

## FUNDAMENTAL APPLICATIONS: SOLID MECHANICS

**M. Elices, V. Sánchez-Gálvez, A. Valiente, J. LLorca and G.V. Guinea**

*Departamento de Ciencia de Materiales, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain*

**Keywords:** Quasi-brittle materials, Cohesive Crack models, Metals, Plasticity, Damage, Fatigue, Creep, Composites, Homogenization, Biological Materials

### Contents

1. Introduction
  2. Application to Metals
    - 2.1 Plasticity models
    - 2.2 Damage and fracture models
    - 2.3 Fatigue models
    - 2.4 Creep models
  3. Application to Composite Materials
    - 3.1 Introduction
    - 3.2 Homogenization models
  4. Application to Biological Materials
    - 4.1 Characteristics of Biological Materials
    - 4.2 Macroscopic Models
- Glossary  
Bibliography  
Biographical Sketches

### Summary

This chapter illustrates the application of continuum mechanics to the modeling of solid materials through the development of specific constitutive equations adapted to each material. A general view of the most used and useful approaches and constitutive theories applicable to the deformation, fatigue and fracture of metals, composite and biological materials are reviewed.

Deformation of metals and alloys has been customarily modeled by plastic theories based on yield criteria, with damage and failure dealt with through independent criteria. Fracture Mechanics concepts, introduced at the last half of the 20th century, have helped to integrate failure and fatigue theories and are the basis of new developments.

Composite materials have become standard in structural application in engineering because their outstanding specific properties (stiffness and strength) and the possibility of tailoring the microstructure to obtain a given set of properties. The relationship between the microstructural characteristics (volume fraction, shape and spatial distribution of matrix and reinforcement) and the macroscopic behavior can be obtained by means of homogenization methods. They were initially developed for the elastic regime and have been extended in recent years to deal with plasticity and damage.

Biological materials show a striking combination of optimized properties such as strength, toughness and compliance. Due to the fact that soft biological materials show a highly nonlinear, incompressible behavior, and that they are ordinarily subjected to

large strains under a complex multiaxial stress state, the initial models drawn from polymer science have given way to phenomenological constitutive equations with a more or less close connection to the microscopical constituents.

## 1. Introduction

This chapter illustrates the application of continuum mechanics to the modeling of solid materials through the development of specific constitutive equations adapted to each material. The following sections summarize some of the most important constitutive theories applicable to the deformation fatigue and fracture of metals, composite and biological materials aiming at giving to the reader a general view of the most used and useful approaches.

It has not been the intention of the authors to give a detailed and thorough description of all the available models and theories but to show in a simple and intelligible way the methods and techniques used to deal with involved topics like damage, fracture or heterogeneity within the framework of the continuum mechanics. All the sections of this chapter are self-contained and can be read independently. The authors presume that the reader has a basic knowledge of continuum mechanics, and that it is acquainted with the tensor notation.

## 2. Application to Metals

Metals and alloys are materials being used by the human being since the end of the Stone Age. However, the scientific knowledge of its mechanical behavior begins at the XIX Century. The theories to model plastic behavior of metals and alloys were developed along the XX Century. Tresca (1864) and Von Mises (1913) proposed their yield criteria. Levy (1864), Mises (1913), Prandtl (1924) and Reuss (1930) established the stress-strain relationship. Failure criteria were proposed from the pioneering work of Hancock and Mackenzie (1976); finally, fatigue behavior was modeled using Fracture Mechanics concepts since the work of Paris and Erdogan (1963). This section summarizes the State of the Art of Solid Mechanics applications to metals and alloys.

