# **CONTROL OF SULFUR OXIDES**

#### S. Zandaryaa

Environment and Sustainable Development Programme, United Nations University, Tokyo, Japan

#### A. Buekens

Department of Chemical Engineering – CHIS 2, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Belgium

**Keywords:** Acid Rain, Bergius Coal Liquefaction Process, Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis, Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD), Hydrodesulfurization (HDS), Sulfuric Acid Production, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

### Contents

Introduction
SO<sub>2</sub> Control Strategies
Fuel Cleaning
Flue Gas Desulfurization Technologies
Conclusions
Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketches

#### Summary

Sulfur oxides are produced from the burning of fossil fuels, mainly coal and oil, and the smelting of metal ores that contain sulfur. Emissions of sulfur oxides cause serious impacts on human health and the environment, both directly and as a result of the way they react with other substances in the air. Sulfur oxides are main precursors of atmospheric acidification, aerosol generation, and acidic dry and wet deposition.

This chapter summarizes various technologies available for controlling emissions of sulfur oxides. Approaches for reducing  $SO_x$  emissions include using clean fuels, cleaning sulfur-containing fuels, and flue gas desulfurization techniques. Switching to low-sulfur fuels, or cleaning high-sulfur fuels, is an effective means of preventing  $SO_x$  emissions as the amount of  $SO_2$  produced directly depends on the sulfur content of fuel. Fuel cleaning is cost-effective in most cases, but it generates large quantities of solid waste and wastewater that should be properly treated and disposed of. Alkali sorbent injection and flue gas desulfurization (FGD) methods allow a relatively deep  $SO_x$  removal. Flue gas desulfurization is carried out in either *regenerable* or *once-through* systems. Both systems are based on either wet or dry FGD processes.

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Sulfur Oxides

Sulfur oxides (SO<sub>x</sub>) comprise two distinct compounds, namely dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and

trioxide (SO<sub>3</sub>). Sulfur dioxide is a colorless, toxic gas with pungent odor formed when sulfur is oxidized:

$$S+O_2 \rightarrow SO_2$$
 (1)

Sulfur dioxide is highly soluble in water, forming sulfurous acid ( $H_2SO_3$ ). Under combustion conditions (> 1000° C),  $SO_2$  is stable. Conversely,  $SO_3$  is stable in air at ambient temperatures and extremely reactive and corrosive.

Large quantities of SO<sub>2</sub> are produced from human activities, mainly burning elemental sulfur in fuels or roasting pyrite (FeS<sub>2</sub>) or sulfide ores of metals such as zinc, copper, lead, etc. The major sources of SO<sub>x</sub> emissions are fossil fuel fired power plants and boilers, metal ore smelters, oil refineries and transportation. Smaller stationary combustion sources such as coal burning for domestic heating also contribute to the problem, especially in urban areas during the winter. Fuel combustion contributes up to about 70 % of the total SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with the balance attributed to oil refining, chemical and metallurgical industries (see *Pollution Control in Industrial Processes*). Almost all SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are anthropogenic, although there are also some natural sources, such as erupting of volcanoes and bush burning.

During combustion most of the sulfur in fuel is converted to  $SO_2$ , with  $SO_3$  being formed in small amounts. Sulfur dioxide emissions can easily be estimated from fuel consumption, simply by multiplying fuel consumption with twice its sulfur (S) content (mass units), since

- in mass units the amount of SO<sub>2</sub> is almost the double of that of fuel sulfur, and
- sulfur contained in fuel is converted almost quantitatively into SO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>3</sub>.

Not all SO<sub>2</sub> produced during combustion is emitted with the flue gases; some basic compounds in ash bind part of it. Spontaneous neutralization reduces the calculated emissions from coal (see *Control of Pollution in the Chemical Industry*). Ash behavior, however, must be evaluated in each specific case, on a basis of both composition and reactivity.

