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Summary

There are major air pollution philosophies on which almost all air pollution regulations are based, either directly or indirectly. These are the emission standard and the air quality standard philosophies. The basic idea of the emission standard philosophy is that there is some maximum possible degree of emission control, and when this maximum degree of control could be determined for each class, and every member of that class is required to limit emissions to this maximum degree possible, then the pollutant emission rate will be the lowest possible. The cost-effectiveness of the emission standard philosophy is quite poor but its simplicity and the enforceability is excellent. In contrast to the emission standard philosophy, which can be characterized as the “cleanest possible air” philosophy, as discussed above, the air quality standard philosophy can be characterized as the “zero-damage” philosophy. The air quality standard philosophy is based on the assumption that the true situation for most major air pollutants is the threshold value situation. The cost-effectiveness of the air quality standard philosophy is good but not excellent. The flexibility of the air quality standard philosophy, as well as, the evolutionary ability is fair.

1. Air pollution control philosophies

The major theoretical target of air pollution abatement efforts is to achieve a completely unpolluted environment at no cost. Due to the fact that this target cannot be possibly fulfilled, the realistic goal is to have an appropriately clean environment, obtained at a reasonable cost, with the latter being appropriately distributed among industry, car
owners, homeowners, and other pollutant sources. An air pollution control philosophy is a fundamental set of ideas about how one determines what constitutes an appropriately clean environment, an appropriate cost and an appropriate distribution of that cost.

A perfect air pollution philosophy and its implementing regulations should be cost-effective, simple, enforceable, flexible and evolutionary. A cost effective philosophy gains the maximum possible benefits (reduced damages or discomforts) for the sources expended on pollution control. A simple philosophy and its implementing regulations are understandable to all involved in the pollution control effort and do not require legal interpretation of every word of the laws and regulations. An enforceable philosophy clarifies the responsibilities of all parties involved, in a way that courts of law will enforce. A flexible philosophy can deal with special difficulties, such as control equipment breakdown and delays in control equipment delivery. An evolutionary philosophy should enable the utilization of new information on the effects of pollution and of new developments in control technology without major overhauls of our legal structure or major revisions of existing industrial plants. The two major air pollution philosophies on which almost all air pollution regulations are based directly or indirectly on are the emission standard and the air quality standard philosophies.

2. General Characteristics of the Emission Standard Philosophy

The basic idea of the emission standard philosophy is that there is some maximum possible (or practical) degree of emission control. This degree varies among various classes of emission sources like automobile traffic or industries. If the maximum degree of control could be determined for each class, and every member of that class is required to limit emissions to this maximum degree possible, then the pollutant emission rate will be the lowest possible. Because emission rate and air quality are closely related, it follows that if this philosophy is carried out rigorously we will have the cleanest possible air. Thus, this might be called the “cleanest possible air” philosophy.

The first large-scale application of this philosophy was the Alkali Acts in England in 1863. In the original form of the Leblanc process for manufacturing soda ash, the hydrochloric acid byproduct was emitted from the chimney of the factory as a vapor or mist. This emission destroyed the vegetation downwind and led to the introduction of pollution restriction legislation. According to the new legislation a new organization was formed “The Alkali Inspectorate” whose duty was to inspect regularly all alkali plants and find the best techniques for minimizing the emission of harmful air pollutants. Once a technique had been shown to be effective in one plant, the inspectors forced all the other plants to adopt it. Thus, the emission limitations were steadily made more stringent as the control technology was improving and each member of the class was obliged to meet the same emission standard as the cleanest member of the group. This application is called the best technology type of emission standard because all members of a group are required to use the best technology currently available for controlling emissions and to keep the control equipment in good operating condition. In this type of regulation there is generally no specified emission rate or emission test. The operator who installs and operates properly the “best technology” is deemed to be complying with the regulation. The best technology approach is still widely used in
cases where the determination of the emission rate is difficult like, for example, in large gasoline storage tanks.

The prohibition against open burning of garbage and agricultural wastes is a kind of emission standard, because open burning generates more pollutants per unit of waste than landfill, closed incineration, recycling or composting. Visible emissions from stacks, particularly from coal-burning furnaces, are indicative of emissions of suspended atmospheric particles. Regulations limiting these emissions are a form of emission standard like the Ringelman test for visible emissions.

Fuel sulfur content and gasoline olefin content maxima, as well as gasoline oxygen content minima, are also emission standards, because most of the sulfur in fuels enters the atmosphere as sulfur dioxide, because olefins are more effective in causing photochemical smog than equivalent amounts of other hydrocarbons, and because automobiles using oxygen-containing gasolines emit less carbon monoxide than those using other gasoline types.

All the above kinds of emission standards are based on the same general idea: there is some degree of emission control that is practical to impose upon all members of a well-defined class of emission sources, and that degree of control is required of all members of that class.
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