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Summary

The focus and design of infrastructure projects have shifted toward building high-quality, targeted and sustainable assets, building institutional capability in service provision, and fulfilling measurable goals. This paper reports on ethnographic case studies of the impacts of rural road improvements in Bangladesh, outlining the implications of such a shift. The case studies also outline patterns of rural-urban linkages facilitated by improved roads, and the effects of integration into urban, national and global economies on rural livelihoods. They suggest that sustainable infrastructure assets do not always accompany sustainable development processes. The detailed
approach of the case studies helps to unpack and challenge assumptions built into the design of infrastructure projects and their evaluations, especially the assumption of linear flows of urban-rural products and relationships.

1. Introduction

Recent articulations of “best practice” in the field of infrastructure development in developing countries stress quality, optimum capacity utilization and demonstrable long-term returns on investments over quantitative increases in infrastructure stocks. This has engendered shifts in objectives, design and programming of road projects since the late 1980s and early 1990s, from ad hoc, relief-oriented and transfer-based interventions toward more systematic, strategic, and development-oriented approaches, geared toward sustainable asset-building. The notion of sustainability here, premised on the identification of key hardware assets as the pivot for a range of social and economic transformations, and backed by massive investment in these assets, reverses the traditional labor-intensive orientation of road projects. It also entails a reconfiguration of the institutional frameworks under which projects are designed, funded, implemented and managed: commercial contractors and local government bodies are seen as more appropriate agencies than the centralized government bureaucracies that were traditionally responsible for the bulk of these activities.

This paper reports on ethnographic case studies of the impacts of one instance of infrastructure investments, namely rural road improvements, on a sample of eight roads in different parts of Bangladesh. The studies were carried out in 1998/99. The improvements, carried out under USAID/CARE, Bangladesh’s Integrated Food For Development (IFFD) project, in collaboration with the Government of Bangladesh, were designed to facilitate year-round accessibility on a key category of rural roads that connect important village markets to subdistrict (thana) headquarters, growth centers, or feeder roads. The interventions are closely tied into Bangladesh’s national development strategies that prioritize agricultural modernization as the driver of growth, targeting the agricultural sector with a package of reforms including the elimination of subsidies and deregulation of input distribution. The overall vision is, in the words of the World Bank, of “a more competitive internal marketing system with a greater role for the private sector (and) an improved rural transport sector.”

This paper uses data from the ethnographic impact evaluation to discuss the implications of this shift in design and resource allocation for the nature of the infrastructure link created between urban centers and the rural hinterland (section 2 below). “Urban” in the case studies here refers to small semi-rural towns usually located at the head-end of the improved roads, possessing a large market and important links to larger towns and cities, and serving as the administrative headquarters for the subdistrict. The paper also examines more closely the meanings and implications of rural-urban linkages within the framework outlined above, and outlines some effects of integration into urban, national and global economies on rural livelihoods and lives (section 3 below).

The new strategic approach in infrastructure programming entails a close specification (and quantification) of the linkages to be addressed and the outcomes expected, which
in turn calls for systematic monitoring and evaluation based on measurable indicators. As the case study here shows, however, measurement of impacts of road improvements through independent “before” and “after” variables can be an almost hopelessly complex, and, more importantly, a misguided exercise. The impacts of road improvements on household livelihoods are rarely manifested as independent phenomena, but are mediated, positively or negatively, through a variety of other effects, most of them very specific to local contexts. Several recent studies have noted the difficulty of assessing impacts of infrastructure investments from standard economic models, and stressed the need for detailed on-the-ground data. These studies also note that estimates of user-cost savings typically used to measure impacts are not adequate for measuring the profound structural changes brought about by the construction of infrastructure in developing countries.

The findings of this ethnographic study also revealed problems with many of the assumptions made by traditional models of planning and assessment of road projects. The principal assumption challenged is that of the direction of causality of changes. Economic appraisals of transport projects model a strict set of effects whereby rural hinterlands focus their marketing progressively toward the urban center, and the fruits of modernization, the services of health, education, agricultural outreach and credit emanate out from the center to the peripheries. This study found, however, that transactions occur across the rural economy in dispersed and dynamic ways, and that factors such as seasonality, and the compound effects of responses to improved access conditions (e.g. effects of credit institutions entering the villages, of small local markets being improved, of traders traveling to farms to purchase crops) confound any simple models of linkage effects.

Overall, however, this study found that the road improvements largely served to facilitate or accelerate processes of change already underway in rural-urban or inter-village relations. In Bangladesh, ongoing processes of monetizing the rural economy, moving farms toward export-oriented cash crop production, and forcing people off the extraordinarily fertile land into service jobs have indeed been ably assisted by improved roads, as witnessed by the legions of manually-pedaled rickshaws jostling each other on the congested streets of small thana towns. Questions of sustainability of hardware assets, thus intersect with questions about the overall sustainability of the development paradigm they are designed to underpin—the case studies remind us that these two dimensions of sustainability are far from being always compatible.

