# DIPLOMATIC, INTERNATIONAL AND GLOBAL -WORLD HISTORY

## **Michael Graham Fry**

Professor Emeritus of International Relations at the University of Southern California, U.S.A.

### Andrew J. Williams

University of Kent at Canterbury, UK

**Keywords:** history, diplomacy, Versailles Treaty, historiography, First World War, change, Corporatism, imperialism, revisionists, containment, Riga, Yalta, Cold War, European Union, civilization

### Contents

- 1. Diplomatic History
- 2. International History
- 3. The Cold War
- 4. European Union
- 5. Global/World History
- 6. Conclusion

Bibliography

Biographical Sketches

## **Summary**

"History" has informed the study of international relations since the beginnings of the discipline in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. The initial impulse for "Diplomatic" history came from historians who drew their inspiration from Thucydidean and Rankean approaches and studied statecraft in a "realist" mode. These early studies were based on a heavy dependence on primary sources and were given a huge impetus by studies of the causes and course of the First World War, and, to a much lesser extent, of the Second. "International" history, which developed in the period after about 1939, introduced debates about contending approaches to international relations as well as history itself while continuing to search out primary sources, as is still the case.

International historians in particular look at systemic as well as local causes for historical change. The Cold War was, and has continued to be, a time of great interest for the international historian and is now being widely re-assessed. The origins and course of the European Union have also proved a fertile territory to investigate, as have such concepts as the "New World Order".

In the last few decades "Global" or "World" history has emerged as an attempt to see a wider context for the Cold War and other phenomena and to stress other "voices" in world history that may be critical of the philosophies or actions of Western "civilization". The chapter shows how these three great traditions continue to co-exist and to compete with each other for notice in the wider world of international relations.

## 1. Diplomatic History

International Relations is not a discipline. It remains a field of enquiry concerned with the large questions of war and peace, order, morality and justice, and contains several sub-fields such as foreign policy analysis and international political economy. Its intellectual taproots lie in history, law, geography and political theory. History can claim to be both the central intelligence of the subject and, in Sir John Seeley's phrase, "the school of statesmanship". Diplomatic historians stood among the founders of international studies.

Diplomatic history is a sub-field of political history in the Thucydidean and Rankean tradition. Implicitly for the most part it rested in the classical realist paradigm. Its axiom was anarchy. Its governing concepts were rationality, power and the state. Diplomatic historians set themselves two tasks – first, to understand how governing elites, in unitary states, generally free of popular forces, assessed risk, did the capabilities-goals analysis and constructed foreign policy; and second, to understand the behavior of states and how they interacted with one another, i.e. statecraft. Great men ran the affairs of great powers and managed interstate conflict. Diplomatic history's issue areas were, therefore, essentially political and strategic, expressed in terms of security, national interest and great strategy. Its principal concerns were war and its origins, peace and its restoration, crises, alliance relationships and the sanctity of treaties. The sum of great power foreign policies constituted international relations, there being, therefore, no discrete international system. Governments dealt with other governments, and scarcely at all with oppositions, or alternative, aspirant governments.

Diplomatic historians employed a methodology that rested on textual analysis of primary sources, manuscript and printed, preserved primarily in government archives. When bolstered by the record of public debate, in speeches, parliaments and the press, the archives were taken to reveal elite reasoning and state behavior. Command of the archives, public and private, domestic and foreign, placing a premium on foreign language skills, identified the master craftsmen, Sir Charles Webster, William Langer and J.B. Duroselle, for example. Cumulation was determined more by the availability of primary sources, the opening of archives, often to serve political as much as scholarly purposes, than by puzzlement. Diplomatic historians wrote dense, analytical narratives chronologically, in a common vocabulary. They ranged over the historical record from classical times, and particularly from the Renaissance to what became their principal focus – the modern, industrialized, national security state. Historiography took on the familiar pattern of orthodoxy, revisionism and post-revisionism.