### 2.1. Plasticity Models

For metals and alloys, the plastic strain rate tensor  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^p$  is a function of the deviatoric stress tensor  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^p = \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}') \quad (1)$$

After some mathematical manipulation, Prandtl-Reuss equations are derived

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}^p = \mathbf{f}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}') = \frac{3\dot{\bar{\sigma}}}{2\bar{\sigma}^2 F'} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \quad (2)$$

where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the equivalent stress (Mises stress) defined as

$$\bar{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \quad (3)$$

$F'$  is the derivative of function defined as the relationship between  $\bar{\sigma}$  and the plastic work per unit volume

$$\bar{\sigma} = F \left( \int \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^p \right) \quad (4)$$

This equation is taking into account the increase of yielding stress with plastic work (work hardening). Alternatively, it can be substituted by the following expression, more artificial although easier to use

$$\bar{\sigma} = H \left( \int d\bar{\varepsilon}^p \right) \quad (5)$$

where  $\dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}^p$  is the rate of equivalent plastic strain, defined as

$$\dot{\bar{\varepsilon}}^p = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p} \quad (6)$$

and Prandtl-Reuss equations yield

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p = \frac{3\dot{\bar{\sigma}}}{2\bar{\sigma}H'} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \quad (7)$$

valid for  $\dot{\bar{\sigma}} > 0$  (loading), otherwise  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p = 0$  (unloading).

$H(\bullet)$  is thus the function relating equivalent stress and equivalent plastic strain. For uniaxial stress conditions (tension or compression),  $\bar{\sigma}$  coincides with the applied stress, and  $\bar{\varepsilon}^p$  coincides with plastic strain in the direction of the applied stress, so that function  $H(\bullet)$  is the stress-plastic strain curve obtained in a tensile test with the alloy.

Such stress-plastic strain curve used to be dependent on temperature and strain rate. The most widely used analytical formulae are those by Johnson-Cook and Zerilli-Armstrong. Johnson-Cook equation is an empirical formula

$$\sigma = \left[ A + B \left( \varepsilon^p \right)^n \right] \left( 1 + C \ln \dot{\varepsilon}^* \right) \left( 1 - T^{*m} \right) \quad (8)$$

where  $\dot{\varepsilon}^*$  is the strain rate ratio

$$\dot{\varepsilon}^* = \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} \quad (9)$$

$\dot{\varepsilon}$  being the actual strain rate,  $\dot{\varepsilon}_0$  a reference strain rate and  $T^*$  is a temperature factor given by

$$T^* = \frac{T - T_0}{T_m - T_0} \quad (10)$$

where  $T$  is actual temperature,  $T_0$  a reference temperature and  $T_m$  the melting temperature.  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$ ,  $m$  and  $n$  are empirical constants.

Zerilli-Armstrong expressions are derived from dislocation motion equations. For FCC metals

$$\sigma = C_0 + C_2 (\varepsilon^p)^n \exp\{-C_3 T + C_4 T \ln \dot{\varepsilon}\} \quad (11)$$

For BCC metals

$$\sigma = C_0 + C_1 \exp\{-C_3 T + C_4 T \ln \dot{\varepsilon}\} + C_5 (\varepsilon^p)^n \quad (12)$$

where  $\dot{\varepsilon}$  is the strain rate,  $T$  the absolute temperature and  $C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5$  and  $n$  are material constants to be determined experimentally.

## 2.2. Damage and Fracture Models

Continuum Mechanics models of ductile fracture of metals and alloys are usually developed by transforming the general equations of Plasticity to incorporate into the most common damage mechanisms. These consist of the nucleation, growth and coalescence of voids from second phase particles that fail by fracture or decohesion from the metal matrix. The metal or alloy is identified with a porous material whose porosity increases with plastic deformation due to continuous nucleation of new voids and growth of the old ones. Void coalescence is the damage process determinant of failure modes such as ductile crack growth or localized plastic flow and shear fracture. The most widely known porous ductile material model is originally due to Gurson [2.1], even though posterior contributions have fruitfully improved it (see, for instance [2.2]). A scalar field representing the void fraction is incorporated into the constitutive equations of Plasticity to account for the influence of porosity on the macroscopic deformation. As a counterbalance, a porosity growth law must be added to the constitutive equations. Since plastic strain does not change volume, this law must express that the porosity growth rate only differs from the macroscopic volume strain rate in the contribution of void nucleation to the porosity rate.

