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Summary

This chapter is concerned about languages and cultural dialogues and is organized in five sections as follows: 1. Introduction. Cultures and languages: no immediate relationship. 2. Cultures. Definitions of culture according to contemporary anthropology. material and non-material culture. - Culture and civilization: a terminological distinction. The differentiation of cultures from a historical and a geographical point of view. - Popular culture (folklore): peasants, women, and children.
1. Introduction

In spite of widespread and strong opinions to the contrary, there is no immediate and compulsory relationship between a given culture and a given language, although there are, of course, many forms of relations between culture and languages. We have no archaeological evidence, for instance, that cultures reconstructed from prehistoric artifacts necessarily corresponded to ethnic groups speaking the same language. A region, a tribe, a people can change their language while their material culture remains more or less unaltered. In Africa, in the Indian sub-continent, in the islands of the Pacific, in the Americas when they were discovered in the Renaissance, a multitude of languages coexisted with different cultures: sometimes they overlapped, sometimes they kept their specificity, and sometimes they intermingled.

Even in countries where a process of political unification took place, not always cultures, religions, and languages coexisted harmoniously: we could mention India, Lebanon, Iraq, or the ex-Yugoslavia, the country of the Slavic population of the South, where coexisted peoples of different ethnicity, religion and language, two of which, the Serbs and the Croats, now form two different nations, who adhere to two different religions (Greco-orthodox and Catholic) but have in common a language: the Serbo-croatian. In other countries things worked differently: in Switzerland, for instance, we have the coexistence of a number of quite different ethnic groups, two main religions, four main languages. On the whole we can say that language is a very important means of communication among cultures. It is a formidable tool for expressing forms of tension and conflict among cultures, and also forms of reciprocal influences or reciprocal differentiation.

2. Cultures

2.1. Definitions of Culture

According to the anthropologists (especially the cultural anthropologists) the term culture refers to the entire array of intellectual or manual activities that men living in society carry on, whatever the shape and content, the orientation and the complexity of their way of living, their level of awareness, and their distance from the views and behaviors that in our society are more or less officially considered true, just, or good,
considered, in one word “cultural”. Accepting this definition, we should consider as forms of culture also those customs and observances that we might be tempted to consider expression of «ignorance» (such as for instance superstitious practices): they belong to culture in the sense that they are ways of conceiving and living the world, whether we like them or not (and often we dislike them). For anthropologists that uphold this notion, culture includes both non-material elements like the world-view of a particular population and material elements like the products of their manual activity. This is a debated point and some scholars prefer to think that, when we deal with complex societies, we should distinguish between their material culture (their ways of cultivating the land, building houses, clothing, eating, organizing the family life, etc.) and their way of conceiving the world and their exercise of knowledge and imagination. Their non-material culture, in this case, should include religion, traditions, ideas of life and death, conceptions of the world (ideologies), etc. A school of French historians led by Marc Bloch and Lucien Febvre, who in 1929 founded the journal Annales d'histoire économique et sociale, prefer to speak, for this aspect of culture, of mentalité.

2.2. Culture and Civilization

Another terminological distinction is between culture and civilization. The distinction seems to be clear in German, where the terms Kultur and Zivilisation have historically acquired distinctive meanings: Kultur is the original heritage of a people, the array of traditions, costumes, characters that are passed down from a generation to the next, Zivilisation, which as a term was imported into German from French during the Enlightenment, is the process of becoming civil, intellectually and spiritually more refined, often through the imitation of other peoples that might be considered more advanced. A heated debate on the different merits of Kultur and Zivilisation took place in Germany at the time of the First world war, with the two brothers Thomas and Heinrich Mann taking their stance on opposite sides: Thomas being at the time in favor of the traditional, conservative, popular values of German Kultur, Heinrich being in favor of a more democratic, liberal, international conception, represented by the term Zivilisation. The distinction is less clear in the other European languages and also in contemporary German, especially so after the development of the disciplines of anthropology, material culture, popular culture, etc. It is of some significance that the classical book by Jakob Burckhardt Die Cultur [sic] der Renaissance in Italien (1860) was translated into Italian as La civiltà del Rinascimento, into French as La Civilisation de la Renaissance, into English as The Civilisation of the Period of the Renaissance, but into Spanish as La cultura del Renacimiento. In French today the term Civilisation seems to be in larger use for example: Byzance et les Slaves: études de civilisation, L'avènement de la civilisation industrielle, or even Civilisation matérielle, économie et capitalisme, but also L'Afrique noir: histoire et culture.