Sulfur trioxide is either emitted directly into the atmosphere or produced by oxidation of  $SO_2$ . Catalytic conversion of  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$  is possible in the presence of particulates in the atmosphere, or of boiler deposits, dust, and other gaseous impurities in the flue gas, but generally it remains marginal. Particulates tend to catalyze the atmospheric conversion of  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$  which combines with water vapor to form sulfuric acid mist (aerosols). Homogeneous  $NO_x$  catalysts also oxidize  $SO_2$  to  $SO_3$ . Emissions of hydrogen sulfide are detectable by its smell (see *Odor Control*).

At temperatures below its dewpoint (<  $160^{\circ}$  C, depending on moisture and SO<sub>3</sub> concentration), sulfuric acid condenses to an acid aerosol. Scavenging of SO<sub>2</sub> by soot flakes leads to low-temperature, carbon catalyzed sulfuric acid formation. This concept is used industrially as a means of flue gas cleaning, converting SO<sub>2</sub> into storable, but expensive sulfuric acid.

### **1.2.** Environmental and Health Effects of SO<sub>x</sub> Emissions

Human exposure to sulfur dioxide can result in irritation of the respiratory system which can cause both temporary and permanent damage. The degree of harm is significantly higher in the presence of airborne particulates and aerosols.

Sulfur dioxide can cause both acute and chronic injury to plants and limit both their growth and crop yield. Other adverse effects of  $SO_2$  are corrosion and damage of building materials and textile fibers.

### 2. SO<sub>2</sub> Control Strategies

Strategies for  $SO_2$  control are based on either prevention of  $SO_2$  pollution (firing clean fuel), or end-of-pipe treatment of flue gases. Since small-scale flue gas cleaning is often impractical, substitution of sulfur-containing fuels by clean fuel is desirable.

Prevention of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution involves switching to low-sulfur fuels, or else a reduction of fuel-sulfur before its firing. Since SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are directly proportional to the sulfur content of fuel, and also to the amount fired, a reduction in emissions can be achieved by switching to low-sulfur fuels and to higher quality ones. But low-sulfur fuels are not always available—low-sulfur fuel reserves are decreasing and are becoming unavailable in many areas—and cost more than less desirable high-sulfur qualities. For example, Bulgaria suffers from a combination of high-sulfur, low heating value lignite fuel (see *Control of Pollution in the Chemical Industry*).

Hence, various cleaning methods have been developed for desulfurizing sulfur-bearing fuels prior to their firing. This is rather easy for natural gas and light distillates, but less practical for heavy oil or solid fuels (see *Control of Pollution in the Petroleum Industry*). Treatment may involve a purely mechanical coal washing, chemical conversion, liquefaction (Bergius route) or gasification, with subsequent cleaning of the gas produced.

The end-of-pipe treatment is based on flue gas desulfurization (FGD). Numerous FGD processes have been developed and several have achieved commercial application, especially for large stationary sources, such as thermal power plants, oil refineries, industrial boilers and some types of industrial plants, e.g. sulfide ore roasters and smelters or Claus plants.

Another approach for  $SO_2$  control is in-situ treatment, by fluidized bed combustion in the presence of limestone or dolomite.

#### 3. Fuel Cleaning

Almost all fuels can be converted into a gaseous or liquid form, while eliminating sulfur. Technically feasible technologies are available, but their application generally stumbles over cost factors. Moreover, each process typically brings losses in energy content of the order of 15 to 40 %. These factors hamper the introduction of new technologies, as long as cheap natural gas and oil remain in ample supply.

#### 3.1. Sulfur in Fuels

Sulfur is an essential element, present, for instance, in gypsum deposits, many metal ores, fossil fuels, and proteins. All conventional fuels contain various sulfur-bound organic and inorganic compounds, and their sulfur content varies significantly:

- wood and cellulose-rich biomass (< 0.1 percent),
- natural gas (0 15 percent),
- crude oil (0.3 to several percent), and
- coal (0.5 to > 3.0 percent).

Low-S crude oil is called *sweet*, while high-S oil is *sour*.

Some waste is strongly enriched in sulfur, e.g. waste sulfuric acid or oleum, rubber, protein-rich or derived waste (hair, feathers, and leather). Historically, acid sludge, resulting from obsolete oil refining, was dumped in pools and such contaminated legacies are sooner or later to be removed and treated.