The constitutive equations modified by the incorporation of porosity are the yield condition and the stress-strain relations. Further, the spherical part of the stress tensor also must be incorporated into these set of equations, since this component of stresses largely influences porosity, even though the plastic strains of the metal matrix do not depend on it.

Accordingly, a very simple model of porous ductile material can be formulated by assuming a rigid, perfectly plastic metal matrix, in which void nucleation and yielding occur simultaneously and no further void nucleation takes place. For such a material, plastic strains are the complete strains, the yield condition of the matrix becomes reduced to the substitution of the function  $F(\bullet)$  of Eq (4) by a material constant, the yield strength  $\sigma_Y$ , and the porosity growth rate coincides with the macroscopic volume strain rate. According to the original Gurson model, the yield condition, the stress-strain relations, and the porosity growth law for this porous material are given in the next table and compared with the analogous equations for the same material in the absence of porosity.

	Non porous material	Porous material	
Yield condition	$\bar{\sigma} = \sigma_Y$	$\bar{\sigma} = \sigma_Y \sqrt{1 + v^2 - 2v \cosh\left(\frac{3\sigma_m}{2\sigma_Y}\right)}$	(13)
Stress-strain	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_p = \dot{\lambda} \frac{3}{\sigma_Y^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}'$	$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_p = \dot{\lambda} \left[ \frac{3}{\sigma_Y^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}' + \frac{v}{\sigma_Y} \sinh\left(\frac{3\sigma_m}{2\sigma_Y}\right) \mathbf{1} \right]$	(14)
Porosity growth	$\text{tr}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \text{tr}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_p = 0$	$\text{tr}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \text{tr}\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_p = \frac{\dot{v}}{1-v}$	(15)

In these formulae,  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the von Mises stress,  $\sigma_m$  the spherical stress,  $v$  the void fraction,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}'$  is the deviator stress tensor,  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$  and  $\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^p$  are the complete and plastic strain-rate tensors,  $\dot{\lambda}$  is an indeterminate proportionality factor,  $\mathbf{1}$  is the identity tensor, and a superimposed dot denotes time derivative. Plasticity problems involving a porous material as described by the equations of the third column of the table are solved by using these equations together with the general Continuum Mechanics ones (equilibrium, compatibility).

For porous materials with isotropic work-hardening matrix, the yield strength  $\sigma_Y$  of the matrix is not a material constant, but a function  $\sigma_Y = F(w_p)$  of plastic work per unit volume  $w_p$ , as stated in the previous section. To keep the balance between unknowns and equations, the condition of equal plastic work rate in the matrix and the porous material must be added. This yields the additional equation

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_p = (1-v) \dot{w}_p = (1-v) f'(\sigma_Y) \dot{\sigma}_Y \quad (16)$$

$f'(\cdot)$  being the derivative of the inverse function  $f(\cdot)$  of  $F(\cdot)$ .

-  
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 27 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,  
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

