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Summary

This contribution provides an overview of the concept "cultural diversity" in international law. The first part is dedicated to the never-ending quest to give meaning to cultural diversity, and it is argued that the contemporary international definition of culture as a "way of life" is necessary to give recognition to the varied ways in which culture can be seen globally. An open-ended definition of culture and, more specifically, cultural diversity is sensible in the light of the fact that States needs to interpret the concept in accordance with domestic needs. The second part of the contribution is a short historical overview of the development of the concept of cultural diversity in international law. UNESCO, an international organization established by the UN, is the main body responsible for fostering cultural diversity. It was originally set up to counter wars that transpired as a result of ignorance of cultural differences between people, most notably against the background of the Second World War. Historical facts reveal that cultural diversity has evolved from differences between mere external practices (works of art) to an all-encompassing concept referring to all manifestations of cultural differences. In this regard, UNESCO's policies commenced with the exchange of cultural knowledge with the purpose of sensitizing the international community to cultural differences. In line with global demands and developments its policies developed over the years to acquire other dimensions, including politics, human rights, sustainable development, democracy, and knowledge interchanges. The third part of the contribution goes over the main points of the 2009 UNESCO World Report, a trendsetting document aiming to put into practice what have been only theoretical discussions thus far. Before the concluding remarks are made, the fourth part presents a
sample of the most important international instruments pertaining to culture and cultural diversity.

1. Introduction

Cultural diversity, although initially not named as such, became an issue in international law after the Second World War, especially with the establishment of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO) in 1946. As formulated in Article 1(1) of the UNESCO Constitution, the purpose of UNESCO is to:

... contribute to peace and security by promoting collaboration among the nations through education, science and culture in order to further universal respect for justice, for the rule of law and for the human rights and fundamental freedoms which are affirmed for the peoples of the world, without distinction of race, sex, language or religion, by the Charter of the United Nations.

In line with its function of stimulating international reflection, UNESCO has been instrumental in making cultural diversity a buzzword on the international policy-making scene. Landmark events, such as international conferences, reports and instruments, and the viewpoints of decision-makers and experts helped in acknowledging the importance of cultural diversity in fields which were not immediately identified with culture, such as knowledge, politics, democracy, development and trade.

This contribution discusses the phenomenon "cultural diversity" in international law. The first part deals with the international meaning of culture and, more particular, cultural diversity. The second part consists of an overview of the main international events instrumental in the emergence and development of cultural diversity in international law. The third part gives an overview of the contents of the 2009 UNESCO World Report, which is the first document reflecting the collective views of the organization on cultural diversity. The fourth part lists and briefly discusses UNESCO's and other international organizations' instruments responsible for the fostering of cultural diversity in international law. The contribution concludes with a few final remarks on future global developments envisaged in the area of cultural diversity.

2. Conceptualizing "Cultural Diversity" in International Law

Cultural diversity has become an important issue in international law, but the exact meaning of cultural diversity remains a controversial issue. In order to have an understanding of the use to which the notion has been put in international law it is necessary to attempt to briefly pin down its meaning.

The term "cultural" in cultural diversity is an adjective that stems from the noun "culture", which is almost impossible to define due to its multi-layered and context-dependent nature. Over the years, scholars from various disciplines have explored its meaning and possible parameter, but to date it remains a much contested and illusive concept. Linguistically, the term culture comes from the Latin term *cultūra* or *cultus*, which has a variety of meanings such as "cultivate", "culture", "civilization", "adoration", "worship", "way of life", "dress", "attire" and "adornment". It has been the focal point of cultural anthropologists and sociologists for many years, but lawyers are now increasingly joining in the quest to find a workable definition of culture. Whilst the
quest continues we are left with a plethora of divergent views on culture's exact meaning, nature and relevance, especially in the context of law. Culture is often understood as an abstract driver of human behavior and as such it is subject to constant change as peoples' contexts, demands, needs and understanding change. From some viewpoints, culture is seen as an abstract, albeit inherent part of human life that has to do with a subliminal pattern of thinking which describes values, norms and symbols which guide one's choices and interaction with others. This understanding of culture could explain why there are so many divergent views about the meaning of culture in the literature in general and in law-based literature in particular.