#### **3.2. Fuel Desulfurization**

Fuel desulfurization is State-of-the-Art in gas production, where it may be applied to gases (sour natural gas), and in oil refineries (naphtha, kerosene, and distillates). Heavy distillates can still be treated, but feature three phase, trickling bed, catalytic reactors. The high boiling point, coking tendency and ash content lead to frequent catalyst regeneration and often require replacement. Prior processing to lighter fractions by coking, fluid catalytic cracking or hydrocracking is often preferred over direct treatment of heavies (see *Control of Pollution in the Petroleum Industry*).

Desulfurization of fuel offers several advantages, based on:

- *Effect of concentration.* Fuel contains sulfur in a more concentrated solid or liquid form; in flue gas, it is considerably diluted by nitrogen and excess combustion air.
- *Effect of scale.* Using clean fuel, desulfurized at refineries, is simpler than installing pollution control at each small or medium-sized plant. Conversely, only large power plants can afford huge investment in large-scale FGD equipment, if fuel is supplied at lower cost.
- *Resource conservation.* Desulfurization separates sulfur from fuel, yielding elemental sulfur that is marketable, easily recovered, shipped, and handled.

The sulfur recovered is marketed and eventually converted into sulfuric acid in chemical industry.

#### **3.3. Desulfurizing Gaseous Fuels**

Gaseous fuels include natural gas, liquefied petroleum gases (LPG), refinery gas, cracking gas, producer gas, town gas, fermentation gas, etc. Sulfur mainly appears as hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S), carbonyl sulfide (COS), and mercaptans (R-SH, in which R or

 $C_nH_{2n+1}$  is an alkyl group).

Inorganic sulfur (H<sub>2</sub>S, COS) is removed mainly by:

- scrubbing, washing out sulfur-bearing compounds using selective absorption liquors, or
- chemical conversion, after capturing the gases on reactive solid adsorbents like iron oxides.

Processes separating H<sub>2</sub>S and COS from the mainstream have been available for many decades (see wet scrubbing). A sulfur-rich gas is eventually recovered by stripping to

- regenerate and recycle the absorption liquor, and
- recover a concentrated stream, converted into elemental sulfur or sulfuric acid by the Claus process.

Organic sulfur can be extracted, mildly oxidized to less offensive compounds or treated by hydrodesulphurization.

#### **Claus Process**

The Claus process is often used in oil refineries, downstream of fuel desulfurization HDS-processes, for converting gaseous  $H_2S$  into molten sulfur. It features two balanced steps:

- A thermal oxidation step, in which H<sub>2</sub>S is oxidized at high temperatures (1000 to 1400 °C) to SO<sub>2</sub>, with some H<sub>2</sub>S being converted to elemental S, or remaining unconverted.
- A catalytic step, in which the remaining H<sub>2</sub>S reacts with SO<sub>2</sub> on a catalyst at lower temperatures (200 to 350° C), producing molten elemental sulfur.

The main reactions are:

Step 1:	$2 H_2 S + 3 O_2 \rightleftharpoons 2 SO_2 +$	$2 H_2 O$ (2)	)
Step 2:	$2 H_2 S + SO_2 \rightleftharpoons 3 S + 2$	2 H <sub>2</sub> O (3)	)

These reactions are highly exothermic, releasing heat, recovered by generating lowpressure steam in heat exchangers, following the conversion stages.

Sulfur recovery of 94 to 97 % is achieved, using two or three successive catalytic stages. Factors such as concentration, contact time, and reaction temperature influence upon efficiency. Only some  $H_2S$  remains in the tail gas, and with further treatment recovery of over 99 % can be obtained.