## Bibliography

- [2.1] A. L. Gurson, (1977) Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth: part I- yield criteria and flow rules for porous ductile media. Eng. Mat. Tech., **99** 2-15.
- [2.2] V. Tvergaard, *Material Failure by Void Growth to Coalescence in Advances in Applied Mechanics, Volume 27*, 83-151 (Academic Press, New York, 1990)
- [2.3] European Committee for Standardization, *European Standard EN-1993 Design of Steel Structures, Part 1-9: Fatigue*, (Brussels, 2005)
- [3.1] Nemat-Nasser S and Hori M (1999) *Micromechanics. Overall properties of heterogeneous materials*. North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- [3.2] Torquato S (2001) *Random Heterogeneous Materials*. Springer. New York.
- [3.3] Eshelby J D (1957) “The determination of the elastic field of an ellipsoidal inclusion and related problems” Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A241, 376-396.
- [3.4] Hill R (1965) “A self-consistent mechanics of composite materials” J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 13, 213-222.
- [3.5] Mori T and Tanaka K (1973) “Average stress in the matrix and average elastic energy of materials with misfitting inclusions” Acta metall. Mater., 21, 571-574.
- [3.6] Benveniste Y (1987) “A new approach to the application of Mori-Tanaka's theory in composite materials” Mech. Mater., 6, 147-157.
- [3.7] Pierard O, Friebel C and Doghri I (2004), “Mean-field homogenization of multiphase thermo-elastic composites: a general framework and its validation”. Comp Sci Tech, 64, 1587-1603.
- [3.8] Kroner E (1958) “Berechnung der elastischen Konstanten des Vielkristalls aus den Konstanten der Einkristalls” Z. Physik, 151, 504-518.
- [3.9] Hill R (1963) “Elastic properties of reinforced solids: some theoretical principles” J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 11, 357-372.
- [3.10] Hashin Z and Shtrikman S (1963) “A variational approach to the theory of elastic behavior of multiphase materials” J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 11, 127-140.
- [3.11] Ponte Castañeda P and Suquet P (1998) “Nonlinear composites” Adv. Appl. Mech., 34, 171-301.
- [3.12] Chaboche J L, Kanouté P and Roos A (2005) “On the capabilities of mean-field approaches for the description of plasticity in metal matrix composites” Int. J. Plasticity, 21, 1409-1434.
- [3.13] González C and LLorca J (2000) “A self-consistent approach to the elasto-plastic behavior of two-phase materials including damage” J. Mech. Phys. Solids, 48, 675-692.
- [3.14] Estevez R, Maire E, Franciosi P and Wilkinson, D S (1999) “Effect of particle clustering on the strengthening versus damage rivalry in particulate reinforced elastic plastic materials: A 3-D analysis from a self-consistent modeling” Eur. J. Mech. A/Solids, 18, 785-804.
- [4.1] Treloar L.R.G. (1958). *The Physics of Rubber Elasticity*, 322 pp. Clarendon, Oxford, UK: [A classical review of the elastic properties of rubber, together with the kinetic-theory background.]

