

# ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND MANAGEMENT OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

**Yingqian Qian**

*Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China*

**Keywords:** Biotechnology, biodiversity, living modified organisms (LMOs), transgenic crops, potential ecological risks, biosafety, Convention on Biological Diversity, Terminator technology, Traitspecific-Genetic Use Restriction Technology (T-Gurt).

## Contents

1. Introduction
2. Potential risks of LMOs released to environment
  - 2.1 The wild related species of transgenic crops become weeds
  - 2.2 Transgenic crops themselves can become weeds
  - 2.3 Effect of transgenic crops on biodiversity
    - 2.3.1 Pollen of transgenic maize harms the larva of the monarch butterfly
    - 2.3.2 Transgenic *Bt* cotton seriously harms the dominant parasitic natural enemies
  - 2.4 The issue of virus recombination
  - 2.5 Other issues may emerge
3. Progress on biotechnology in China and the problems brought about by the release of LMOs
  - 3.1. China is the center of origin for many important crops, such as rice and soybean.
4. Measures of safety administration
  - 4.1. Share out the administration and unified leadership
  - 4.2. Taking action on legislation
  - 4.3. Establishing the monitoring system for biosafety
  - 4.4. Strengthening scientific research on biosafety
  - 4.5. Correctly handle relationship between biosafety and intellectual property rights on biotechnology
- Glossary
- Bibliography
- Biographical Sketch

## Summary

The release of transgenic crops on a commercial scale has developed rapidly in recent years. Laboratory experiments and field trials on biosafety have been rather few, however. Evidence exists that living modified organisms (LMO) have had affects on biodiversity and transgene have been transferred to wild related species via gene flow. On the other hand, more and more people are adopting a sceptical attitude to whether or not transgenic foods affect the health of humanity. Biosafety must become one of the most important issues requiring attention, worldwide. The essential steps in environmentally sound management of biotechnology are legislation and scientific research.

## 1. Introduction

Scientists have been worried about the potential risks of the DNA recombination technology from its early stages in the early 1970s. Endless debates have accompanied the development of this technology. Transgenic crops were released on a commercial scale before the “United Nations Conference on Environment and Development” held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in June 1992. These included tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) and cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) resistant transgenic tobacco, which were planted on 8600 hectares in Henan Province, China. Many transgenic cultivars of crops had been tested in field trials over many years and were on the eve of release on a commercial scale in USA and other countries. At least 2 articles of the “Convention on Biological Diversity”, which was signed by the heads of states or governments, were specifically concerned with living modified organisms (LMOs), because of the increasingly strident calls for regulating, managing or controlling the risks associated with their use. Article 8, item (g) of the Convention, “*in situ* conservation”, reads: “Establish or maintain means to regulate, manage or control the risks associated with the use and release of living modified organisms resulting from biotechnology which are likely to have adverse environmental impacts that could affect the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, taking also into account the risks to human health”. Article 19 of the convention “Handling of biotechnology and distribution of its benefits”, states: “The Parties shall consider the need for and modalities of a protocol setting out appropriate procedures, including, in particular, advance informal agreement, in the field of the safe transfer, handling and use of any living modified organism resulting from biotechnology that may have adverse effect on the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity”. The resolution by the first conference of the Parties held in Bahamas in November 1994 stipulated that it was necessary to set up a special group on biosafety, to comprise 15 experts nominated by states or governments. The task of the group was to submit the documents for consideration by an “Open Ended *ad hoc* Working Group on Biosafety”. The sixth conference of this expert group was held in January 1999, but, to date, agreement has not been reached on a series of issues related to the protocol.

## **2. Potential risks of LMOs released to environment**

Should the ecological risks be deemed to require it, the elimination of LMOs released on a commercial scale would be extremely expensive, and impossible in most cases. The following ecological risks maybe occur.

### **2.1 The wild related species of transgenic crops become weeds**

Many species related to crops do not exist as weeds in nature, under most conditions, owing to natural forms of control. But these wild-related species may become weeds, once the natural balance is disrupted. These related species may grow vigorously and propagate rapidly when they acquire resistant transgenes from transgenic crops via gene flow. This has been verified by studies carried out by Mikkelsen et al that demonstrated the introduction of transgenes of *Brassica napus* to the wild related species *B. campestris*. The chromosome number of *B. napus* is  $2n=38$ , while the latter is  $2n=20$ . Interspecific hybrids can be obtained after hybridization between glufosinate tolerant transgenic *B. napus* and *B. campestris*. Similar in morphology and highly fertile glufosinate *B. campestris*, the chromosome of which is  $2n=20$ , was discovered in the

first generation of backcross. It was confirmed that the transgene of *B. napus* can be rapidly spread to *B. campestris*.

## **2.2 Transgenic crops themselves can become weeds**

Some kinds of cultivated crops have a dual nature, such as some species in *Sorghum*. They are cultivated as crop in normal conditions, but they themselves can also act as weeds under specified conditions. Some crops, which were normal under conditions of natural balance, may tend towards weediness when the insecticide resistant gene or herbicide resistant gene, etc, is inserted or obtained from the escaped transgenes. Other crops, such as, sugar cane, potato, rapeseed and oat, all have close related species with weedy traits, and could become weeds after genetic modification.

## **2.3 Effect of transgenic crops on biodiversity**

The effect of transgenic crops on biodiversity or non-target organisms has been confirmed by the results of many recent experiments. Transgenic crops have been released on a commercial scale for only a few years and it can be predicted that evidence will increase rapidly through the passage of time. Two case studies, one of which was a laboratory experiment and the other a large-scale field trial, will be described in this article.