Diplomatic history gathered momentum in the late nineteenth century and flourished in the first half of the twentieth century. The First World War, total or not, but of unprecedented proportions and reach, required understanding -- of its origins, causes, eruption, prolongation and consequences. So did the questions surrounding the peacemaking of 1919-1923. The War Guilt clause of the Versailles Treaty, i.e. article 231, written to justify the collection of reparations from Germany, stimulated scholarship, only to render much of it seemingly sterile by turning diplomatic history into a quasi-judicial process and historians into apologists and axe-grinders, waging scholarly war over war guilt. Luigi Albertini's monumental volumes marked the virtual

end of the affair. The Nazi record, and that of imperial Japan, and the verdicts of Nuremberg and Tokyo, exempted the Second World War from a repetition. Orthodoxy, richly documented as the thirty-year rule became the norm, ruled. Revisionism in the 1960s such as that attempted by A.J.P. Taylor seemed eccentric, even perverse. The debate, such as it was, was short-lived. And diplomatic historians played an embarrassingly small role in the examination of the origins and course of the Cold War.

-

# TO ACCESS ALL THE 17 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,

Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

#### **Bibliography**

#### **Section A: Diplomatic and International History**

Aron, Raymond (1956) Paix et Guerre entre Nations (Paris, Calman - Levy) [classic realist text]

Bentley, Michael (1977) *The Liberal Mind 1914 – 1929* (Cambridge, Cambridge U.P.) [history of liberal ideas]

Bourne K. and Watt, D.C. (1967) *Studies in International History* (London, Longmans) [classic traditional reader]

Bull, Hedley (1977) The Anarchical Society, (London, Macmillan) [classic text on international society]

Byman, Daniel J., and Pollack, Kenneth M., (2001) Let Us Now Praise Great Men: Bringing the Statesmen Back In, *International Security*, 25, Spring 2001, pages 107-146 [agency-structure debate]

Carr, Edward Hallet, (1939) *The Twenty Years' Crisis*, (London, Macmillan) [ground-breaking critique of "utopianism" in international politics]

Ceadel, Martin, (1980) Pacifism in Britain, 1914-1945, (Oxford, Clarendon Press) [varieties of pacifism]

Cowling, Maurice (1971) *The Impact of Labour 1920-1924* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press) [the coming of the Labour Party in Britain]

Cowling, Maurice (1974) *The Impact of Hitler 1933-1940* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press) [the development of British politics in the 1930s]

Craig, Gordon A., (1983) The Historian and the Study of International Relations, *American Historical Review*, 88, Feb. 1983, 1-11 [seminal statement of the importance of studying history in IR]

Fry, Michael G. and Gilbert, Arthur (1982) A Historian and Linkage Politics; Arno Mayer, *International Studies Quarterly*, XXVI, September 1982, 425-444 [Homage to Arno Mayer]

Hogan, Michael J., and Paterson, Thomas G. (1991)\_Explaining the History of American Foreign Relations, (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1991 [A good introduction to "corporatism" in US history]

Hogan, Michael J. Corporatism, in Hogan and Paterson, ibid., pages 226-236 [title self-explanatory]

Hunt, Michael H. The Long Crisis in US Diplomatic History: Coming to Closure, *Diplomatic History*, pages 115 – 140 [critique of prevailing trends in US diplomatic history]

Iriye, Akira (1979) Culture and Power, International Relations as Intercultural Relations, Diplomatic History, III 1979, 115-128 [useful non-Western critique of IR and culture]

Joll, James (1965) 1914. The Unspoken Assumptions (London) [historiography]

Kennedy, Paul (1987) *The Rise of Anglo-German Antagonism*, 1860-1914 (London, Ashfield) [title self-explanatory]

Kent, Bruce (1989) *The Spoils of War: The Politics, Economics and Diplomacy of Reparations, 1918-1922*, (Oxford, Clarendon Press) [critique of the imposition of reparations in 1919]

Keynes, John Maynard (1919) *The Economic Consequences of the Peace*, (New York, Macmillan) [the original and still best critique of the imposition of reparations in 1919]

Kissinger, Henry (1964) A World Restored, (New York, Grosset and Dunlap)[study of the Concert of Europe]

Kissinger, Henry, (1994) *Diplomacy*, (New York, Simon and Schuster) [survey of US foreign policy and the world]

