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Summary

Although geothermal energy offers many environmental advantages over traditional fuel sources, it is important to remember that geothermal resources are found in diverse environments that require assessing the potential impacts of each project individually. Geothermal power is a relatively benign source of energy. For the most part, the impacts of development are positive. Minor environmental impacts are seen in certain specific areas, but mitigation measures exist for all known adverse impacts. Any large-scale construction and drilling operation produces visual impacts on the landscape, creates noise and wastes, and affects local economies. Environmental issues usually addressed during the development of geothermal fields include air quality, water quality, waste disposal, geologic hazards, noise, and biological resource and land use issues.

Based on the discussion presented in the following sections, the major advantages to using geothermal resources as a major source for electrical generation can be summarized as follows:

- Surface water resources are rarely significantly impacted by geothermal development because an external water source is not required under normal operating conditions at most geothermal development sites.
- Air emissions from geothermal power plants are significantly less than from power plants using conventional fuel sources, particularly for critical noncondensable gases such as hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide. Increased releases of these gases contribute greatly to the greenhouse effect and to other forms of environmental degradation.
- Wastes from geothermal projects are minimal when compared with those produced by other fuel sources. The type of wastes generated depend strongly on the physical characteristics of the resource and the type of conversion technology used.
- Land disrupted by geothermal power plant development is much less than that required for other energy sources.
1. Geothermal Systems

1.1. Introduction and History

Most prevalent in regions of elevated heat flow and vigorous fluid circulation, geothermal systems occur wherever reservoirs of hot water and steam exist at comparatively shallow depths. These systems occur along or near convergent plate margins, near transform plate boundaries, within spreading centers and rifts, and within hot spots. Geothermal systems commonly produce hot springs and fumaroles as surface expressions of underlying hot reservoirs. Hot and mineral springs have been valued for their therapeutic qualities. Hot springs and fumarole areas have been exploited for sulfur and other minerals. During the Middle Ages, the commercial value of Italian hot spring deposits led to wars among local republics. Electricity was first produced from a steam well at Larderello, Italy, in 1904, and commercial electricity was first marketed in 1913. Since that time, geothermal power has been developed by many countries as an alternative to burning imported or domestic fossil fuels. Commercial geothermal fields produce electricity by piping extracted hot fluids from wells to turbine-driven generators. The focus of this paper is on high-temperature (>200°C) geothermal systems and flashed steam and dry steam power plants, which represent the majority of current generating facilities.

1.2. Resource Locations and Characteristics

Geothermal resources are not distributed evenly around the globe (Figure 1), and certain regions are blessed with resources while others are relatively barren. It is not surprising that the ten leaders in generating electricity from geothermal resources (Table 1) are in areas of active volcanism. Today, about 8000 MW of electrical power (the equivalent of
80 million barrels of petroleum annually) are produced from geothermal systems worldwide. Most geothermal systems are liquid-dominated.

Liquid-dominated reservoirs have maximum temperatures of $\leq 370^\circ C$ and have widely ranging salinities. When fluid is produced from wells, it must be depressurized (flashed) to produce steam for the turbines. This is done under controlled conditions with large steam separators. Approximately 80% of the fluid is liquid water that must be disposed, usually by reinjection. As steam separates, noncondensable gases, such as CO$_2$, H$_2$S, and NH$_3$, partition into the steam phase, and the residual brine becomes more concentrated in most chemical species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Electrical (MWe)$^b$</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Direct Use (MWt)$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2850</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>1914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>1443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>742</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total of Leaders</strong></td>
<td><strong>7838</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total of Leaders</strong></td>
<td><strong>8608</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Worldwide</strong></td>
<td><strong>8029</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total Worldwide</strong></td>
<td><strong>9963</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Leaders (percent) | 98       | Leaders (percent) | 86 |

a. For electrical generation and direct-use applications.  
b. MWe = megawatts electrical.  
c. MWt = megawatts thermal; 1 MWe = 7MWt.  

Table 1. Ten World Leaders in Installed Geothermal Capacity$^a$ (Late 1998)

In addition to liquid-dominated reservoirs, there are vapor-dominated reservoirs. Vapor-dominated reservoirs are the most prized because, in contrast to liquid-dominated reservoirs, virtually all produced fluid is piped to the power turbines. Only five such systems are known: Larderello (Italy), The Geysers (USA), Matssukawa (Japan), and Kamojang and Darajat (Indonesia).

These five fields contain about 35% of the world’s geothermal electrical capacity. The Geysers, the world’s largest commercial geothermal system, produced a maximum of about 1700 MWe in the mid-1980s. Figure 2 shows power generation schemes for both liquid- and vapor-dominated reservoirs.
There are five principal types of geothermal systems, based on geologic, geophysical, hydrologic, and engineering criteria. The first three types—young igneous systems, tectonic systems, and geopressed systems—exploit natural reservoirs of hot water and are generically called hydrothermal systems. The second two types—hot dry rock and magma tap—require pumping a fluid, generally water, into the ground and then out again to extract heat. Technically feasible under certain conditions, these two systems are commercially impractical under current economic conditions.