2. Roads and Rural Development in Bangladesh: Shifts in Goals and Orientation

Road construction has for decades remained a central pillar of national development spending in Bangladesh, coming to represent a stereotype of massive modernizing development efforts funded through foreign aid and implemented via public works programs. However, based on recent re-examinations of the relevance of physical infrastructure development to poverty alleviation, rural employment and household livelihood security, road works have been re-prioritized in altered formats as a critical part of poverty alleviation efforts. The Bangladesh Planning Commission in 1984, after reviewing earlier development projects, identified three priorities aimed at alleviating rural poverty: development of physical infrastructure including roads, storage facilities...
and markets; expansion of irrigated agriculture; and production and employment programs for the rural poor. The emphasis on physical infrastructure as part of the three-pronged strategy arose out of an analysis of the characteristics of the rural economy in Bangladesh: high population density; high productivity of land; intensive, small-scale cultivation; vigorous trading including the routine seasonal sale by households of even subsistence-level production; large scale sale of labor; seasonal employment-seeking and an active non-farm sector—in other words, a highly active rural cash economy in which mobility and trading are of crucial importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Length (km)</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Institution Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. National Highway (NH)</td>
<td>2,539</td>
<td>connecting national capital with divisional headquarters, port cities and international highways;</td>
<td>Roads and Highways Department (RHD), Ministry of Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Regional Highway (RH)</td>
<td>2,670</td>
<td>connecting different regions with each other, which are not connected by the national highways;</td>
<td>RHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Feeder Road Type-A (FRA)</td>
<td>10,008</td>
<td>connecting Thana Headquarters to the arterial network;</td>
<td>RHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Feeder Road Type-B (FRB)</td>
<td>8,403</td>
<td>connecting growth centers to the RHD network (FRA or arterial road) or to the Thana Headquarters;</td>
<td>Local Government Engineering Department (LGED), Ministry of Local Government, Rural Development and Cooperatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Rural Road Class 1 (R1)</td>
<td>32,674</td>
<td>connecting union headquarters/local markets with the Thana Headquarters or road systems;</td>
<td>LGED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Rural Road Class 2 (R2)</td>
<td>44,861</td>
<td>connecting villages and farms to local markets/union headquarters; and</td>
<td>LGED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Rural Road Class 3 (R3)</td>
<td>29,450</td>
<td>roads within villages.</td>
<td>LGED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Classification and composition of the road network in Bangladesh

Rural roads in particular were identified as key to the easing of constraints on agricultural production and exchange in the country. Despite the growing demand for better transport conditions in rural areas, however, the issue of road construction in particular has been at the center of considerable debate in Bangladesh since the late 1980s. Road projects have had a long and checkered history in the country's foreign-assisted development since its independence in 1971. From 4 000 km. of primary and secondary highways in 1971, the network has grown to 5 200 km. of national and regional highway, 10 000 km. of sub-regional roads, 8 400 km. of rural feeder roads and 77 530 km. of rural earthen roads (see Table 1). According to a 1996 World Bank study, Bangladesh has the highest density of roads to land area in developing countries of Asia: 70.2 km per 100 sq. km (excluding village footpaths).
2.1. Road Saturation Debates

Given the limited land area in the country, debates arose in the 1980s about whether the country was saturated with road projects. At the center of the debates was the need to establish the specific parameters within which road projects could justifiably be seen as (i) still necessary and cost-effective and (ii) serving the goals of poverty alleviation. The outcome of the debates was a recognition that the real problem was not the length of the road network, but its functional condition. In the context of Bangladesh, this means year-round passability, and, for categories R1 and higher (see Table 1), permitting vehicular access. A nationwide road condition survey in 1993 found that only 6% of R1 roads could be classified as being in good condition, with 79% in average condition, and 15% in poor condition. In fact, a World Bank study found that the very density of the rural road network made it difficult to maintain them at acceptable conditions. Thus projects began to focus on improvement and maintenance of the existing road network, rather than extension of its length.

In addition, the constant deterioration of roads due to washout and erosion by monsoon rains and cyclones, recurrent flooding and compaction of the earthen surface makes the concept of saturation a dynamic one. Traditional improvements on the predominantly earthen roads, consisting mainly of filling gaps and increasing width and elevation, typically last from three to six years without ongoing maintenance, so that any given section of road cycles back at a fairly rapid rate into the backlog needing reconstruction. It became clear that the target of achieving "good roads" must include a component of concrete structures (bridges, culverts and drains, sometimes metal palisades against erosion) where needed.

