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Summary

This article traces the history of clinical psychology in the United States from its beginnings to its present status as a core mental health profession. It also considers the following current issues affecting clinical psychology that seem likely to impact on its future: (1) the division in professional activities and aspirations between clinical psychologists who function primarily as practitioners and those who work primarily as academic teachers and researchers; (2) the controversy over alternative training models in clinical psychology: those designed primarily to train practitioners and those designed to train academic clinical psychologists; (3) the impact of managed care on the practice of clinical psychology; (4) the effort by clinical psychologists to gain legislative authority to prescribe psychopharmacological agents; (5) the expanded practice opportunities created for clinical psychologists and other mental health professionals by behavioral telehealth; and (6) the possibilities and problems posed by the development since the early 1980s of evidence-based treatments and practice guidelines.

1. Introduction

This article traces the history of clinical psychology in the United States. Clinical psychology is a core mental health discipline, which means that, together with psychiatry, psychiatric social work, and psychiatric nursing, it is responsible in the United States for the study, assessment, treatment, and prevention of abnormal behavior or psychopathology. Historically, clinical psychology began in an effort to develop reliable methods for quantifying the intellectual and cognitive abilities in children. To these activities were gradually added responsibilities for the assessment of personality and psychopathology, largely but not entirely by means of psychological test instruments. Coincidental to these developments, more and more clinical psychologists undertook research on the phenomenology, etiology, and treatment of mental disorders. More recently, they have added additional applied responsibilities in the treatment and prevention arena.

Most observers trace the beginnings of clinical psychology to Lightner Witmer’s psychological clinic at the University of Pennsylvania, founded in 1896. From that modest beginning, as the article indicates, clinical psychology has grown to its present status as a core mental health profession, composed of more than 100,000 doctoral level clinical psychologists. The article also considers in detail several pressing current issues that seem likely to impact on clinical psychology’s future. These issues include (1) the division in professional activities and aspirations between clinical psychologists who function primarily as practitioners and those who work primarily as academic teachers and researchers; (2) the controversy over alternative training models in clinical psychology: those designed primarily to train practitioners and those designed to train academic clinical psychologists; (3) the impact of managed care on the practice of clinical psychology; (4) the effort by clinical psychologists to gain legislative authority to prescribe psychopharmacological agents; (5) the expanded practice opportunities created for clinical psychologists and other mental health professionals by behavioral telehealth; and (6) the possibilities and problems posed by the development since the early 1980s of evidence-based treatments and practice guidelines.
While clinical psychology largely began and initially flourished most strongly in the United States, its current scope is worldwide. Many of the historical, professional, and clinical developments discussed below affected clinical psychology outside North America as deeply as they did clinical psychology in the United States, Canada, and Mexico. Nonetheless, each country in which clinical psychology has developed has its own distinct history, historical figures, and research and clinical traditions. While it is not possible to discuss those matters in this brief article, a recent comparison of clinical psychology training in the United States and Europe cited in the bibliography below suggests the existence of many points of comparison between the two.

2. Historical Foundations of Clinical Psychology

2.1. The Beginnings: 1896–1917

Most historians of clinical psychology trace its founding in the United States to Lightner Witmer, who established the first psychological clinic in 1896 at the University of Pennsylvania. The clinic treated children with learning problems and disruptive classroom behavior. Brought to the clinic by their parents or teachers, these children received both physical and mental examinations. The results of the examinations determined who would see them next: specialists in internal medicine, psychiatry, and/or learning remediation. Interestingly, while Witmer founded the first psychological clinic, taught the first specific course on the subject, and, in fact, named the infant field, he contributed no central theories or research findings. For that reason, modern day clinical psychology’s debt to him is limited to his role as the first clinical psychologist.

