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Summary

The paper introduces a methodology, based on the Structural Theory of Thermoeconomics (see Structural Theory of Thermoeconomics) and Symbolic Thermoeconomics (see Symbolic Thermoeconomic Analysis of Energy Systems), to the operation diagnosis of energy systems. It provides the theoretical basis and practical procedures to identify the causes of the degradation of the efficiency of a thermal plant in operation, and the assessment of its effects in terms of additional fuel consumption.

1. Introduction

According to the ASME guide PTC-PM, a diagnosis procedure must have a deductive nature based on the observed symptoms. It must be flexible enough, and must recommend new tests to isolate causes and inform whether these tests are cost-effective or not. The methodology should have the following steps:

- Identification of components and degradation symptoms.
- Clear description of the symptoms to allow a simple problem recognition.
- Evaluation of the deterioration mechanisms and the root causes.
- Validation and conclusions.

As a result, a diagnostic procedure should yield those specific recommendations to change operating strategies, maintenance actions and components replacement.

The objective of a monitoring system is the efficiency improvement or in other words detection of efficiency deviations. A 3% deviation of efficiency with respect to a reference conditions, is quite easily detected by the operator, therefore a monitoring
system must detect losses in the range of 0.25–0.5%. Values under this range become difficult to identify because of the instrumentation accuracy. Moreover it is very difficult to locate and to find the real causes of all effects that can simultaneously occur. This is due to the high complexity of interrelations among components in a power cycle. A successful interpretative procedure will reduce the non-accountable losses to a minimum, and will put forward the ultimate causes of component degradation.

According with these ideas a diagnosis methodology will require:

- **Data Acquisition System**: to monitoring the power plant, including data filtering, consistence checking and historical storage.
- **Performance Tests**: a procedure, normally based on performance test codes, that determines the actual state of the plant with the higher attainable accuracy, with regard to the available instrumentation.
- **State of Reference**: a validated model of the plant which represents the state of reference for any operation mode, environmental conditions or feedstock compositions.

**Thermoeconomic Diagnosis Model**: that allocates and assesses the increase of resources consumption compared to the one foreseen by the state of reference and explains the underlying causes.

This paper focuses on the last point, the description of theoretical basis and the practical procedures of a thermoeconomic methodology for operation diagnosis.

In order to clarify the concepts introduced in the paper, we will use the example of a cogeneration gas turbine described in the article (see *The Thermodynamic Process of Cost Formation*). The control parameter values and fuel – product values for reference and operation conditions, used in the example – are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N#</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>x⁰</th>
<th>x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pcb</td>
<td>Combustor pressure losses ΔP₁</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ncp</td>
<td>Compressor isentropic efficiency ηₚ</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ntg</td>
<td>Turbine isentropic efficiency η₉</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGT</td>
<td>Inlet turbine gases temperature T₃</td>
<td>850 ºC</td>
<td>855 ºC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rcp</td>
<td>Compression ratio P₂/P₀</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ncb</td>
<td>Combustor 1st law efficiency ηₖ</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Model operational variables.
Thermoeconomic diagnosis is a Second Law based technique oriented to operation analysis. The exergy balance of an installation allows us to allocate and calculate irreversibilities in the production process and to identify the equipment which affect overall efficiency and the reasons thereof. This information, although useful, has proved not to be enough. In practice, when attempting to achieve energy savings in an installation, we must consider that not all irreversibility can be avoided. The potential exergy saving is limited by technical and economic constraints. Thus, the technical possibilities for exergy savings, which is called technical exergy saving, are always lower than the theoretical limit of thermodynamic exergy losses. From this perspective, in the plant of our example, we can see in Table 2 that only 506 kW, out of the 7.432 MW of the total irreversibilities of the plant, can be saved with respect to reference conditions.

Therefore, the additional fuel consumption can be expressed as the difference between the resources consumption of the plant in operation and the resources consumption for a reference or design condition, with the same production objectives, i.e. with the same total plant product.

\[
\Delta F = F_T(x) - F_T(x_0)
\]  

(1)

It can be broken up into the sum of the irreversibilities of each component:

\[
\Delta F = \Delta I_T = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (I_j(x) - I_j(x_0)) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \Delta I_j
\]  

(2)

However, the local exergy savings which can be achieved in the different units or processes of an installation are not equivalent. The same decrease in the local irreversibility of two different components leads, in general, to different variations of the total plant energy consumption. It is shown in the fuel impact formula, presented in the article Symbolic Thermoeconomic Analysis of Energy Systems that expresses the increase of resources consumption in the plant, as a function of the marginal exergy consumption of each individual component of the plant:
The variation of the marginal exergy consumption of each component increases its resources consumption and then its irreversibilities in a quantity \( \Delta \kappa_{ji} P_i^0 \), which is called, malfunction. Consequently, it implies an additional consumption of the external resources given by \( k_{p,j}^* \Delta \kappa_{ji} P_i^0 \), which is called the malfunction cost. Therefore, the total fuel impact can be written as the sum of the fuel impact or malfunction cost of each component, as shown in Eq. (3).

In order to analyze the impact on resource consumption of a plant, we need to know the design and operation values of the irreversibilities, product, unit exergy cost for design and operation, and the increase of the marginal exergy consumption of each component of the plant. A performance test or a simulator model together with the fuel-product model of the plant defined in article The Thermodynamic Process of Cost Formation, provide the values shown in Table 3.

![Table 3. Increase of unit consumption matrix.](image)

Figure 1 compares in a bar graph the malfunction cost and the irreversibility increase or technical saving of each component. It shows that the irreversibility increase and the malfunction are mainly located in combustor, meanwhile malfunction cost appears in all components.

![Figure 1. Malfunction cost and exergy saving.](image)
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