Though significant in just about all spheres of law, the application of the concept culture in international law is relevant for this discussion, and the debates on the meaning of the term culture in international law are therefore relevant. One of the first attempts to define culture in international law can be found in the broad definition in the preamble of the UNESCO *Mexico City Declaration on Cultural Policies* (the 1982 *Mexico City Declaration*) at the World Conference on Cultural Policies held in Mexico City in 1982, *viz.*:

... [C]ulture ... [is] ... the whole complex of distinctive spiritual, material, intellectual and emotional features that characterize a society or social group. It includes not only the arts and letters, but also modes of life, the fundamental rights of the human being, value systems, traditions and beliefs; that it is culture that gives man the ability to reflect upon himself. It is culture that makes us specifically human, rational beings, endowed with a critical judgement and a sense of moral commitment. It is through culture that we discern values and make choices. It is through culture that man expresses himself, becomes aware of himself, recognizes his incompleteness, questions his own achievements, seeks untiringly for new meanings and creates works through which he transcends his limitations.

In line with this definition, the World Commission on Culture and Development, as discussed in section 3 hereafter, advanced an even broader understanding of culture in their Report *Our Creative Diversity* in 1995 (the 1995 *Our Creative Diversity Report*) and views culture simply as "ways of living together". This open-ended definition is broad enough to include all of the different facets and layers of culture and enables countries to give content to culture within their own territories.


On 2 November 2001 the General Conference of UNESCO adopted the *Universal Declaration on Cultural Diversity* (the CDD). The preamble to the CDD describes culture in line with the definitions put forward by the 1982 *Mexico City Declaration*, the 1995 *Our Creative Diversity Report*, and the 1998 *Stockholm Conference*, and concludes that culture:

... should be regarded as the set of distinctive spiritual, material, intellectual and emotional features of society or a social group, and that it encompasses, in addition to art and literature, lifestyles, ways of living together, value systems, traditions and beliefs.
These definitions illustrate that culture is no longer regarded as a mere commodity. It is the doings of human beings by virtue of their being members of a group (or a community). In other words, it is an expression of a person's or a group's identity. One could perhaps be over simple by saying that culture has two dimensions. One dimension is the physical characteristics of a person or group, which can be externally observed - for example, artifacts, language, religion and custom. The other dimension relates to the subjective and refers to the way of thinking and acting of a person or a group. Another way of looking at culture is to describe it as a set of attitudes, beliefs, mores, customs, values and practices which are commonly shared by a group. Such a group may be defined in terms of its politics, geography, religion, ethnicity or some other characteristic, thus using culture as a noun as for example, in the terms African culture, Asian culture, Christian culture, feminist culture, youth culture or corporate culture. Seeing culture in this way, however, does not explain other forms of culture which have to do with the activities undertaken by people and the products of those activities - which have to do with the intellectual, moral and artistic aspects of human life. The notion of culture can also be pertinent in the context of activities that lead to enlightenment and education of the mind rather than the acquisition of purely technical or vocational skills. In such cases culture is more likely to occur as an adjective than as a noun, as in cultural diversity, cultural goods, cultural institutions or cultural governance. The fact that there are so many diverse possible descriptions of the nature of culture demonstrates the difficulty implicit in attempting to pin down a single general meaning for the term, and perhaps rightly so, for it should be left to States to give context to the term relevant to their particular circumstances, especially in the context of international law.