In German we find more often Kultur where in French we would expect Civilisation; for instance: Die Kultur des Islams or Deutsche Kunst und Kultur, while Zivilisation seems to have a more restricted and specific meaning (for instance Traumzeit: Über die Grenzen wischen Wildnis und Zivilisation). In the other European languages there is still a certain amount of confusion, although the tendency seems that of conferring an anthropological meaning to culture and a more spiritual and intellectual meaning to
civilization (including mentalité, sensibility, history of religious beliefs, history of ideas, etc.).

2.3. The Differentiation of Cultures

From a historical, and also a geographical, point of view we can speak of different cultures, that have developed in time and are diversified on the basis of the various forms of organization of the human settlements, their relation to nature, their form of life, their traditions and imagination. Within a society we can also distinguish between different cultures that are expressions of the different social strata or groups. But how does it happen that cultures differentiate? There are various mechanisms at work: 1) the material difficulties of communication which, until recent times, have caused the isolation of the peripheral zones from the central ones: these last ones have usually shown a stronger capacity of innovation and expansion, thus accentuating the disparity among the various zones and the relative backwardness of the peripheral ones; 2) the cultural discrimination exercised, in economic or military terms, by the most powerful social groups over the less powerful ones: we speak in these cases, respectively, of hegemonic and of subaltern ones. For long historical periods some social groups have been excluded both from the production and the consumption of cultural products: it has been the case, for example, of those strata of the population (women, peasants, poor people) who were left out of the education process of reading and writing: or it has been the case, during the Medieval and early Modern period, of the sumptuary laws, that banned the use of certain garments for certain strata of the population; 3) the resistance of certain peripheral and subaltern social groups to the «civilizing» impositions of the hegemonic ones. One of the areas of culture in which this resistance was stronger in the history of the Medieval and also the Modern period was that of religion, as it is demonstrated by the many decrees passed by the Church Councils or Synods condemning pagan or semi-pagan practices, remnants of beliefs and superstitions. But similar phenomena have been visible in the areas of popular customs, political practices, and language, with resistance, in this last case, to the imposition of the language of colonizers, and sometimes absorption of the language of the colonized on the part of the colonizers.

2.4. Popular Culture (Folklore): Peasants, Women, and Children

A concept that is not always clear is that of popular culture or folklore, especially due to the aura of originality and creativity bestowed on folkloric products of culture (songs, legends, fairy tales, proverbs, etc.) during the Romantic period. Modern scholarship recognizes that many products (not only texts, but also views of the world, models of behavior, forms of the imagination) of the so-called popular culture have been traditionally transmitted by social strata that we call “the people” (“das Volk”). Which does not necessarily mean that those social groups were the authors or producers of those cultural products: sometimes they were, but on the whole to say that certain popular strata of a population are the conveyers of certain cultural products simply means that they have been the ones who relished, sometimes exclusively, those products and utilized and transmitted them, regardless of the fact that they had been produced by the dominant strata or the subaltern strata of society. This was particularly true of the peasants (who for a long time made up more than 90% of the population in great part of
the countries), or the women, or children up to the age of adolescence. They normally did not possess their own language and had to resort, in order to express themselves and their needs, aspirations, views of the world, to the language and products of higher and cultivated strata of the population. Many of these specific cultures had their own ways of resisting to the dominant culture. The peasants, for instance, gave birth many times, from the Middle ages to Modern times, to episodes of open rebellion, usually concluded in tragic defeats followed by cruel forms of repression. They had only the possibility to deform, reverse, and “carnivalize” (the term has been introduced by the Russian critic Mikhail Bakhtin) the language of the cultivated classes, giving vent, when allowed to do so in special occasions (like the Carnival), and using the mode of comedy, to their desire of free expression and their criticism of the system of powers that kept them under their yoke. Women rarely resorted to open rebellion from the patriarchal type of society in which they were kept under the domination of their fathers and husbands. They had at their disposal more refined and intimate modes for expressing their unhappy situation: although compelled to use the language of the dominant gender they often succeeded in creating their own texts and in building their own private cultural spaces (in the house, in the conversation with other women, sometimes even in a convent). Children also had a specific culture, sometimes called child-lore, made of rhymes, stories, games, rites, and kept it among themselves and protected it from the adults (although in most cases those texts and games had an older origin and were reductions and adaptations from texts and games of adult culture). Usually when they entered into adulthood, they forgot that cultural heritage, which continued to be passed on from generation of children to generation of children, without the intervention of adults.
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