Knock, Thomas J., (1992) *To End All Wars: Woodrow Wilson and the Quest for a New World Order*, (New York, Oxford University Press) [Excellent survey of Woodrow Wilson's political philosophy and practice]

Knutsen, Tjorborn, (1999) *The Rise and Fall of World Orders*, (Manchester, Manchester University Press) [comparative analysis of new world order proposals and institutions]

Lentin, Anthony (1985) *Guilt at Versailles: Lloyd George and the Pre-History of Appeasement*, (London, Methuen) [critique of the war guilt clause at Versailles 1919]

Lloyd George, David (1932), *The Truth about Reparations and War Debts*, (London, William Heinemann) [self-serving apologium for the Treaty of Versailles, a good read]

Lloyd George, David (1938), *The Truth About the Peace Treaties*, (2 vols) (London, Victor Gollancz)[self-serving apologium for the Treaty of Versailles, also a good read] Lowes Dickinson, G. (1917) *The Choice Before Us*, (London, George Allen and Unwin) [liberal critique of First World War aims during the conflict]

May, Ernest B. (1984) 'Writing Contemporary International History', *Diplomatic History*, 8, Spring 1984, 103-113 [seminal work of historiography and the writing of history]

Mantoux, Paul (1944) The Economic Consequences of Mr. Keynes (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [critique of Keynes's Economic Consequences of the Peace]

Medlicott, W.M. *Bismarck, Gladstone and the Concert of* Europe (London, Greenwood) [self-explanatory title]

Medlicot (1955) The Scope and Study of International History, International Affairs, XXXI October

Morel, E.D. (1915) *Ten Years of Secret Diplomacy: An Unheeded Warning*, (London, National Labour Press) [classic liberal text against secret diplomacy before World War 1]

Osiander, Andreas (1994) *The States System of Europe, 1640-1990: Peacemaking and the Conditions of International Stability*,(Oxford, Clarendon Press) [thorough study of attempts at world order to 1919]

Sharp, Alan (1991) *The Versailles Settlement: Peacemaking in Paris*, (London. Macmillan) [excellent study of the making of the Treaty of Versailles]

Scholte, Jan Art (1994) 'New Border Crossings: Christopher Thorne and International History', *SHAFR Newsletter*, 25, 2, June 1994, 1–28 [homage to Christopher Thorne]

Schuker, Stephen A. (1976) *The End of French Predominance in Europe: The Financial Crisis of 1924 and the Adoption of the Dawes Plan*, (Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina Press) [best critique of Keynesian view of French attitudes to the Treaty of Versailles in English]

Schulzinger, Robert (1975) *The Making of the Diplomatic Mind*, (Middletown, Conn., Wesleyan University Press) [On diplomacy]

Thorne, Christopher (1967) *The Approach of War, 1938-1939*, (London, Hamilton) [classic text on the coming of WW2]

Thorne, Christopher (1988) *Border Crossings* (Oxford, Blackwell) [statement of Thorne's philosophy of history]

Thorne, Christopher (1973) *The Limits of Foreign Policy: The West, The League and the Far Eastern Crisis of 1931-33*, (London, Hamilton) [seminal account of the Far East Crisis of 1931-33]

Thorne, Christopher (1985) *The Issue of War: States, Societies and the Far Eastern Conflict of 1941-1945*, (London, Hamilton) [seminal account of the Far East at war, 1941-45]

Thorne, Christopher (1983) 'International Relations and the Promptings of History', *Review of International studies*, 9, April, pages 123-136 [relationship between IR and international history]

Trachtenberg, Marc (1980) *Reparations in World Politics: France and Economic Diplomacy, 1916-1923*, (New York, Columbia University Press) [best single volume on the reparations debate post -WW1]

Watt, Donald Cameron (1983) What About the People? Abstraction and Reality in History and the Social Sciences, London [attack on "abstract" approaches to the study of history]

Weill-Raynal, Etienne (1947) *Les reparations allemandes at la France, 1918-1936*, (Paris, Nouvelles Editions Latines)[best French defense of the policy of reparations after WW1]