Moving on from these attempts to establish a fixed meaning for culture, which seems to be an absurd endeavour, the next mission is to explore the meaning of the word "diversity" in the phrase "cultural diversity". The word diversity is a noun that comes from the Latin word diversitas which means the state of being diverse or different. Bearing in mind that the broad understanding of culture refers to a person's or group's identity and way of living, the word diversity (a noun in this context) refers to the diverseness of such identities and ways of living. The first attempt to define cultural diversity in a legal document is found in the Convention on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions 2005 (the CDC), viz.:

… the manifold ways in which the cultures of groups and societies find expression. These expressions are passed on within and among groups and societies. Cultural diversity is made manifest not only through the varied ways in which the cultural heritage of humanity is expressed, augmented and transmitted through the variety of cultural expressions, but also through diverse modes of artistic creation, production, dissemination, distribution and enjoyment, whatever the means and technologies used.

UNESCO's future conception of cultural diversity will rest on this broad definition and, as it is the first of its kind in an internationally legally binding instrument, it is extremely important for the future development of the concept in international law. The definition remains, nonetheless, relevant only in the context of the CDC, which deals only with cultural expressions, and for that reason its meaning may be something totally different in another context.
The dynamic nature of cultural diversity is evident from the recent UNESCO World Report *Investing in Cultural Diversity and Intercultural Dialogue* published in 2009 (the 2009 UNESCO World Report), reflecting the viewpoint of UNESCO as a whole, where it is stated that "[c]ultural diversity should be defined as the capacity to maintain the dynamic of change in all of us, whether individuals or groups (p. 4)". This makes it easy to understand why the 2009 UNESCO World Report commences with clarifications about some misconceptions regarding cultural diversity instead of giving a definition. Cultural diversity may be evident a wide range of distinct cultural activities, although these contours are not always easy to determine. Awareness of this diversity has been facilitated by the globalization of exchanges between communities. In addition, being a social phenomenon, cultural diversity binds and distinguishes between groups, and is thus a major social concern. By bringing different lifestyles, value systems, codes of conduct, social relations, cultural expressions and so forth into play, cultural diversity becomes part and parcel of the political agenda of many countries.

One of the first difficulties identified by the 2009 UNESCO World Report is establishing the link between diversity and culture. It is obvious that cultures are diverse, and it is therefore important to have some idea of what culture means but, as implied above, giving an exact meaning to the term culture seems to be impossible, which may be why UNESCO chose to use as broad a definition as possible, along the lines of the 1982 *Mexico City Declaration* as quoted in section 2 above.

A second difficulty, which will not be fully canvassed here, concerns the identification of the components of cultural diversity, especially in connection with the terms "culture", "civilization" and "peoples", which terms may have different meanings depending on their context and one's ideology. For instance, a civilization may be something that affirms a people's values and world views. Those people may think of their civilization as being morally universal and therefore adopt an expansionist approach towards outsiders. The concept could thus pose a threat to the peaceful coexistence of diverse groups. UNESCO's resolve is to understand civilization to be a "work in progress", as the accommodation of each of the world's cultures, on the basis of equality, in an ongoing universal project (p. 5)."

The third difficulty pointed out by the 2009 UNESCO World Report concerns the variable character of culture. Societies evolve for a number of reasons, most notably in recent times as a result of globalization and the dynamic nature of the cultural identities of these societies, UNESCO recognizes the fact that the changeable nature of culture requires a fresh approach to cultural diversity, which it refers to as "managing cultural diversity". In other words, the inherent challenges of cultural diversity concern not only States (internationally) or multi-cultural societies (nationally) but also individuals, who must be able to free themselves from stereotypes and prejudices in order to accept others different from themselves. If one looks at cultural diversity in this way, it becomes a resource which could contribute to the social and economic development which is indispensable to our future peace and prosperity.

As the 2009 UNESCO World Report is the most recent publication of UNESCO on the issue of cultural diversity and reflects a viewpoint representative of UNESCO as a whole, the contents of the Report will undoubtedly play a prominent role in the interpretation of cultural diversity in international law in future. For that reason a more
detailed discussion of the contents of the Report is given in section 4 hereafter. The emergence and evolution of cultural diversity as a concept of international law is discussed in the next section.
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