In 1906, Harvard psychiatrist Morton Prince founded the Journal of Abnormal Psychology, which continues today as one of the leading journals in the field; in 1907, Witmer established the field’s second journal, The Psychological Clinic. These two journals permitted applied clinically oriented psychologists to identify with the nascent field for the first time. During the same period, additional institutions that helped shape the young field were founded. They included the University of Iowa Psychological Clinic, founded in 1908; like the University of Pennsylvania Psychological Clinic, it too served the needs of children. A year later, William Healy established the Juvenile Psychopathic Institute in Chicago. It promoted a team approach to the remediation of what today we call juvenile delinquency, in contrast to the earlier concern in Philadelphia and the slightly later concern in Iowa with children’s learning problems. Healy’s commitment to Freud’s psychodynamic theory and techniques, incidentally, helped shift the focus of clinical psychology from educational remediation to psychodynamic personality reconstitution.

It is fitting that Witmer’s pioneering psychological clinic defined the new professional identity of clinical psychology, because its focus was on assessment and evaluation, initially specifically of intelligence, even before it became separate from psychiatry, on the one hand, and philosophy, on the other.

Francis Galton, whose efforts during the last two decades of the nineteenth century to relate individual differences in reaction time and other psychomotor abilities to differences in intellectual and cognitive functioning, did not call himself a clinical psychologist. Nonetheless, his focus helped define and strongly influenced those who
came later who did call themselves clinical psychologists. Another influence of the same sort came from James McKeen Cattell, an American psychologist who had studied with Wilhelm Wundt; Wundt, of course, is generally accorded primacy as the first psychologist and his laboratory in Leipzig, founded in 1879, the first psychological laboratory. Cattell also studied individual differences in reaction time and other perceptual and motor skills in hopes of capturing the essence of intelligence. He called his measures mental tests and developed a battery of 10 tests to select individuals for various work environments.

Despite this earlier work of Galton and Cattell, though, it is to French psychologist Alfred Binet that credit is generally given for founding the mental measurement movement that defined the infant profession of clinical psychology during its earliest decades; that movement has continued to bulk large in the activities of clinical psychologists to the present day. In 1904, Binet and his colleague Theodore Simon were asked to develop an instrument to differentiate degrees of mental “subnormality” among French schoolchildren for placement purposes. The result was the 1908 Binet-Simon Scale. Later, psychologist Henry Goddard arranged for the Binet tests to be brought to the United States, where psychologist Lewis Terman produced the first American revision in 1916. The Stanford-Binet tests subsequently became the principal means by which the intelligence of children was assessed in America for many years.

In the initial decades of the twentieth century, assessment was the principal activity of the small numbers of individuals who called themselves clinical psychologists, even though a few devoted themselves to research and a few others developed modes of intervention. However, it was not until many years later, during and after World War II, that these other two bulwarks of the young profession began to be developed in earnest.

2.2. World War I Period: 1917–1919

Meanwhile, early in World War I, it became clear that some means needed to be developed to screen and classify the thousands of new recruits to the U.S. Army. To deal with this problem, a group of five members of the American Psychological Association (APA), chaired by Robert Yerkes, was asked by the Medical Department of the Army to create an instrument to classify men for assignment according to their mental abilities. The result was the Army Alpha, a scale of verbal ability, published in 1917. The Army Beta, a non-verbal scale, followed shortly thereafter. For further classification, psychologist Robert Woodworth developed the Psychoneurotic Inventory during the same period; it was the first questionnaire specifically designed to explore for the presence of psychopathology.

2.3. Further Development: 1919–1939

Building on its initial base of intellectual assessment of children, as well as its contributions to classification and diagnosis during World War I, clinical psychology continued to develop at a moderate pace during the years between the first and second world wars.
2.3.1. Professional Developments

A section of clinical psychology was established within the APA in 1919. It provided recognition for the new profession and a professional focus for its efforts to grow. Additional recognition from the APA came with the formation of a committee on training standards by the clinical section in 1931 and that committee’s formal definition of clinical psychology in 1935 as “that art and technology which deals with the adjustment problems of human beings.” The first textbook of clinical psychology was published in 1936 by C.M. Loutitt; a year later, the Journal of Consulting Psychology, later to be renamed the Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, was founded. That journal continues to the present day to be the premier journal for the publication of assessment and treatment research in clinical psychology in the United States.
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