. This is the meaning of what is professionally called “the Primary Theorem of Welfare Economics”. It thus follows from this first premise of ruling doctrine applied to the real world that the more profitable corporate sales there are, the better off people’s lives are. The GNP/GDP measure restates this false equation as public policy norm.

In fact, people’s lives and life conditions are increasingly made worse in the macrocosm - ever more junk foods and beverages, toxins, effluents and dumpings, more road deaths and pollution by motor vehicles, and more meaningless work and insecure life means for the rising majority of the world. Philosophy’s method of “thought experiment” is worthwhile here. Try to think of what really matters to human life that is not in decline. Exceptions like word processors and rapid communications for the better off indicate the general rule of overall decline in life means and supports. Terrestrial life has been pushed to the edge of collapse by the pollution and drawing down of resources, the destruction of habitats and species, and the general despoliation of the planet’s life support systems. Scientific ecologists are agreed on the generic pattern, although usually attending to only single dimensions. Ecologist Paul Hawken’s Address to the Class of 2009, University of Portland, concisely summarizes (emphases added): “Every living system is declining, and the rate of decline is accelerating - - not one peer-reviewed paper published in the last thirty years can refute that statement.” He adds: “ Basically, civilization needs a new operating system”. Hawken does not, however, consider the causal mechanism of unregulated transnational corporate money sequencing whose life-blind drivers both propel and explain the accelerating life-system decline.
11.4. From Accountability of Corporate Persons to Unaccountability:: A Brief History

Corporation charters were for a long time required to serve a defined public interest as a condition of their charters (e.g., building and operating a local bridge), which then expanded into colonial ventures in which corporations became governments themselves in colonized societies (e.g., the East India Company and the Hudson’s Bay Company). Now, in contrast, corporations are not accountable to sovereign public authority for their charters, which in the U.S. are written by their corporate lawyers in jurisdictions like Delaware where no public accountability is required.

Until 1988 when the Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement (FTA) was secretly negotiated without legislative participation and opposed by the electoral majority of Canada in an election over the issue, transnational corporate investments and commodity markets were subject to “performance requirements” set by sovereign governments in exchange for foreign corporate access to their domestic markets, publicly owned natural resources, and valuable economic assets. These ‘performance requirements’ were prohibited as illegal in the FTA which became the prototype of the World Trade Organization of 1995 which replaced the former structure of international trade, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). The U.S.-led WTO then instituted a world-wide system of trade enforcement outlawing all such performance requirements by sovereign governments across the world, and allowing corporate persons to sue governments for permissively defined “lost opportunities to profit”.

At the same time, financial and banking corporations were also empowered by U.S.-led financial de-regulation to move capital in and out of countries without any “capital controls” permitted, with notable exceptions which escaped financial meltdowns in consequence (e.g., China, Malaysia, and India). Latin American and Asian economic meltdowns without capital controls were the norm and in all impoverished hundreds of millions of people in Mexico, Argentina, Brazil, and Indonesia among other countries in the 1990’s. All had been called “miracle economies” by business media and state leaders for their “free circulation of goods and investment capital” before they crashed. From 1945 to the 1970’s, in stark contrast, most transnational capital loans were government managed, low interest and for investments in the public interest, as in the earlier Marshall Plan model. The real ‘miracle economies’ – before then and since - have been those in which governments macro-managed the economy – Japan, Korea and China, for example, and Britain and the United States long before them. This historical macro pattern is revealingly opposite to the “government inefficiency” claimed today in reducing the public economy to make room for advancing corporate privatization.

What has happened is best understood in underlying principle, philosophy’s classical mode of anchoring beneath the confusing play of diverse phenomena. The governing principle of all these rises and falls of economies and vast shifts in power across nations is clear but unstated. The transnational corporate economy has (i) systematically replaced the effective sovereign powers of governments to (ii) become unaccountable to public authority while (iii) freely exploiting public resources and domestic markets across continents beneath (iv) claims of “rising democracy and freedom”.

©Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS)
11.5. The Unseen War:: Goods for Corporate Persons Are Bads For Human Persons

Analysis needs to spell out the deep-structural contradiction between global-corporate system demands and human and ecological life requirements that now threatens the collapse of global life organisation itself. For even the distinction between them is normally suppressed at policy and economic-science levels. Consider the inversions built into the reigning language of economic description. Transnational corporate production and trade are said to produce “goods”, and all references by economists, the mass media, government communications and everyday language refer to corporate commodities as goods for people. It does not matter what is extracted, produced and pollutively distributed across the world or whether life and life support systems are degraded at every step of transnational commodity cycles. The commodities are still “goods”. It does not matter if 99% of these “goods” end up as waste within six weeks, or even that cancer, heart, obesity and other epidemics and early deaths correlate with the increase of corporate commodity production, transportation and consumption. These macro waste and dose-response curves are not tracked or accountable. Only the “goods” appear. The undeniable pattern of fact may be that this global corporate system of supreme persons increasingly produces and distributes bads rather than goods – that is, what disables rather than enables life systems – yet the reversal of value meaning continues as given, and societies continue to be so ruled on increasing scales of depredation.

11.5.1. No Causal Mechanism of System Depredation Identified, Nor Corrective Life Standards Instituted

Once we think instead in terms of providing the actual goods that human life requires to be healthy, we are able to recognize that global corporate goods are cumulatively opposite in their nature. One may consider rather than repress an as-yet unspeakable hypothesis: that this transnational system of corporate-person rule has, as a matter of fact, emerged as the cumulatively greatest all-round threat to human life and well-being in history. The threat is not embedded in an external plague or human enemy, but in the unregulated world rule by corporate persons without accountability to human, social or ecological life requirements. Economic growth thus increasingly fails to produce goods for the lives of human persons and their life support systems they require to flourish, but in fact increasingly produces their degradation, despoliation, and collapse. This pattern of outcomes is progressively better known in crises that are not connected, but this causal mechanism behind them is not identified by expert reports or theoretical understanding. Nor, accordingly, are the regulators of this global system changed. The International Forum on Globalization (IFG) consisting of academic researchers, economists, non-governmental administrators and writers representing over 40 organisations from 20 countries had these general facts of life-systems crisis to report over a decade ago: “The pattern of recent years has been - - massive economic breakdown in some countries, growing unemployment and dislocation in all regions, direct assaults on environmental and labor conditions, loss of wilderness and biodiversity, massive population shifts - - conversion of [water, forests and soil] to luxury commodities - - increased hunger, landlessness, homelessness - - and insecure food supplies, lower food quality and contaminated foods as secondary outcomes”
"Two thirds of the natural machinery supports life on Earth has already been degraded", reported 1,360 scientists meeting with the Royal Society of London seven years later in April 2005. Yet the rule of transnational corporate persons aggregately engineering every step of the macro breakdown of human life support and support systems remains unaccountable and unspoken.
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