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Summary

Freud always recognized the genius of artists in perceiving deep psychic truths that psychoanalysts have rendered more explicit. This explains his interest in art, almost concomitant with the birth of psychoanalysis and developing along three main lines: the analysis of works of art, of the creative activity, and of the esthetic experience. Various authors’ theories are examined, emphasizing how we have passed from the first naïve pathobiographies (authors’ unconscious investigated through their artistic products) to the most sophisticated analysis of the structural logic of artistic texts. On the issue of creativity two main theoretic movements have appeared: the first, Kleinian, based on the idea of symbolic reparation of the object; the other closer to the most recent theories on narcissism, based on the hypothesis of an auto-reparative creativity.

Furthermore, we have underlined the modification of the concept of “interpretation,” no longer meant as a decoding of cryptic language that hides an unmentionable world of drives, but also as an artistic activity, a construction of expressive means devoted to giving voice to that part of the psyche lacking symbolic capacities. On the experience of beauty, we have dealt particularly with the “uncanny” quality connected with the emergence of repressed or split parts that can more or less seriously upset the actual psychic organization. The article is concluded with a reference to the esthetic implications of the psychoanalytical experience.

1. Introduction

Artists have always shown great interest in the human mind and its passions. With their genius they have offered visions of invisible inner realities and, in some ways, we can refer to them as the first psychologists of humankind. Consequently, psychoanalysis
focused its earliest attentions on art as well. And it was not a marginal attention. In
giving to one of his first fundamental discoveries the name *Oedipus complex*, Freud
implicitly recognizes a debt to the poetic intuition of the great Greek tragedies with
regard to the contents of the unconscious mind. W.R. Bion has even stated that it was
not Freud who discovered Oedipus, but Oedipus who founded psychoanalysis, as if at
an early stage psychoanalysis was contained in the myths and drama of ancient Greece.

Freud showed great admiration for the deep psychological intuition of artists, not only
in several of his works (“Psychopathic characters on the stage,” 1905; “Leonardo da
Vinci and a memory of his childhood,” 1910; “The theme of the three caskets,” 1913;
“The Moses of Michelangelo,” 1913; “A childhood recollection from ‘Dichtung und
Wahrheit’ [Goethe],” 1917; and “Dostoevsky and parricide,” 1927) but also in a number
of his statements, the best known of which is contained in the 1922 letter to Schnitzler:
“When plunging into your splendid creations I always thought I would find—behind the
poetical look—the hypothesis, the interests and the results that I knew were mine . . . I
had the impression that you knew through intuition—really from a delicate self-
observation, all that I had to uncover in other men through a laborious work.”

Another explicit acknowledgement of artists’ ability to *experience* the activity of the
unconscious even though not recognizing it as such and to transfer this experience in
their works can be found in “Delusions and dreams in Jensen’s ‘Grävda’” (1906):
. . . creative writers are valuable allies and their evidence is to be prized highly, for they
are apt to know a whole host of things between heaven and earth of which our
philosophy has not yet let us dream .In their knowledge of the mind they are far in
advance of us everyday people, for they draw upon sources which we have not yet
opened up for science.

The description of the human mind is indeed the domain which is most his own; he has
from time immemorial been the precursor of science, and so too of scientific
psychology . . . Thus the creative writer cannot evade the psychiatrist nor the
psychiatrist the creative writer, and the poetic treatment of a psychiatric theme can turn
out to be correct without any sacrifice of its beauty.

We probably draw from the same source and work upon the same object, each of us by
another method . . . Our procedure consists in the conscious observation of abnormal
mental processes in other people so as to be able to elicit and announce their laws. The
author no doubt proceeds differently.

He directs his attention to the unconscious in his own mind, he listens to its possible
developments and lends them artistic expression . . . Thus he experiences from himself
what we learn from others—the laws which the activities of this unconscious must obey.
But he need not state these laws, nor even be aware of them . . . they are incorporated
within his creations.

Freud in this essay provided a brilliant example of the prophetic nature of some literary
works in knowledge of the psyche. Half a century later, M. Klein used another
narration, *Si j’étais vous*, by the French novelist Julien Green to illustrate projective
identification.
In spite of the appreciation of the psychological insights of artists, many psychoanalysts have approached the problem of beauty and esthetic experience with suspicion. It was as if art was so seductive with its exterior qualities that it obstructed the knowledge of what goes beyond appearances, and particularly the knowledge of unpleasant experiences of anxiety, conflict, and pain. Art was then seen as an idealizing cover, a defensive factor that diverts us from deep psychic truth.

It might be that the “incentive bonus” detected by Freud in “Creative writers and day-dreaming” (1907) fostered the tendency to devalue the formal aspects of artistic objects. The seductive force that is undoubtedly innate in works of art has been generally considered a deception of the cognitive faculties.

Instead it indicates what Freud underlined, quoting Shakespeare’s Hamlet: “Your bait of falsehood takes this carp of truth.” That is, thanks to the poetic imagination, we can grasp the truth through what is not real, with the mediation of artistic pretence. This pretence, differently from objects of fascination, leaves reality unprejudiced. The ability to distinguish truth from falsehood remains perfectly vigilant, even when the psyche is captured by esthetic pleasure.

Real artistic beauty, in other words, seduces because it proposes another world rich in new experiences but also devoid of that illusory omnipotence that compromises judgment. It evokes a further world that escapes from evidence, but that lives inside us. An unusual world, not often visited, that may not even be beautiful but that, thanks to formal embellishments, becomes pleasant and accessible.

Therefore, when Freud underlined artists’ ability to anticipate psychoanalytic beliefs regarding the human mind by generating, through their works, unwitting perceptions of the unconscious, or when he admired their intuitive power of heralding ideas that only after a while “we poor mortals” would clearly recognize, he implicitly invited analysts to address the analysand as artists, with the ability to conceive things obscurely inside themselves before recognizing them clearly.

Before anyone else, artists have explored the unconscious with their ingenious introspective work. Psychoanalysts have then added more awareness to those explorations, trying to recognize clearly those psychic processes that artistic insight had perceived obscurely. In doing so, they followed different guiding principles. At least three can be traced: work, creative activity, and the analysis of esthetic experience.
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