Williams, Andrew (1998) Failed Imagination? New World Orders of the Twentieth Century, (Manchester, Manchester University Press) [comparative historical study of "new world orders" of 1919, 1945 and 1990]

# Section B: International History - The Cold War and Europe

Alperovitz, Gar (1965) *Atomic Diplomacy: Hiroshima and Potsdam*, (New York, Vintage) [revisionist account of the origins of the Cold War]

Alperovitz, Gar (1995) The Decision to Drop the Bomb, (New York, Harmondsworth) [as previous entry]

Booth, Ken (ed.) (1998) *Statecraft and Security: The Cold War and Beyond*, (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press) [edited volume on the aftermath of the Cold War]

Clemens, Diane (1970) Yalta, (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [best single analysis of the Yalta Conference]

Cox, Mick (ed.) (1998) *Rethinking the Soviet Collapse*, (London , Pinter) [edited volume on the aftermath of the Cold War]

Dunbabin, J.P.D. (1994) *The Cold War: The Great Powers and their Allies*, (London, Longman) [excellent analysis of the origins of the Cold War and after]

Edmonds, Robin (1991) *The Big Three*, (London, Penguin) [excellent account of the relationship between the "Big Three" in the conferences of WW2]

Feis, Herbert (1957) *Churchill, Roosevelt, Stalin*, (Princeton, N.J., Princeton University Press) [the earliest and still one of the best accounts of the relationship between the "Big Three" in the conferences of WW2]

Gaddis, John Lewis (1987) *The Long Peace: Inquiries into the History of the Cold War*, (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [classic text on the Cold War before it ended]

Gaddis, John Lewis (1997) We Now Know: Rethinking Cold War History, (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [Gaddis' best summary yet of what the Cold war meant]

Halle, Louis (1967) *The Cold War as History*, (New York, Oxford University Press) [great post-revisionist analysis of the origins of the Cold War]

Hogan, Michael J. (ed.) (1992) *The End of the Cold War: Its Meanings and Implications*, (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press) [edited volume on the aftermath of the Cold War]

Keohane, Robert (1984) *After Hegemony*, (Princeton, Princeton University Press)[analysis of the alleged loss of American power after the Vietnam War]

Kennedy-Pipe, Caroline (1995) *Stalin's Cold War*, (Manchester, Manchester University Press) [best single analysis of Soviet early Cold War history based on Russian archives]

Leffler, Melvyn P. and Painter David S. (1994) *Origins of the Cold War: An International History*, (London, Routledge) [A classic reader on the origins of the Cold War]

Lowes Dickinson, G. (1926) *The International Anarchy, 1904-1914*, (London, G. Allen and Unwin) [liberal critique of war]

Lundestad, Geir (1998) "Empire" by Integration: The United States and European Integration, 1945-1997, (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [innovative inquiry into the role of the USA in the creation of European Union]

Lynch, Allen, (1992) *The Cold War is Over: Again* (Boulder, Colo., Westview) [early critique of Cold War historical analysis]

Maier, Charles (ed.) (1996) *The Cold War in Europe: Era of a Divided Continent*, (Princeton, Princeton University Press) [classical reader on Cold War Europe]

Mayne, Richard and Pinder, John (1990) *Federal Union: the Pioneers*, (London, Macmillan) [Analysis of the influence of idealist pressure groups on the process of European integration]

Mearsheimer, John (1991) Back to the Future, *International Security*, Vol. 15, No. 1, Summer [classic if misguided analysis of the implications of the impending withdrawal of American troops from Europe post-Cold War]

Milward, Alan (1984) *The Reconstruction of Western Europe, 1945 - 51*, (London, Routledge) [seminal explanation of how European Union "saved the nation state" in Europe]

Sainsbury, Keith (1985) *The Turning Point*, (Oxford, Oxford University Press) [good account of the Moscow and Tehran Conferences in WW2]

Sainsbury, Keith (1996) *Churchill and Roosevelt at War*, (London, Macmillan) [the Churchill-Roosevelt wartime relationship laid bare]