- [4.2] Kratky O. and Porod G. (1949). Röntgenuntersuchung gelöster Fadenmoleküle. *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* **68**, 1106-1123: [Develops the basis of the worm-like chain model to describe the behavior of semi-flexible polymer]
- [4.3] James H. M. and Guth E. (1943). Theory of the elastic properties of rubber. *J. Chem. Phys.* **11**, 455-481: [Develops the basis of the three-chain model to describe the behavior of semi-flexible polymers]
- [4.4] Arruda E.M. and Boyce M.C. (1993). A three-dimensional constitutive model for the large stretch behavior of elastomers. *J. Mech. Phys Solids* **41**, 389-412: [Develops the basis of the eight-chain model to describe the behavior of semi-flexible polymers]
- [4.5] Wu P.D. and van der Giessen E. (1993) On improved network models for rubber elasticity and their applications to orientation hardening in glassy polymers. *J. Mech. Phys Solids*, **41**, 427-456: [Develops the basis of the full-chain model to describe the behavior of semi-flexible polymers]
- [4.6] Baltussen J.J.M. and Northolt M.G. (1999) The stress and sonic modulus versus strain curve of polymer fibres with yield. *Polymer* **40**, 6113-6124: [Describes the stress vs. strain curve of polymer fibres by means of the continuous chain model in combination with a simple yield criterion based on a critical shear yield strain]
- [4.7] Qi H.J., Ortiz C. and Boyce M.C. (2006) Mechanics of Biomacromolecular Networks Containing Folded Domains. *J. Eng. Materials and Tech., ASME*, **128**, 509-518: [Constitutive models for the large strain deformation of networks of modular macromolecules are developed building directly from freely jointed chain and worm-like chain models]
- [4.8] Zhou H. and Zhang Y. (2005). Hierarchical Chain Model of Spider Capture Silk Elasticity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 028104: [Proposes a simple hierarchical chain model to reproduce force-extension relationships in biological fibers]
- [4.9] Fung Y. C. (1993) *Biomechanics: Mechanical Properties of Living Tissues*, 2nd ed., 568 pp. Springer, New York, U.S.: [Reference book, internationally accepted, on the mechanical properties of biological fluids, solids, tissues and organs]
- [4.10] Humphrey J.D. (2002). *Cardiovascular Solid Mechanics*, 757 pp. Springer, New York, U.S.: [Focuses on the response of the heart and blood vessels to mechanical loads from the perspective of nonlinear solid mechanics, integrating basic analytical, experimental and computational methods]
- [4.11] Holzapfel G.A., Gasser T.C. and Ogden R.W. (2000) A New Constitutive Framework for Arterial Wall Mechanics and a Comparative Study of Material Models. *J. Elasticity* **61**, 1-48: [Develops a constitutive law for the mechanical response of arterial tissue treating each vascular layer as a fiber-reinforced material]
- [4.12] Spencer A.J.M. (1984) Constitutive theory for strongly anisotropic solids. *Continuum theory of the mechanics of fibre-reinforced composites*, CISM Courses 282 (eds. Spencer, A.J.M. et al.), chap. IX, New York, U.S., Springer : [Develops the basis of constitutive equations for fiber-reinforced composites]
- [4.13] Termonia Y. (1994) Molecular Modeling of Spider Silk Elasticity. *Macromolecules* **27**, 7378-7381: [Introduces a comprehensive molecular model of spider silk fibers taking into account the crystalline and amorphous phases]
- [4.14] Porter D., Vollrath F. and Shao Z. (2005) Predicting the mechanical properties of spider silk as a model nanostructured polymer, *Eur. Phys. J. E* **16**, 199-206 :[Develops a model for the mechanical behavior of spider silk based on its microstructure, in terms of chemical composition and the degree of order in the polymer structure.]
- [4.15] Kaplan D. et al. (eds.) (1994) *Silk Polymers: Materials Science and Biotechnology*, 370 pp. ACS Symposium Series 544, Washington D.C., U.S.: [Gets together a bunch of selected papers on the mechanical and molecular structure of silks with an emphasis on development and application of high-performance and composite materials]
- [4.16] Elices M. (ed.) (2000). *Structural Biological Materials*, 380 pp. Pergamon, Amsterdam, The Netherlands :[This book focuses on the study of three sub-groups of structural biological materials: Hard tissue engineering, focusing on cortical bone, Soft tissue engineering and Fibrous materials, particularly engineering with silk fibers. The fundamental relationship between structure and properties, and certain aspects of design and engineering, are explored in each of the sub-groups]

[4.17] Kuhn, W. and Grun F. (1942), Beziehungen zwischen elastischen Konstanten und Dehnungsdoppelbrechung hochelastischer Stoffe. *Kolloid-Z*, **101**, 248-271 :[Discusses the mechanics of elastomeric chains from a statistical point of view, deriving the basic equations]

[4.18] Demiray, H. and Vito, R.P. (1991) A layered cylindrical shell model for aorta, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **29**; 47-54 :[Proposes some exponential strain energy density functions for the different layers of the arterial wall within the framework of hyperelastic materials]

[4.19] Takamizawa, T.T., and Hayashi, K. (1987) Strain energy density function and uniform strain hypothesis for arterial mechanics, *J. Biomech.* **20**; 7-17 :[Proposes a logarithmic strain energy density function for the arterial wall within the framework of hyperelastic materials]

[4.20] Planas, J., Guinea, G.V. and Elices, M. (2007) Constitutive model for fiber reinforced materials with deformable matrices, *Physical Review E*, **76** (4), 041903 :[Develops a simple macroscopic model for fiber-reinforced materials with deformable matrices derived by imposing equivalence between the virtual works of both the fiber-reinforced material and the equivalent continuum media]