1.2.1. Young Igneous Systems

Young igneous systems are associated with Quarternary volcanism and magmatic intrusions. About 95% of volcanic activity occurs along plate boundaries and within hot spots. Such environments are usually associated with major tectonic activity and seismicity. Fluids derive their heat from the margins of crystallizing or recently crystallized magma. These geothermal systems are generally the hottest (≤370°C), and reservoir depths are commonly ≤1.5 km.

1.2.2. Tectonic Systems

Tectonic systems are those that have elevated heat flow but that are essentially devoid of igneous activity. They occur in back-arc environments, regions of crustal extension, collision zones, and along faults zones of any displacement or length. Tectonic systems are usually associated with elevated seismicity due to Quarternary faulting and with elevated heat flow due to thin crust. Deep circulation of fluids into the crust and ascent of these fluids along faults move heat upward into favorable geologic structures. Tectonic systems capable of generating electricity usually have reservoir temperatures ≤250°C and occur at depths ≥1.5 km.

1.2.3. Geopresseded Systems
Geopressed systems are found in sedimentary basins where subsidence and deep burial of fluid-bearing strata have formed hot, “over-pressured” reservoirs. Wells drilled into geopressed reservoirs exhibit very high artesian pressures. Heat flow and seismicity range from comparatively low to normal. Most systems of this type have characteristics resembling oil and gas fields. Geopressed systems often require deeper drilling than young igneous and tectonic systems. Typical depths and temperatures are 1.5 to 3 km and 50°C to 190°C.

1.3. Outline of Environmental Issues

Geothermal power is a relatively benign source of energy. For the most part, the impacts of development are positive. Worldwide geothermal energy utilization increases yearly because it is an attractive alternative to burning imported and domestic fossil fuels. However, geothermal development could have certain negative impacts if appropriate mitigation actions and monitoring plans are not in place. Any large-scale construction and drilling operation will produce visual impacts on the landscape, create noise and wastes, and affect local economies. Some countries have strict environmental regulations regarding some of the impacts associated with geothermal development, and others do not. Environmental issues usually addressed during the development of geothermal fields include air quality, water quality, waste disposal, geologic hazards, noise, biological resources, and land use issues.

2. Air Quality

2.1. Introduction

Air quality impacts from geothermal development depend on the chemical composition of the geothermal steam, existing air quality, baseline meteorological conditions, resource temperature, resource type (steam, two-phase, hot water), terrain, and number of geothermal emission sources and their spatial and temporal distribution.

2.2. Air Quality of Geothermal Plants Compared with Fossil Fuel Power Plants

There are several significant differences between air quality issues of geothermal power plants and those of conventional power plants. The differences include the extent of particulate emissions, generation of acid rain, and contributions to global warming. Fossil-fuel power plants using wet cooling towers have significantly greater particulate emissions when they use make-up water high in total dissolved solids (TDS). Because geothermal power plants use low-TDS condensed steam for cooling tower make-up water, the resulting particulate emission rates from geothermal cooling towers are very low. Geothermal power plants also have lower total sulfur emissions than their fossil fuel counterparts. Studies from The Geysers geothermal field in California have shown that no acid rain effects are occurring in that region. Compared with fossil-fuel power plants, the geothermal plant itself emits no nitrous oxides or sulfur oxides and only relatively small quantities of CO₂. In most hydrothermal systems, noncondensable gases make up less than 5% by weight of the steam phase (Table 2). Carbon dioxide is the dominant (over 90% by weight) constituent of these gases. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), sulfur dioxide, ammonia, and methane are the other common gases. For the same output
of electricity, carbon dioxide emissions from geothermal flashed-steam power plants are only a small fraction of emissions that result from burning hydrocarbons. For each megawatt-hour of electricity produced in 1991, the average emission of carbon dioxide by plant type in the US was 990 kg from coal, 839 kg from petroleum, 540 kg from natural gas, and 0.48 kg for geothermal flashed steam.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total Dissolved Solids (ppm)</th>
<th>Noncondensable Gas (by weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coso, California</td>
<td>5300–6500</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Geysers, California</td>
<td>4–430</td>
<td>1.7%–15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Valley, California</td>
<td>16,000–250,000</td>
<td>1.6%–6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 2. Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Selected Geothermal Resources*

2.3. Hydrogen Sulfide Emissions

Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) is the gas of primary concern in geothermal reservoirs. Hydrogen sulfide is a noxious gas that is immediately dangerous to life and health at levels of about 142 mg/m³ H₂S of air. The amount of H₂S in geothermal resources varies. Hydrogen sulfide can reach moderate concentrations in steam produced from some geothermal fields, and some systems contain up to 2% by weight of H₂S in the separated-steam phase. At low concentrations, H₂S can cause an odor nuisance. At higher concentrations, H₂S is acutely toxic. The average human nose can detect as little as 20 ppb H₂S.

2.3.1. Points of Release

Figure 3. Generalized system flow diagram.
During well drilling and testing, potential points of release for H₂S at any geothermal project include steam venting during power plant start-ups and shut-ins and wells on bleed, and, during normal power plant operations, condenser off-gas, cooling tower drift, and fugitive emissions. Figure 3 presents a generalized system flow diagram outlining potential H₂S emission points.
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