

CORPORATE CONCENTRATION AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN A GLOBAL FOOD INDUSTRY

Andrew Fearne, David Hughes and Rachel Duffy

Food Industry Management Group, Wye College, University of London, UK

Keywords: Food industry, supply chain, corporate concentration, agribusiness, food retailing

Contents

1. Introduction
 2. Globalisation of Grocery Retailing
 - 2.1 Supermarketing retail strategy
 - 2.2 Changing retailer behaviour
 3. Food Manufacturing – The Clash of the Titans
 - 3.1 Structural changes in international food and beverage manufacturing
 - 3.2 Increasing competitive environment in food manufacturing
 - 3.3 Combating the competitive threat from global retailers: FMCG initiatives
 4. Supply Chain Partnerships for a Global Food Industry
 - 4.1. The principles of supply chain management
 - 4.2 Theoretical perspectives on supply chain partnerships: a brief review of the literature
 - 4.3 Efficient consumer response and the role of the ‘Category Captain’
 5. Conclusions
- Bibliography
Biographical Sketches

Summary

Competition in the food industry of the 21st Century is being played on a global field, with fewer, larger, global players battling for market share. The stalemate which results from global retailers confronting global manufacturers has been broken by the introduction of Efficient Consumer Response (ECR) and the realisation that co-operation between trading partners is more effective than confrontation. Traditional inter-firm competition is being superseded by competition between supply chains, as retailers and manufacturers alike seek competitive advantage through strategic supply chain partnerships. The food industry has been slow to emulate the success of the motor industry and it is only in recent years that supply chain management has made its way onto the boardroom agendas of the world’s leading food manufacturers. However, the introduction of ECR in the 1990s, represented a paradigm shift in the operation of the food supply chain, with adversarial trading relationships being replaced by co-operation and co-ordination, facilitated by a willingness to exchange information of both strategic and operational importance. As a result, the world’s leading food manufacturers are shaving days off of production lead times, weeks off of inventory levels and months off of New Product Development (NPD) cycles, delivering a more effectively managed range of carefully targeted products and services to increasingly diverse groups of consumers, at substantially lower costs. The commodity sectors have still a long way to

catch up, but it is evident that throughout the global food industry supply chain management is here to stay and likely to remain a key point of focus for the leading players in the future.

1. Introduction

Less than a decade ago, the days of the giant conglomerate seemed over; they were too big, too complacent and too inflexible. However, the US economy has grown fast since 1992 with many big organisations becoming flatter, less bureaucratic and hierarchical in structure. The big corporation of the 21st Century looks like being a loose alliance, a confederation of small entities, held together by knowledge and competencies, shared values and integrated missions. The edges of these amorphous organisations will become more fuzzy, but, their control, through patents and contractual agreements seems likely to grow. The knowledge economy requires 'big science' and only big corporations with big R&D budgets look likely to be able to afford it. Of course the human brain cannot continue to accommodate an exponential growth of knowledge and the 300 year old explosion of knowledge was flattening off by the 1960s. It is this diminishing returns to Research and Development that means the future belongs to the big corporation with deep pockets.

This paper is concerned with the battle for supremacy in a food industry in which competition is played on a global field, with fewer, larger, global players battling for market share. The stalemate which results from global retailers confronting global manufacturers has been broken by the introduction of Efficient Consumer Response (ECR) and the realisation that co-operation between trading partners is more effective than confrontation. Traditional inter-firm competition is being superseded by competition between supply chains, as retailers and manufacturers alike seek competitive advantage through strategic supply chain partnerships.

The paper is in three parts. We begin by looking at the retail sector, the process of globalisation and the emergence of food retailers as the dominant force in the food supply chain. The second part turns to the food manufacturing sector, in pursuit of a strategic response to retailer domination, with particular emphasis on the process of concentration and the changing competitive environment. Supply chain partnerships are explored in part three.

