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Summary
The target of the environmental control options is to assure that the concentrations of pollutants in an environmental medium do not exceed a certain level. In general, this may be achieved in practice mainly through legislation but also through voluntary cooperation between industry and government combined with public information and education. The selection of methods and strategies for pollution regulation depends to a large extent on the existing legal and social structure in each country. Legislation is particularly advantageous in countries where the national constitution has requirements which give priority to environmental protection measures as well as to the obligations of the citizens regarding the environment. The development of a legal framework contains two regulatory steps. The first is the endorsement of a formal legal instrument, such as an act, ordinance or decree. The second is the development of regulations, by-laws, rules and orders, which may incorporate supplementary legal changes by the authority designated in the formal legal instrument.

1. General legislative procedures in environmental control

Environmental control policies do not only underline the responsibilities of polluting factors like industry, but they also incorporate the limits of power for a state authority to intervene. The decision for the creation of a regulation depends in the first place on the
outcome of a risk evaluating procedure, which could eventually justify intervention. In practice, that means that whenever there is a substantial hazard to human health or the environment, the competent authority may order a regulatory action. But in most cases a wider approach is adopted which requires the regulating agency to balance economical and social considerations together with public health aspects in reaching a final decision concerning environmental regulation.

The target of the environmental control options is to assure that the concentrations of pollutants in an environmental medium do not exceed a certain level. In general, this may be achieved in practice mainly through legislation but also through voluntary cooperation between industry and government combined with public information and education.

These two contrasting approaches towards improving the environmental quality and protecting the health of the population can be found in the environmental control methods adopted in two major industrial countries, the USA and the United Kingdom. In general, environmental regulation in the UK is relatively informal and flexible while regulations in the USA tend to be more formal and rigid. The UK makes extensive use of self-regulation and encourages close cooperation between government officials and representatives of industry while in the USA legislation plays a more active role in making and enforcing environmental policy.

The advantages of the cooperative approach adopted by the United Kingdom include efficient collaboration among all parties involved and a joint commitment to improve environmental quality on a solid basis. A disadvantage of this process is the lack of clear responsibility among the parties. In this case, the success of the regulating procedure depends on the sincerity that a process is conducted and also on the availability of independent investigations. If this alternative is followed, both parties, the regulators and the regulated, must combine their committed efforts to achieve socially accepted levels of protection against environmental risks.

The selection of methods and strategies for pollution regulation depends to a large extent on the existing legal and social structure in each country. In countries with tradition of close cooperation between the administrative authorities and the industry and of industrial compliance with government proposals combined with a positive public attitude towards environmental quality, standards tend to be applied through voluntary agreements. On the other hand, countries with a history of state control of polluting activities and a well-organized administration for supervising such activities, are expected to use regulatory options fitting into the existing system.

Legislation is particularly advantageous in countries where the national constitution has requirements which give priority to environmental protection measures as well as to the obligations of the citizens regarding the environment. In this context, environmental law is not considered as a supplementary legal system but it is rather viewed as part of a comprehensive process of resource management, supported by scientific assessments and integrated with the general planning of economic development.
While the ratification of legislation is a political process, in many countries are often drafted at an initial stage by technical and legal experts within environmental departments. In many countries or states, where several regulations dealing with a particular environmental issue have been already adopted, the development of more comprehensive laws can be observed together with an increasingly creative and forward looking role of government in environmental management. For example, pollution control in these countries or states has evolved from common law, dealing with nuisances into legislative control of emissions of particular pollutants at specific locations on a case-by-case basis. Current environmental legislation in many countries often attempts to anticipate and prevent potential environmental problems through environmental impact assessment.

The legislative framework has moved during the years from an initial responsive role to face particular environmental problems, towards a guiding role that places environmental control decisions within a wider context of social and economic development. Environmental legislation in many countries usually covers:

- Protection and conservation of natural resources essential for living organisms
- National and international rules and regulations controlling the emission and distribution of pollutants into the environment
- The establishment of competent organizations to evaluate and quantify risks but also in relation to the associated benefits.

