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Summary

Why should demand-side management be of interest? Since the early 1970s, economic, political, social, technological, and resource supply factors have combined to change the energy industry's operating environment and its outlook for the future. Many are faced with staggering capital requirements for new plants, significant fluctuations in demand and energy growth rates, declining financial performance and political or regulatory and consumer concern about rising prices.

While demand-side management is not a cure-all for these difficulties, it does provide for great many additional alternatives. These demand-side alternatives are equally appropriate for consideration by utilities, energy suppliers, energy-service suppliers, and government entities.
For strong load growth, load management and strategic conservation can provide an effective means to reduce or postpone construction of new generating facilities. For others, electrification and deliberate increases in the market share of energy intensive uses can improve the utility load characteristics and optimize asset utilization.

Aside from these rather obvious cases, changing the load shape that an energy system can reduce operating costs. Changes in the load shape can permit adjustments in plant loadings, thus increasing the use of more efficient plants and permitting the use of more domestically abundant and less expensive energy sources.

In the residential sector, cost control/consumer options give the customer some capability to control monthly bills. Many electric customers who had become accustomed to stable prices have seen dramatic increases in their energy bills. In many parts of the world, these upward price trends are expected to continue.

Demand-side alternatives, in particular, cost-control/customer options, give customers a positive signal that there is concern about high energy bills and that they are provided with the means to control future expenditures for energy and energy services.

In addition, implementing demand-side management can lead to greater flexibility in facing rapid change in today's business environment.

While demand-side management is not a panacea for all problems facing the energy industry and its stakeholders, it does provide additional alternatives for meeting the challenges of the future.

1. Introduction

Demand-side management encompasses planning, evaluation, implementation, and monitoring of activities selected from among a wide variety of technical alternatives.

This wide range of alternatives mandates demand-side management be considered in utility, government and regional energy planning processes, as illustrated in Figure 1. Due to the large number of alternatives, however, assessing which alternative is best suited is not a trivial task.

The choice is complicated by the fact that the attractiveness of alternatives is influenced strongly by specific local and regional factors, such as generating mix, expected load growth, capacity expansion plans, load factor, load shapes for average and extreme days, regulatory climate, and reserve margins.

Therefore, it is often inappropriate to transfer these varying specific factors from one area or country to another without appropriate adjustments.

In addition, the success of any alternative depends on the specific combination of marketing activities selected to aid in promoting customer acceptance.
A large body of research has accumulated about DSM programs, customer reactions to these programs, program impacts, and program costs. This topic focuses on the following questions, which are addressed in the articles and the subarticles that follow:

What is DSM? (see Section 2.2)
How did DSM evolve? (see Section 2.3)
What has been accomplished to date, and at what cost? (see Section 3.2)
What was expected from DSM for the 1990s? (see Section 3.3)
What are the key target market segments for DSM and why? (see Section 3.3)
What is the strategic role of DSM evaluation? (see Section 3.4)

2. Demand-Side Management

2.1. What Is Real

During the 1980s, developed countries saw demand-side management (DSM) transformed rapidly from a start-up activity to a full-blown industry in its own right. DSM became a significant part of integrated resource planning (IRP) strategies that balance cost against risk to meet current and future customer needs. Annual DSM expenditures in the U.S. were measured in billions of dollars, energy savings were measured in billions of kWh, and peak load reductions were stated in thousands of megawatts. Depending on local conditions, energy savings in the U.S. from DSM were projected to be as much as 10% by 2000. Elsewhere in the world, DSM was increasingly viewed as an option to reduce the needs for electric generation capacity.
However, with a few exceptions, other world nations saw DSM as an activity to be pursued by government entities. Few countries have embraced the degree of market intervention still practiced in the United States.

2.2. What is DSM

Demand-side management is the planning and implementation of those activities designed to influence consumer use of energy in ways that will produce desired changes in the time pattern and magnitude of energy. Programs and initiatives falling under the umbrella of demand-side management include load management, new uses, strategic conservation, electrification, distributed generation, and adjustments in the market share of energy-consuming devices and appliances.

Demand-side management includes only those activities that involve a deliberate intervention in the marketplace so as to alter energy use. This intervention has often been hosted by the electric and/or natural gas utility, but can be effected by other energy suppliers, retailers, or government entities. Under this definition, consumer purchases of energy efficient refrigerators as a reaction to the perceived need for conservation would not be classified as demand-side management. On the other hand, a program that encourages consumers to install energy efficient refrigerators, through either incentives or advertising, meets the definition of demand-side management. While this distinction between "naturally" occurring and deliberately induced changes in energy consumption and load shape is at times difficult to make, it is nevertheless important. Note also that demand-side management extends beyond conservation and load management to include programs designed specifically to modify energy use in both peak and off-peak periods. Thus, demand-side management alternatives warrant consideration by entities or countries such as energy and energy service suppliers with ambitious construction programs, those with high reserve margins, and those facing high marginal costs.

Another important concept to consider in defining demand-side management is that of consumer options to control costs or cost/control consumer options. While demand-side management can include programs designed for all consumers, the term cost control/consumer options has been used to describe certain of those alternatives applicable specifically to the residential class. In this theme, this term refers to those demand-side alternatives that give residential customers the opportunity to control their energy usage and total energy bills.

Cost control/consumer options involve a partnership between the energy and energy service supplier and their designs and offers the program to its customers—but it is the customer who ultimately decides whether or not to participate in the program. Although participation in the program is voluntary, suppliers can offer a wide range of inducements to encourage participation.