#### **Absorption on Iron Oxides**

Inorganic sulfur is easily removed from gas streams such as synthesis gas or town gas

by reaction of acid gases (H<sub>2</sub>S and HCN) with a porous mass of iron oxide (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>). In this traditional process, gas flows through a series of fixed bed vessels, containing crude iron oxide pellets. The H<sub>2</sub>S reacts forming mainly iron sulfide (FeS) and water. Additional air is supplied to the inlet gas in an amount about half of the theoretical oxygen, required to convert the iron sulfide back to the oxide and precipitate elemental S in the bed. Usually, three to four vessels operate in series, with the freshest mass in the last vessel, so that the sulfur at the outlet gas is rarely over one mg per Nm<sup>3</sup> but organic S remains untreated. The removal of H<sub>2</sub>S may be less complete and the life of the iron oxide mass proportionally shorter if a high S-content gas is treated.

The process is non-regenerative, as sulfur is normally not recovered. Once the iron oxide mass is saturated, it is removed and discharged. However, the mass can be burned for  $SO_2$  recovery, e.g. roasted together with pyrite in a sulfuric acid plant. If available, it can also be fed into cement kilns, where the resulting  $SO_2$  is converted into gypsum, the HCN into nitrogen and the iron oxide incorporated in cement clinker. Spent mass from the process should be disposed of and handled properly.

This method was widely used for cleaning town gas; its residues are still a source of soil contamination in many traditional industrial sites due to the improper past disposal of spent mass. Today, the process is obsolete and replaced by scrubbing in adequate liquors.

#### **Removal of Organic Sulfur**

As the previous processes remove only inorganic sulfur (mainly  $H_2S$ ), the organic sulfur compounds remain in the fuel gas untreated. If such compounds are present in significant concentrations, it is necessary to remove them, to avoid smell, corrosion, and pollution. Organic sulfur can be removed by catalytically converting the S-containing organic compounds to  $H_2S$  by hydrogenation, followed by catalytic conversion of  $H_2S$  by the Claus process.

#### Synthetic Natural Gas

Synthetic natural gas is easily converted into methane, using a nickel-based catalyst.

## **3.4. Desulfurizing Liquid Fuels**

Sulfur in liquid fuels is present as thiols (mercaptans) R-SH, sulfides R-S-R', disulfides R-SS-R', thiophenes, and derivates. Such sulfur forms can be removed by catalytic hydrosulfurization (HDS).

## Hydrodesulfurization (HDS)

HDS is based on catalytic hydrogenation of S-containing compounds to  $H_2S$ . The latter is recovered by scrubbing in suitable liquors, subsequent stripping from the effluent liquors, and converted to elemental S in the Claus process. At the same time Ncontaining compounds are converted to  $NH_3$ . Catalytic activity is mainly related to sulfides of group VI, such as molybdenum (Mo) and tungsten (W), and group IX to X, such as cobalt (Co) and nickel (Ni), the latter acting as promoters. In general, a catalyst, molybdenum sulfide (CoMo/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) containing cobalt, is used. It is poisoned by  $H_2S$  and regenerated by running hydrogen through the reactor. Poisoned catalysts can also be recycled for metal recovery; before shipping they need to be deactivated, to avoid spontaneous oxidation of hydrogen, still present in the catalyst.

The most important HDS reactions are:

mercaptans	$RSH + H_2 \rightarrow RH + H_2S$	(4)
disulfides	$RSSR + 2H_2 \rightarrow R-R + 2H_2S$	(5)
sulfides	$RSR + H_2 \rightarrow R-R + H_2S$	(6)

The reactions take place in adiabatic fixed bed reactors, typically at 300 to 400° C and a hydrogen pressure of 1.0 MPa. The S-content of 1 to 5 % is typically reduced to 0.1 %. Higher operating temperatures are required for fuels with higher boiling point or higher S-content of the feedstock.

Originally, HDS was required for pre-treating feedstock to catalytic reformers using hydrogen produced by conversion of cycloparaffins (technical name naphthenes) into arenes (aromatics) in the same units. Large amounts of hydrogen are consumed in HDS and requirements are often a multiple of what is generated by catalytic reforming in a refinery, depending on the oil type treated. In this case, a dedicated hydrogen plant is needed. Since hydrogen production is expensive, almost all hydrogen is recycled to the HDS-inlet.

Direct hydrodesulfurization is impractical for heavy oils, with high viscosity and sizeable ash content, causing fast fouling and coking of catalysts.