2. Globalisation of Grocery Retailing

The latter half of the 20th Century, in both Europe and North America, has seen the emergence of the supermarket as the dominant grocery retail form. The reasons why supermarkets have come to dominate food retailing are not hard to find. The search for convenience in food shopping and consumption, coupled to car ownership, led to the birth of the supermarket. As incomes rose and shoppers sought both convenience and new tastes and stimulation, supermarkets were able to expand the products offered. The invention of the bar code allowed a store to manage thousands of items and their prices and led to 'just-in-time' store replenishment and the ability to carry tens of thousands of individual items. Computer-operated depots and logistical systems integrated store replenishment with consumer demand in a single electronic system. The superstore was

born.

The expansion of retailers across European borders has been one characteristic of structural change in industry in general and the food industry in particular (Table 1). Starting slowly in the 1970's, retail firms sought to extend their market territories within Europe. The pace of expansion accelerated in the 1980's and 1990's, with over half of post World War II retail firm moves within Europe being initiated during the 1990's:

The 1980's was a decade of "border hopping" where firms from many sectors expanded into adjacent markets – fashion retailers were most active in the first half; followed by the French grocery hypermarket companies (e.g. Carrefour) expanding into Spain, Italy and Greece, and German hard discount chains (e.g. Aldi) moving into adjacent countries in the second half. Cross-border alliances between non-competing national grocery retail firms were initiated with the intent of enhancing purchasing power and developing new product sourcing opportunities; for example, the European Retail Alliance/Associated Marketing Services. In North America, Wal-Mart experienced significant sales growth and expanded into Mexico. In South East Asia, Dairy Farm International started to build a strong regional presence;

	Pre-1980s	1980s	1990s
Percentage of Cross Border Retail Moves	11	34	55
Top 5 Destinations	Belgium France Austria Netherlands Switzerland	Belgium Spain Germany UK Netherlands	UK Spain Germany France Czech Rep.
Top 5 Initiators	UK Germany France Netherlands Sweden	France UK Germany Outside Europe Italy	UK France Germany Outside Europe Netherlands
Most Active Sectors	Fashion/Footwear Grocery	Fashion/Footwear Grocery Health/Beauty	Grocery Fashion/Footwear Health/Beauty Household

Source: Oxford Institute of Retail Management and James Lang Wooton. 1997. *Shopping for New Markets: Retailers Expansion Across Europe's Borders*. Oxford Institute of Retail Management, Templeton College, University of Oxford. November, 1997.

Table 1: Retailers' Expansion Across European Borders, pre-1980s to 1997

In Europe, and led by grocery retailers, the "border hopping" accelerated during the 1990's, despite the economic recession early in the decade. With the end of the "Cold War", German and other Northern European retailers expanded into Eastern and Central European countries. Firms from Germany (e.g. Tengelmann), France (e.g. Carrefour), Belgium (e.g. Delhaize Le Lion) and the Netherlands (e.g. Royal Ahold) have taken a global perspective on trading. The major U.K. retailers have had less of an international presence – J Sainsbury in the USA; Tesco faltering in Northern France and, then, acquiring retail businesses in Eastern Europe and Thailand; although, Marks & Spencer had established a network of franchises in selected major European centres in the

previous decade;

The late-1990's has seen a flurry of merger and acquisition activity in the grocery retailing sector in North America as traditional supermarket companies restructure to counter the Wal-Mart competitive threat - Wal-Mart, diversifying from its highly successful, but, non-food product dominated "big shed" (Sam's Club) format, started experimenting with smaller-scale retail formats and a comprehensive grocery product offer in 1998. In late-1997, Safeway took over Vons and, in 1998, Kroger's purchased Fred Meyer. In Canada, Loblaw's acquired Provigo and part of the Oshawa Group, while Sobey's (Empire) purchased the Eastern Canadian parts of Oshawa.

At the end of the Twentieth Century, there are three grocery retail firms – Wal-Mart, Carrefour, and Royal Ahold - who have strikingly similar global aspirations. For example, Royal Ahold's mission statement is "to grow quickly and profitably to become the world's leading supermarket company", a statement that is strikingly similar to that espoused by the other two major international players. However, the three firms have differing views on the preferred format for international expansion (viz. Ahold's supermarkets, Carrefour's hypermarkets, and Wal-Mart's "big shed" Sam's Club and smaller-scale super center formats).