### Biographical Sketches

**Manuel Elices** (MSc. Civil Engineering (1963), MSc. Physics (1964) , PhD. (1966)) is Emeritus Professor of Materials Science and Technology at the Polytechnic University of Madrid. Professor Elices' professional and research work has always centred upon Materials Science. He has been Associate Editor of many major international journals in the field (*Acta Materialia* and *Scripta Materialia* among others) and he has served of Chairman, Counsellor or Member of the Advisory Committee of various international organisations (ACI, FIP, RILEM). Prof. Elices has carried out research on the mechanical behaviour of materials in both the experimental and theoretical fields. As guest lecturer he has given courses in a number of universities in Europe, the U.S.A., South America, Japan and China. He introduced in Spain the new engineering degree of Materials Science and Engineering, and he has published more than 300 scientific papers, 10 books, and contributed chapters in another 10 books. Professor Elices is a Life Member of the Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales and of the Academia de Ingeniería de España. Member of the European Academy (Materials Science Section), Honorary Doctor of the Universities of Navarra and of Carlos III and the holder of a number of National and International prizes (Bengough, Metals Society, DuPont, Honorary Member of ESIS, Spanish National Prize of Technological Research Leonardo Torres Quevedo, Real Academia de Ciencias Medal, Polytechnic University of Madrid Medal and Ramón LLull among others).

**Vicente Sanchez-Galvez** is Civil Engineer from Polytechnic University of Madrid, UPM, (1971), Physicist from Complutensis University (1975) and Doctor from UPM (1975). He is Full Professor at the Civil Engineering School of UPM (1983). He is author of nine books and over 200 papers on mechanical properties of materials. He has been Director of the Civil Engineering School (1989-1997) and Vicerector of UPM (2000-2007). Currently he is the Director of the Materials Science Department of UPM as well as Director of The Research Centre for Safety and Durability of Structures and Materials (CISDEM).

**Andrés Valiente** (MSc. Civil Engineering (1975), PhD. (1980), MSc. Physics (1982) ) is Professor of Materials Science at the Polytechnic University of Madrid. His professional and research work has always centred upon mechanical performance of structural materials and structural integrity.

**Prof. Javier Llorca** graduated in Civil Engineering at the Polytechnic University of Madrid in 1983. He got his Ph. D. in Materials Science at the same institution in 1986 and was appointed Associate Professor in the Department of Materials Science in 1987 and Professor in 1995. He is currently head of the research group on “Advanced Structural Materials and Nanomaterials” at the Polytechnic University of Madrid and Director of Madrid Institute for Advanced Studies of Materials (IMDEA Materials). His research activity has been focused in the analysis of the relationship between microstructure and mechanical properties in advanced structural materials. Prof. LLorca has held positions as invited professor at Brown and Cornell University and has received various awards, including the Research Award from the Spanish Royal Academy of Sciences, the Research award from the Polytechnic University of Madrid and the Gold Medal from the Spanish Structural Integrity Society. He is currently Associate Editor of Modelling and Simulation in Materials Science and Engineering, Composites Science

and Technology, International Journal of Fatigue and Fracture of Engineering Materials and Structures, and International Journal of Engineering Sciences. In the framework of his research activities, he has co-authored over 130 research papers in international peer-reviewed journals, holds an h index of 30, and has given about one hundred invited talks at national and international conferences and research centers throughout the world.

**Gustavo V. Guinea** (Madrid, Spain; 1962) is professor of materials science at the Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, where he obtained his M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Civil Engineering in 1986 and 1990, respectively. He also holds a M.Sc. in Physics from the Universidad Complutense de Madrid where he graduated in 1988. Among other prizes, he was awarded the RILEM's Robert L'Hermite Medal in 1993, and in 2006 he was appointed to Correspondent Member of the Royal Spanish Academy of Sciences. Prof. Guinea is since 2002 the President of the Spanish Structural Integrity Society. Expert on mechanical behavior and fracture of structural materials, he has a relevant research experience in characterization and modeling of mechanical properties and microstructure of biological fibers and soft tissues.

UNESCO – EOLSS  
SAMPLE CHAPTERS