### **2.3.1 Pollen of transgenic maize harms the larva of the monarch butterfly**

The toxic protein from the CryIA(b) gene in insect resistant maize is also expressed in maize pollen. A very simple but tremendously influential experiment on a laboratory scale was completed by Losey et al. The only source of food for caterpillars of the monarch butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) is species of *Asclepias*, including *Asclepias curassavia*. Monarch larvae were fed with leaves of *A. curassavia*, on the surface of which there was a layer of pollen from transgenic *Bt* maize, with a density similar to the dusted pollen under natural conditions. More than 10% of larvae died on the second day and 44% died 4 days later, but all larvae of the two controls survived. The two controls consisted of no pollens on the leaves of *A. curassavia* and normal pollen on the leaves. The consumption rate of leaves clearly varied. The largest consumption rate occurred on leaves with no pollen on the surface. The consumption rate was very much less on leaves with *Bt* pollen, leading to a decrease in growth of larvae. Their weight was only half of those of one of the controls (no pollen) at the end of the experiment.

### **2.3.2 Transgenic *Bt* cotton seriously harms the dominant parasitic natural enemies**

Monitoring has been carried out by Xia Jing-yuan et al. after release of transgenic *Bt* cotton on a commercial scale. Results illustrated that dominant parasitic natural enemies of the cotton bollworm were seriously harmed by transgenic *Bt* cotton. The numbers of larvae of the parasitic natural enemies *Campoletis chlorideae* and *Microplitis sp.* per hundred bollworm larvae in *Bt* cotton, decreased by 79.2% and 88.9% respectively, in comparison with the control (normal cotton). The parasitic rate and emergence rate of *Campoletis chlorideae* parasitizing bollworm fed with transgenic *Bt* cotton decreased

91.4% and 37.5% respectively, as compared with those fed with normal cotton. The weight of cocoons and individuals of *Campoplex chlorideae* decreased 54.0% and 11.1%, respectively in comparison with the control. The parasitic rate of *Microplitis sp.* decreased 57.1% in comparison with the control; the weight of individuals decreased 44.2%, and all the cocoons were dead, no individuals emerging from them. It can be concluded, therefore, that transgenic *Bt* cotton seriously harmed the rate of parasitism, the hatching rate and the cocoon quality of the dominant natural enemies of bollworm larvae.

-  
-  
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 9 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,  
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

### Bibliography

Losey J.E. et al. (1999). Transgenic pollen harm monarch larvae., *Nature*, 399, 214. (*The report indicates that the pollens of transgenic cotton affect on the biodiversity.*)

Masood D. (1999). Compromise sought on 'Terminator'... *Nature*, 399, 721. (*This reported an alternative technology of 'Terminator Technology', the seed will germinate, but the modified characteristics will be expressed by using a proprietary chemical only.*)

Mikkelson T.R. et al. (1996). The risk of crop transgene spread. *Nature*, 380, 31. (*The experimental evidence of gene flow occurs from transgenic rapeseed to wild related species.*)

Qian Y.Q. et al. (1998). Progress in the studies on genetically modified organisms, and the impact of its release on environment. *Acta Ecologia Sinica* 18 (1), 1-9. (In Chinese) (*This presents the advances of genetically modified organisms released in commercialized scale and the potential ecological risks may occur.*)

Qian Y.Q. et al. (1999). Terminator technology and biosafety. *Chinese Biodiversity*, 7(2), 151-155 (In Chinese) (*This represents the seeds are genetically modified to become sterile after one season's planting.*)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (1994). Convention on Biological Diversity, UNEP/CBD/94/1. (*The agreed text of the Convention on Biological Diversity was adopted on 22 May 1992 with the Nairobi Conference.*)

Xia J.Y. et al. (1999). The role of transgenic *Bt* cotton in integrated insect pest management. *Acta Gossypii Sinica* 11(2), 57-64. (In Chinese) (*Some results illustrated on this paper that dominant parasitic natural enemies were harmed seriously by transgenic *Bt* cotton.*)

Zhou X.P, et al. (1997). Evidence that DNA-A of a geminivirus associated with severe cassava mosaic disease in Uganda has arisen by interspecific recombination. *Journal of General Virology*. 78, 2101-2111. (*The first paper reported the interspecific recombination of viruses in the world.*)

### Biographical Sketch

**Qian Yingqian** was born in Zhejiang Province China on 28 December, 1932. He graduated from Department of Biology, Fudan University, Shanghai and graduated from Department of Biology, Nanjing University, Nanjing, but there was no degree system at that time in China. He has been working in the Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS) since 1959, and has been a research professor since 1986.

He has studied plant cell biology since the late 1950s. His main contribution in the early 1960s was studies on the behavior of somatic nuclei and reproductive nuclei in corn pollens germinated artificially, and he found the wall-like material around the sperm for the first time in the world by using electron microscopy. Protoplast culture, somatic hybridization and related issues on plant cell biology were studied since the early 1970s. In cooperation with his colleagues, whole plants of corn, triticaria and kiwifruit were regenerated from protoplasts; all of these regenerated plants were the first record in the world. *Nicotiana tabacum* and *Glycine max* hybrid cell line were obtained via somatic hybridization. First and second prize of natural sciences award of CAS and third prize of natural science award of the State were achieved owing to the achievements mentioned above in the late 1980s and early 1990s.

He has studied the field of biodiversity since the early 1990s, and was one of the first scientists involved in biodiversity and biosafety in China. More than ten papers on biosafety were published in professional journals and popular science magazines.

He has been the Deputy Director, Director of Institute of Botany, CAS (1981-1986), Director of Bio-Sciences & Biotechnology, CAS (1987-1992), President of Guangxi Academy of Sciences (1992-1994) and Editor-in-Chief of the Journal, *Chinese Biodiversity*.