Both "push" and "pull" factors (Table 2) explain the rationale for retail firms seeking to expand internationally. In northern Europe: push factors include the following: economic growth rates are, typically, modest and the population growth rate across the EU, for example, is barely at the replacement rate (average for the EU: 0.2% per annum); if not actually saturated, domestic markets are highly competitive, have a high cost structure, reflecting high labour, construction costs etc., and expansion is constrained by strict planning regulations that seek to control, even curtail, the expansion of out-of-town shopping centres (e.g. Germany, the Netherlands, France and, latterly, the U.K.), and/or competition policy legislation that is designed to protect the interests of consumers and suppliers.

Push	Pull
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Market saturation at home · Slow growth at home · Adverse demography · Competitive market place · High cost structure at home · Strict planning regulations · Shareholder pressure to grow · Company ethos to go global · "Me too or I'll get left behind" · Financial markets encourage expansion · Political instability · Leverage supplier relations · Transfer know-how and extend core competencies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> · Low retail concentration · Strong economic growth · Pre-empt rivals · Large population · High population growth · Gain economies of scale · Relaxed regulatory environment · Removal of entry barriers · Suitable acquisition targets · Favourable cost structure · Diversify to spread risk · Access to new capital · Favourable exchange rates · Improved international communications

Source: Adapted from Oxford Institute of Retail Management and James Lang Wootton. 1997. *Shopping for New Markets: Retailers Expansion Across Europe's Borders*. Oxford Institute of Retail Management, Templeton College, University of Oxford. November, 1997.

Table 2: Factors Explaining Global Expansion Initiatives by Major Grocery Retailers

Pull factors, in part, are the other side of the coin to the push factors identified above. Retailers seek countries that: have low retail concentration, albeit, with the prospects of high growth in supermarket sales; large populations and high population growth rate; incomes at or above the level that consumers start to discriminate between brands and have the income to exercise choice and in countries where income growth rate is high; and have favourable cost structures (e.g. low labour and construction costs), attractive exchange rates with the prospect of exchange rate gains over time, relaxed regulatory environments, and/or where entry barriers have been removed.

Other push and pull considerations underpinning an international expansion strategy include: gaining economies of scale in purchasing; a diversification mechanism for spreading commercial risk; gaining access to new ideas pertaining to supply chain management and retailing; transferring know-how and extending core competencies; and gaining leverage from the supply base established in countries that have become slow growth markets.

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 29 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Christopher, M. 1998. *Logistics and Supply Chain Management*. Pitman Publishing, U.K. [An excellent text which discusses contemporary developments in logistics and supply chain management across a range of industries, including food]

Connor, J.M. and W.A. Shiek. 1997. *Food Processing: An Industrial Powerhouse in Transition*. Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, U.S.A. [Charts the development of food processing in the USA and presents an interesting and useful retail typology].

Cooper M And Ellram L, 1993. Characteristics Of Supply Chain Management And The Implications For Purchasing And Logistics Strategy, *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, Vol.4, No.2. [Presents a framework for differentiating between traditional systems and supply chain management systems. The paper focuses on the implications of supply chain management on purchasing and logistics].

Cox, A. and Lamming, R. 1997. *Managing Supply in the Firm of the Future*, *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, No.2. [Article discusses the lack of conceptual understanding by managers regarding the need for new perspectives on managing interfirm relationships.]

Ellram, L. 1995. Partnering Pitfalls and Success Factors, *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Spring 1995. [Research looks simulataneously at both perceptions of buyers and suppliers who mutually agree they are involved in a partnership].

Fearn, A. & Mawson, E. 1997. "Partnerships and alliances in the food service sector - opportunities with fast food restaurant chains", *Farm Management Journal*, Vol.9, No.11, pp591-601 [one of the few papers to address supply chain partnering opportunities in the food service sector]

Fearn, A. 1998. The evolution of partnerships in the meat supply chain: insights from the British beef industry, *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, vol.3, No.4, pp214-231.[presents case study evidence from a number of successful supply chain initiatives in the British beef industry]

Fearn, A. & Hughes, D. 1999. Success factors in the fresh produce supply chain: insights from the UK, *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*,_Vo.4, No.3, pp120-129.[summarises the key requirements from UK supermarkets of their preferred suppliers of fresh produce]

Harland C, 1996. *Supply Chain Management: Relationships, Chains And Networks*,_British Journal Of Management, Vol 7.[Paper identifies and discusses various definitions of SCM, summarises the associated bodies of knowledge and connects them using a systems approach.]