Stirk, Peter M.R. (ed.) (1989) *European Unity in Context: The Interwar Period*, (London, Pinter) [reader on the origins of European Union]

Thorne, Christopher (1978) Allies of a Kind, (London, Hamish Hamilton) [Anglo-America relations in WW2]

Trachtenberg, Mark (1999) *A Constructed Peace: The Making of the European Settlement, 1945 - 1963*, (Princeton, Princeton University Press) [best recent single account of the outbreak of the Cold War][

Wallace, William in Peter Gowan and Perry Anderson (eds) (1997) *The Question of Europe*, (London, Verso) [excellent reader on the dimensions of European Union from several perspectives]

Yergin, Daniel (1977) Shattered Peace: The Origins of the Cold War and the National Security State, (Boston, Mass., Houghton Mifflin) [very revisionist account of the origins of the Cold War]

### Section C: Global/World History

Barraclough, Geoffrey (1979) *Main Trends in History*, (New York, Meir) [an excellent overview of developments in international and diplomatic history in the 1960s and 1970s]

Bentley, Michael (ed.) (1997) *Companion to Historiography*, (London, Routledge) [the best extant and up to date reader on all aspects of historiography]

Braudel, Fernand (1980) On History, London, [classical text on the Braudelian approach to history]

Braudel, Fernand (1972-4) *The Mediterranean and the Mediterranean World in the Age of Philip II*, (New York, Harper and Row) [the great Braudelian classic on the history of the Mediterranean]

Bell, P.M.H (2001) *The World Since 1945: An International History*, (London, Arnold) [a good reader on world politics]

Calvocoressi, Peter (1996) World Politics since 1945, (London, Penguin, 7<sup>th</sup> ed.) [a good reader on world politics]

Evans, Richard J. (1997) In Defence of History, (London, Granta) [critique of post-modernism in history]

Fernandez-Armesto, Felipe (1995) Millennium (London, Black Swan)

Fernandez-Armesto, Felipe (1997) Truth: A History (London, Black Swan)

Fernandez-Armesto, Felipe (2000) Civilizations (London, Macmillan)[all classics of "global" history]

Keylor, William (2000) *The Twentieth Century World: An International History*, (New York, Oxford University Press), [a good reader on world politics]

Ponting, Clive (2000) World History: A New Perspective, (New York, Random House) [a good reader on world politics]

Reynolds, David (2000) *One World Divisible: A Global History Since 1945*, (New York, W.W. Norton) [a good reader on global history]

Roberts, J.M. (1999) *Twentieth Century: A History of the World 1901 to the Present*, (London, Penguin) [possibly the best recent reader on global history]

Todorov, Tzvetan (1999) Facing the Extreme: Moral Life in the Concentration Camps, (London, Weidenfield and Nicholson) [a masterpiece of ethics and historical analysis]

Todorov, Tzvetan (2000) *Memoire du mal: Tentation du bien: Enquete sur le siecle*, (Paris, Robert Laffont) [another Todorov masterpiece, this time on the twentieth century as a whole]

Wills, John E. (2001) 1688: A Global History, (New York, W.W. Norton) [puts 1688 into long-term perspective]

#### **Biographical Sketches**

Andrew Williams was born in Birmingham in1951, educated at the University of Keele (B.A. History and Politics), and at the University of Geneva (Dr. ès Sciences Politiques). He is at present a Professor of International Relations at the University of Kent at Canterbury. His main research interests include Eastern Europe, international organization, international conflict resolution and international history. He has written a number of books on international history, including Labour and Russia: The Labour Party and the Soviet Union, 1924-1934 (1989); Trading with the Bolsheviks: The Politics of East West Trade, 1920-1939 (1992) and Failed Imagination: New World Orders of the Twentieth Century (1998). He is now working on a study of the aftermath of wars and a biography of Sir John Bradbury.

**Michael Graham Fry** is Professor Emeritus of International Relations at the University of Southern California. His most recent book is *The North Pacific Triangle: The United States, Japan and Canada at Century's End* (University of Toronto Press, 1998). His forthcoming book is *And Fortune Fled: Lloyd George and Foreign Policy, 1916-1922.*