2.3. How Did DSM Evolve

The energy shocks of the 1970s had profound impacts on the electric and gas utility industries, impacts that helped give rise to the use of DSM strategies. Sharp increases in
fuel prices were accompanied by high inflation and interest rates. Consequently, the cost of building, financing and operating power plants greatly increased. The resulting rate increases were met with unprecedented customer reaction in the form of vocal outcry and changes in energy-use patterns. After years of operating in a stable environment, utilities and other energy suppliers were suddenly presented with high levels of uncertainty concerning two crucial elements of the planning process: projections of costs and customer demand. As resource planning for energy suppliers became more difficult, the consequences of making inaccurate projections became so immense that default and bankruptcy became real possibilities for the first time in the utility industry since the Great Depression.

The rise in electricity prices prompted customers to reduce consumption. EPRI estimates that the price-induced reduction, or elasticity, of electricity consumption amounted to a 20% decrease below the level of use that otherwise would have occurred. This effect is generally included in utility forecasting models and is not typically considered part of the effect of DSM programs. In addition to this immediate market price response, the higher prices and increased national attention to energy issues also created a demand for new technologies and energy services.

In short, the abrupt changes in the global energy industry were manifested in several ways:

- Planning energy infrastructure was plagued by the uncertainty of future demand for energy.
- Conservation measures became more attractive to consumers as electricity costs rose.
- Promoting DSM measures became less expensive to some governments and utilities than the generation alternatives in many cases.
- Utilities and governments sought ways to alleviate customer and citizen dissatisfaction by providing service options that offered the opportunity to gain control over electricity bills.

These changes in the energy industry resulting from the shake up in energy supply markets profoundly affected the development of programs designed to impact energy use. At the same time, governments and utilities became more aware of the need to work with customers and communities to maintain the local economic base. All of these changes involved greater interaction with customers and their issues.

The first step in the shift toward a focus was the introduction and evolution of electric and natural gas load management. Load-management programs focus on reducing customer use strategically at the time of high utility-system loads. The goal is to avoid construction of generation, production, and delivery facilities that would be operated for relatively few hours per year and/or costly wholesale purchases when customer loads can be shifted or displaced at a lesser cost. Whereas energy efficiency reduces loads throughout the year, load management targets specific moments and typically has smaller impacts on annual energy usage. Load management programs have been more stable over time than most other customer programs. In many ways, this is the most
mature part of DSM activity to date; many utilities have well-developed and significant load management programs.

There are several important components of load management activities, including direct load control (DLC), pricing-based options (interruptible/curtailable rates or seasonal rates), and thermal energy storage. The initial program efforts were hardware-centered and did not sufficiently consider customer needs. The objectives were to identify technologies that worked in peak clipping, valley filling and load shifting and push them into the marketplace. These load management efforts were followed closely by the early interest in "conservation." Again, technology was the driving force of these early conservation programs. Utilities and governments maintained an inventory of energy-saving actions, and they would test hardware options and provide information to customers about possible energy savings from technology adoption.

Instead of using technology or hardware as the driving force behind programs, DSM was the first marketing strategy that specifically promoted a customer focus. Instead of conducting "what-if" studies on individual technologies, DSM allowed an integrated look at technology options, customer needs, and utility considerations.

The DSM framework was initially constructed for two reasons. First, it responded to the need for a logical process to help energy planners optimize the supply–demand interface. Second, it presented a unique marketing tool. The DSM framework was structured to convince supply-side planners that "demand need not be considered as fixed." It packaged the idea of planning both energy supply options and energy demand changes concurrently.

Although EPRI's broad definition of DSM had many merits, it has still not been widely adopted. In the more than 70 integrated resource planning documents reviewed during the research discussed later, not one instance of the use of this broad definition of DSM was apparent. In practice, DSM became an umbrella for increased efficiency, load management and conservation, all with an emphasis on reducing the need for energy. This restricted definition of DSM is used throughout the next chapters.

A corresponding framework and industry consensus has not developed for the remaining group of activities included in the broader concept of DSM. These activities include promotion of new uses of energy to achieve goals such as increased total resource efficiency and increased total factor productivity. We have adopted the term "electrification" to include these actions and others that lead to wider use of electricity. The concept applies equally well to natural gas, oil, and coal.

3. DSM Impacts

Utility industry involvement on the customer side of the meter underwent several serious transformations since the 1970s. In the mid-1970s and early 1980s, full-scale conservation information and load management programs were initiated in the USA and several European countries. It was not until the mid-1980s, however, that USA DSM programs involving the use of the rebates and incentives to promote the purchase of efficient equipment became widespread. Although the exact date is somewhat arbitrary,
we will use 1985 to mark the beginning of "modern-day" DSM. The transition toward greater use of utility investments to promote the purchase of high-efficiency equipment implies a growing need to account for the costs and benefits of DSM. By about 1994 this phase ended. What remains today are programs that are largely regulatory driven and represent a far smaller effort than was in place in 1992 when DSM was at its peak.

The remainder of this chapter describes efforts to quantify the accomplishments of the industry from 1985 to the middle of 1993. First, in Section 3.1, the components of DSM programs that promote increased efficiency of customer-owned equipment are discussed. The costs and impacts of load-management programs will be treated separately in Section 3.2. Section 3.3 describes the potential for DSM in the United States. These data are not directly transferable to the rest of the world, but they give an order-of-magnitude approximation. In most cases, the industrial results and potential are largely transferable. Commercial and residential results are less transferable unless size of dwelling, weather (with particular emphasis on heating and cooling energy use), appliance holdings, and illumination levels are adjusted.

Sections 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 introduce the concept of evaluating DSM as a resource and assuring continued research and development to improve efficiency.
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