Harlow P, 1994. Category management: A new era in EMCG buyer-supplier relationships, *Journal of Brand Management*, Vol.2, No.5. [Paper outlines how category management can enhance brand management; qualifications of category leaders; and attitudes among retailers and suppliers to the reality of category management].

Hobbs,J. 1996. A transaction cost approach to supply chain management, *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, Vol.1, No.2._[discusses a theoretical framework for the study of supply chain management drawn from economic literature].

Hughes, D. and D. Ray. 1994. Factors Determining Success in Partnerships & Alliances. Pp.199-215. Chapter 10. In Hughes, D. (ed.). *Breaking with Tradition: Building Partnerships & Alliances in the European Food Industry*. Wye College Press, University of London, Wye, Kent, U.K.[an excellent introductory text on the factors driving the emergence of supply chain partnerships in the European food industry]

Hughes, D. and D. Ray. 1999. *The Global Food Industry in the 21st Century*, Food Industry Management, Wye College, University of London.[presents a wide-ranging discussion of future developments in the global food industry from the perspective of consumers, retailers, manufacturers and farm enterprises]

Kumar, N.1996. The Power of Trust in Manufacturer/Retailer Relationships, *Harvard Business Review*, Nov.Dec, 1996.[Research into more than 1,500 manufacturer/retailer relationships over various sectors. Found that exploiting power may be advantageous in short run but tends to be self-defeating in the long run]

Marion, B.W. 1998. Changing Power Relationships in US Food Industry: Brokerage Arrangements for Private Label Products. Pp. 85-93. *Agribusiness*. Volume 14, No. 2. 1998[presents interesting insights into the use of slotting allowances in the US food industry].

Moss-Kanter, R. 1994. Collaborative Advantage: The Art of Alliances, *Harvard Business Review*, July-Aug 1994. [Following research into 37 companies involved in alliances from 11 parts of the world, observes that there are three fundamental aspects of business alliances - they must yield benefits for both partners, involve collaboration (creating new value together) and cannot be controlled by formal systems.]

Passingham, J.1998. Grocery Retailing and the Loyalty Card. Pp. 55-63. *Journal of the Market Research Society*. Volume 40, No. 1. 1998.][examines the key issues associated with the emergence of customer loyalty programmes in food retailing]

Porter, M. 1980. *Competitive Strategy*. Chapter 2, pp. 34-46. The Free Press. Macmillan Publishing, U.S.A. [still as relevant today as it was in the 1980s – a must for the student of competitive strategy]

Porter, M. 1985. *Competitive Advantage*. The Free Press. Macmillan Publishing, U.S.A.[expands the analysis of sources of competitive advantage from the firm to the nation – another must for the reader who wants to understand how and why nations secure competitive advantage in specific commercial activities]

Senge, P.M. 1990. *The Leader's New Work: Building Learning Organizations*. Sloan Management Review, 7, Autumn 1990.[fascinating insights into the motivation of the workforce and the benefits of organisational learning]

Wileman, A. and M. Jary. 1997. *Retail Power Plays; From Trading to Brand Leadership*. Macmillan Business Press, London, U.K.[presents a fascinating discussion of the battle for supremacy between food retailers and food manufacturers]

Biographical Sketches

Dr Andrew Fearn is a Senior Lecturer in Food Industry Management, Director of Research for the Food Industry Management Group, Wye College, University of London, and Founding Editor of the International Journal of Supply Chain Management. He has two main areas of research: consumer behaviour and supply chain management

Dr David Hughes is the J Sainsbury Professor of Food Marketing and Head of the Food Industry Management Group, Wye College, University of London. He holds several non-executive director positions with UK food companies, own his own fresh produce business in California and has particular interest in food marketing and vertical co-ordination.

Rachel Duffy is a postgraduate research student in the Food Industry Management Group, Wye College, University of London, sponsored by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, currently undertaking PhD research on the impact of supply chain partnerships on the performance of SMEs in the UK food industry