#### 3.5. Desulfurizing Solid Fuels (see Control of Pollution in the Chemical Industry)

Solid fuels are subdivided in:

- coal qualities of different rank,
- lignite,
- peat,
- wood, and other forms of biomass (straw, animal dung).

In coal, the most abundant and widely used solid fuel, sulfur occurs both as inorganic minerals, mainly pyrite or marcassite (both with chemical formula  $FeS_2$ , but different crystalline structure), and as organic compounds incorporated in the combustible part of coal. Sometimes sulfur is present as sulfates. Depending on its origin, coal highly varies in S-content. Up to 70 % of sulfur in high-S coal is in pyritic or sulfate form, not chemically bound to the coal molecules.

Inorganic Sulfur can be removed by mechanical means (coal washing), while organic Sulfur can only be eliminated using chemical hydrogenation or gasification processes.

#### **Coal Washing**

Coal is a low-cost fuel, supporting only limited extraction, beneficiation, or refining costs.

Coal washing (i.e. beneficiation) requires first finely milling, to liberate the denser ash and pyrite particles, then removing these particles by wet (jigging) or dry methods (air classification), as used in ore dressing.

About 50 % of pyritic (inorganic) sulfur, or about 20 to 30 % of total S, can be removed by coal washing. This is a cost-effective approach in controlling SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and removing ash, thus reducing fly ash emissions, but it also generates large quantities of solid waste and acid wastewater, which must be properly treated and disposed of.

#### **3.6. Bergius Coal Hydrogenation Process**

The Bergius process was developed for hydrogenating coal to oil, typically at 400° C and 2-4 MPa pressure, using inexpensive, non-regenerated catalysts. Today, the process is far too expensive for commercial application.

#### **3.7. Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis**

The Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis was used during the Second World War and is still applied at Sasolburg (South-Africa), yielding sulfur-free diesel fuel, by catalytic conversion of pressurized synthesis gas (mainly carbon monoxide and hydrogen).

#### **3.8. Synthetic Gasoline**

Mobil Oil operated for a while a catalytic process for converting natural gas into gasoline in New Zealand.

#### 4. Flue Gas Desulfurization Technologies

#### 4.1. Introduction

Flue gas desulphurization (FGD) refers to a generic group of post-combustion processes for removing SO<sub>2</sub> from the flue gas by using neutralizing reagents or sorbents.

FGD technologies are classified as *once-through* and *regenerative*, depending on how spent sorbent is treated:

• Once-through technologies use inexpensive neutralizing reagents (e.g. CaCO<sub>3</sub>) that are cheaper to replace than to regenerate; SO<sub>2</sub> is permanently bound by sorbent, and spent sorbent is either disposed of as a waste or utilized as a by-product, generally gypsum.

# TO ACCESS ALL THE 29 **PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: <u>http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx</u>

#### Bibliography

[1] Banks M. (2000). *Basiswissen Umwelttechnik: Wasser, Luft, Abfall, Lärm und Umweltrecht – 4.*, komplett neue, bearbeitete Auflage, Würzburg: Vogel, 2000, ISBN 3-8023-1797-1. [Comprehensive treatise of all environmental sciences, including air pollution]

[2] *Encyclopædia Britannica* (2001). *DELUXE Edition CD-rom*, ISBN 0-85229-771-8, 1994-2001: Britannica.com Inc.[Prestigious encyclopedia relating to all human sciences, including environmental pollution]

[3] Harrison R.M. and Van Grieken R.E. (1998). *Atmospheric Particles – IUPAC Series on Analytical and Physical Chemistry of Environmental Systems, Volume 5*, John Wiley & Sons, ISBN 0 471 95935 9. [An excellent compilation of texts from numerous authors on a variety of aspects relative to Atmospheric Particles].

[4] Srivastava R. K. (2000). *Controlling SO<sub>2</sub> emissions: A Review of Technologies*. U.S. EPA, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, EPA/600/R-00/093. Research Triangle Park, NC, USA. [Provides extensive information on FGD technologies and their applications, performance and costs.]