Finally, in seeing physical infrastructure investment as a pillar of rural development and poverty alleviation, the concept of "economically needed" roads came to the center of the discussion. Road construction and repair efforts implemented through Food For Work projects in the 1970s and 80s were designed primarily as relief programs, aiming to provide off-season employment to poorer sections of the populations, and consequently targeted on the basis of distress levels of regions and populations groups. There was little attempt to target road investments towards more durable outcomes such as reduction in transport costs, stimulation of agricultural production and expansion of non-farm opportunities. In 1984, the Government of Bangladesh (GOB) adopted a "growth center strategy" which identified about 1 400 important markets and trading nodes across the country to be developed as focal points for rural development, with improvement of infrastructure such as markets, electrification and roads concentrated around these centers. Road improvements were geared toward integration and coordination of rural-urban communications within this growth center plan, focusing on alignments that link important village markets to growth centers, administrative headquarters and other transport networks. In 1994 an additional 700 markets were identified as growth centers by the Planning Commission, raising the total to 2 100.

Thus, in the process of disputing claims of road saturation, the Government of Bangladesh and donors took a closer look at exactly how improved “economically needed” roads affected rural livelihoods and poverty. Several studies commissioned by CARE in the 1980s found evidence of reduced time and travel costs and higher farm
revenues along alignments that had been upgraded. Most influential was the study conducted by the International Food Policy Research Institute and the Bangladesh Institute of Development Studies (Ahmed and Hossain 1990), which caught the attention of World Bank, Asian Development Bank and other donors. The study found that not only did the development of rural infrastructure have critical impacts on farm incomes via better farmgate prices for crops and inputs and increased use of productivity-enhancing practices, but it also caused increases in household incomes across the board, including for the landless poor, via the multiplier effects of increases in agricultural incomes and expansion of opportunities for nonagricultural employment.

All this convinced the government and donors to continue spending on rural infrastructure. Between 1990 and 1995 the GOB dedicated over $500 million under various Rural Development Projects (RDP) to assist sub-districts (thanas) with reconstruction, rehabilitation and maintenance of rural roads and growth center markets. But partly as an outcome of the debates on road saturation, the GOB sharpened the focus of infrastructure programming in Bangladesh in the 1990s to pay closer attention to the design and impacts of projects. Consequently, road projects have adopted a much more reflexive, limited and strategic agenda in the context of overall development efforts. As a movement in the direction of sustainability, they now spell out a clear and bounded set of objectives based on an analysis of linkages within rural livelihood systems and the economic impacts that improved roads are projected to have on local and regional markets and services.

In addition, the GOB, in partnership with donors, has redesigned the institutional framework within which rural infrastructure projects are designed and implemented. Outlined within a comprehensive National Perspective Plan (1995-2010), the new framework categorizes, prioritizes and selects key alignments for improvement by appropriate agencies, sets guidelines for establishing feasibility and cost-effectiveness, specifies mechanisms for ensuring ongoing maintenance, and lays emphasis on capacity-building in local government to coordinate and implement the projects. Table 1 outlines the responsibility of various government agencies in the overall infrastructure plan.

2.2. Care and Rural Road Projects in Bangladesh.

CARE itself has been a central player in road works projects in Bangladesh through massive Food For Work (FFW) programs since 1975. In 1989, a study commissioned by CARE reported that Bangladesh had the world's largest FFW program, about half of which was supported by USAID and channeled through CARE, working through the Ministry of Relief of the Government of Bangladesh. In 1983-84 CARE added a structures program and a maintenance component (the Rural Maintenance Program or RMP) to its activities, and the package came to be known as the Integrated Food for Work Program or IFFW.

In the context of the national debate on road saturation in Bangladesh, CARE itself went through considerable soul-searching and commissioned several studies to assess the achievements and problems of its road projects. Among the major issues identified were environmental problems associated with the earthen FFW roads, such as waterlogging...
due to poor drainage structures. In many cases the roads created dam effects, inhibiting the flow of water, this in turn interfering with fish migration patterns and lowering species diversity. These effects translate into livelihood issues in a setting where open water capture fishery is a major source of food and income for large proportions of the rural population for several months every year. Other issues identified were the low durability of earthwork improvements, and the need to target "needed" roads at the thana level to ensure better socioeconomic returns on investments.

On the basis of these recommendations the International Food For Development (IFFD) program was initiated in 1993. A major thrust of the IFFD program was to move toward more development-oriented programming by conceiving of road improvements as efforts in sustainable asset-building rather than employment generation. This meant focusing on construction of technically sound roads and adding components for road maintenance and mitigation of negative environmental effects of road construction.
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