The constitutional framework of a country determines, to a great extent, the allowable areas of regulation as well as the distribution of the executive and administrative powers and responsibilities to each factor contributing to the environmental control decisions. Even in countries that do not have a constitution, public health and social policy considerations normally provide sufficient background for the formulation of environmental regulatory measures, provided that these come within the acceptable limits concerning for example the question of the division of power. Countries with a federal structure tend to recognize the need for sharing of the jurisdictional and operational power and responsibility between the central government and the local authorities.

The development of a legal framework contains two regulatory steps. The first is the endorsement of a formal legal instrument, such as an act, ordinance or decree. The second is the development of regulations, by-laws, rules and orders, which may incorporate supplementary legal changes by the authority designated in the formal legal instrument. The development of these subsidiary instruments involves the setting of standards, which are describing the pollutant level that is considered acceptable for protection of human health and the environment. In common language the terms “regulation” and “standard” are very frequently interconnected.

There are three possible approaches to realize legal changes:

1. The revision or updating of existing laws by amending legislation
2. The replacement of existing laws by an entirely new piece of legislation
3. The ratification of complete legislation, where none existed previously, by the consolidation and revision of sections from several existing laws, supplemented by new or additional provisions.

The first two approaches are closely interrelated. It is often difficult to decide which of them is preferable in a particular situation. An assessment of the nature and magnitude of the contemplated changes and the facility with which they can be accommodated within the existing legislative framework may provide an indication of which approach is the best for the situation.

2. Legislative procedures concerning ambient air quality standards

There are essentially two legislative approaches to the direct regulation of air quality: ambient air quality standards and performance or emission standards. Ambient air quality standards prescribe the permissible levels of contaminants for the region covered by the standards. One of the first questions to be answered concerning ambient air quality standards is what level or levels of government should be responsible for setting the standards and how extensive a region they should cover. In the USA under the clean air act, the federal government has been assigned the task of establishing both national primary ambient air quality standards, those designed to protect the public health, and national secondary ambient air quality standards, those designed to protect the public welfare. The states, and to some extent local governmental units, are free to establish standards that are stricter than the secondary standards, but they are not permitted to establish less strict standards. Strong arguments can be made that air quality standards adequate to protect the public health ought to exist in every state and locality. That is, it appears reasonable to begin with a minimum base for protecting health such as the national primary standards. The case for national secondary standards, however, appears to be weaker. States and local governmental units may be able, so long as the public health is not endangered, to make the appropriate trade-offs against air quality. This approach would leave to local governments the responsibility for establishing secondary standards, if they decide to do so. The major argument favoring a larger state role in setting standards is that states should have a larger scope of action for obtaining their economic goals and simultaneously preserving the environment. Arguments favoring the federally established national standards emphasize the realities of the national economy, the mobility of the population, the impropriety of permitting industries with relatively high levels of emissions to shop around for the cleanest air or the most permissive jurisdictions, and the likely inability of many states to adopt and enforce adequate standards.

Another area of questioning about air quality standards concerns the methods by which the standards are set—the legal and administrative techniques by which the standards are developed. One possibility is for the legislative body to set the actual standards in the statutes. There are obvious weaknesses in this approach as the scientific information upon which the standards are based is almost certain to change, and economic and social conditions may change. If the standards are locked into a statute, the process of conforming them to these changes may be difficult and time-consuming. On the other hand the important advantage of this method is that it eliminates the possibility of
pressures to the administrative agency to change the standards or to delay their implementation.

The alternative approach, which is the one adopted by the USA Congress in the Clean Air Act is to establish general air quality goals or objectives in the statute and then leave to the competent administrative agency the task of working out the detailed standards necessary to achieve the goals. When this approach is taken, great care must be exercised in stating the goals because the standards may be subject to legal challenge if they cannot be shown to be directly related to the achievement of the air quality goals. Also, the detailed standards must not be based only on the judgment of the administrator but should rely on sound scientific data. If economic and technological considerations are to be emphasized in achieving and maintaining the standards, they must be carefully articulated in the statutes and implemented in the regulations.
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