[5] Stern D. I. (2001). *Global Sulfur Emissions in the 1990s*. The Australian National University, Centre for Resource and Environmental Studies, Ecological Economics Program, Working Paper in Ecological Economics - Number 0103. Available from: http://cres.anu.edu.au/anzsee/wp.html. [Presents an overview of global and regional SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in 1850-1990.]

#### **Biographical Sketches**

**Sarantuyaa Zandaryaa** is Postdoctoral Research fellow at the United Nations University (UNU) and the Tokyo University in Japan. She is an Environmental Engineer by training.

She was born in Bayanbulag, Mongolia. Her educational background includes B.Sc. (1993) and M.Sc. (1995) from Mongolian University of Science and Technology and Ph.D. in Environmental Engineering (2001) from University of Rome "La Sapienza", Italy. She obtained Diploma on Environmental Management at the International Postgraduate Programme on Environmental Management (CIPSEM) at Dresden University of Technology, Germany, in 1997. She was a Visiting PhD student at Pennsylvania

State University, USA, in 1999.

Dr. Sarantuyaa Zandaryaa was Professor of Environmental Engineering and Head of Environmental Engineering Department at the Mongolian University of Science and Technology. She has lectured at European universities for academic, policy-making, and international audiences and worked on several national and international research projects. She received a research grant "Young Researchers' Project 2001-2002" from University of Rome "Tor Vergata", Italy. She advised and coordinated UN-ESCAP environmental projects in Mongolia.

Her current research focuses on environment and sustainability, with emphasis on zero emissions approach and material flow analysis for assessing sustainability at national and local levels and integrating environmental considerations into economic and social policy-making. She has also been involved in research activities on urban ecosystems management.

Dr. Zandaryaa is author/co-author of numerous refereed research journal and conference papers, and project reports. She is co-editor of a book entitled "Environmental Engineering and Renewable Energy" (Elsevier, 1998) and serves as a peer-reviewer for the Journal of Air & Waste Management Association.

She was awarded full scholarships from the Government of Mongolia and Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Italy. In 2002, she was awarded Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Postdoctoral Fellowship. She is a member of the Mongolian National Committee for UNESCO-International Hydrology Programme (IHP).

Alfons Buekens was born in Aalst, Belgium; he obtained his M.Sc. (1964) and his Ph.D (1967) at Ghent University (RUG) and received the K.V.I.V.-Award (1965), the Robert De Keyser Award (Belgian Shell Co., 1968), the Körber Foundation Award (1988) and the Coca Cola Foundation Award (1989). Dr. Buekens was full professor at the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), since 2002 emeritus. He lectured in Ankara, Cochabamba, Delft, Essen, Sofia, Surabaya, and was in 2002 and 2003 Invited Professor at the Tohoku University of Sendai.

Since 1976 he acted as an Environmental Consultant for the European Union, for UNIDO and WHO and as an Advisor to Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, T.N.O. and VITO. For 25 years, he advised the major industrial Belgian Bank and conducted more than 600 audits of enterprise.

Main activities are in thermal and catalytic processes, waste management, and flue gas cleaning, with emphasis on heavy metals, dioxins, and other semi-volatiles. He coordinated diverse national and international research projects (Acronyms Cycleplast, Upcycle, and Minidip). Dr. Buekens is author of one book, edited several books and a Technical Encyclopedia and authored more than 90 scientific publications in refereed journals and more than 150 presentations at international congresses. He is a member of Editorial Boards for different journals and book series.

He played a role in the foundation of the Flemish Waste Management Authority O.V.A.M., of a hazardous waste enterprise INDAVER, and the Environmental Protection Agency B.I.M./I.B.G.E. He was principal ministerial advisor in Brussels for matters regarding Environment, Housing, and Classified Enterprise (1989). Since 1970 he has been a Member of the Board of the Belgian Consumer Association and of Conseur, grouping more than a million members in Belgium, Italy, Portugal, and Spain.

He is licensed expert for conducting Environmental Impact Assessments (Air, Water, Soil) and Safety Studies regarding large accidents (Seveso Directive).