THE IMPACTS OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN BRAZIL

J. Gutberlet

Department of Geography, University of Victoria, Canada

Keywords: Industrial development, industrialization, environmental and social impacts, health and environment, sustainability, Brazil.

Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The rise and transformation of industrial production in Brazil
- 2.1. Modernization and the prevailing development model since the 1950s
- 2.2. Globalization and recent development trends
- 3. Environmental and social impacts of industrial production
- 3.1. Industrial production and the environment
- 3.1.1. Industrial air pollution
- 3.1.2. Production and water contamination
- 3.1.3. Generation of industrial residues
- 3.2. Health problems and risks at industrial production centers
- 3.3. Industrial production and social impacts
- 4. Pathways towards sustainable production
- 5. Conclusion
- Glossary
- Bibliography
- **Biographical Sketch**

Summary

Brazil, the strongest economy in Latin America, has a diversified and increasingly decentralized manufacturing sector. The country is rich in minerals, hydrological resources, biomass and biodiversity, solar energy and fertile soil. In addition it is the fifth most numerous country and has a culturally diverse population with European, African and indigenous background. However, the benefits and wealth of development are unevenly distributed with a significant proportion of the population living in poverty and deprivation. Indeed, according to the United Nations Human Development Report, Brazil is currently ranked at the top in terms of the existing social and economic inequalities. As in other parts of the world industry has treated the environment in Brazil as provider of resources and as recipient for residues from production and consumption. This attitude has caused widespread environmental destruction and contamination of air, water and soil. Industry can prevent further environmental degradation, by being a positive agent providing the economic basis for sustainable development. The focus should be on production that meets and enhances quality of life and that reduces inequality and poverty without generating negative environmental impacts. The new paradigm of sustainable production, widely discussed as industrial ecology, offers solutions to the existing problems. A shift from the linear perception of manufacturing production towards a closed systems approach is required. The challenge remains as how to promote a change of attitudes and values among the industrial sector, the public, stakeholders and consumers as well as other policy and decision makers. There are examples in Brazil, where industry has already undertaken the radical change towards greater eco-efficiency and cleaner production.

1. Introduction

The following analysis of social and environmental consequences from industrial development in Brazil concentrates on the situation prevailing during the twentieth century: the period of decolonization and recent globalization. The discussion is based on a geographical systems perspective. Specific regional economic and social outcomes and environmental impacts of this process are identified and analyzed and pathways of alternative development towards clean production are presented. Although the environment is an important component for industrial production, providing the necessary resources without which there would not be any development or economy at all, it is not taken sufficiently into consideration. A range of different questions will be addressed in this article, such as: What were and what are the driving forces for industrial development? What are the social and environmental outcomes of industrialization? What are recent and future challenges in view of a more sustainable society in Brazil?

The theoretical framework for the discussion is based on concepts from Development Geography and Environmental Science. Development Geography has emerged during the 1950s and 1960s, basically to interpret and understand 'underdevelopment' of former colonies and specific regions, as well as to find ways to overcome this legacy. From the experiences in Europe and North America, industrialization was understood as an important motor for progress and thus, early on, industrial development became the key area in strategic development planning. The present analysis considers regional economic and social conditions resulting from the adoption of this development model.

During the past decades new concepts and propositions searching for more appropriate development have been generated within Geography and Environmental Science. Especially since the UN Conference on the Human Environment, held in Stockholm in 1972, greater attention has been given to the consequences of human activities and economic development on the environment. Among the outcomes of the conference was the elaboration of a *Declaration on the Human Environment* and an Action Plan containing several recommendations. These early results were some of the milestones for the *Rio Declaration* and the *Agenda 21*, the outcomes of the UN Conference on the Environment and Development, known as the *Earth Summit*, held in Rio de Janeiro in 1992. Unfortunately the Conventions on Climate Change and on Biological Diversity discussed during the Summit and proposed as an important breakthrough to ascertain greater sustainability, were not adopted due to disagreement, particularly on the part of USA. Industrial production is also co-responsible for climate change because of the release of emissions that enhance the greenhouse effect and deplete the ozone layer.

The demand from people worldwide to tackle poverty and environmental degradation is growing and it has become evident that our development model has failed to deliver the answer to these concerns. Industrial and agricultural production, mining, forestry and fishing as they are widely practiced today cause negative impacts on the environment and reduce the resource availability. There is no other option than a radical change in production modes and consumption patterns in order to safeguard the quality of life of present and future generations. The industrial sector directly interferes with the environment by extracting and transforming natural resources and by generating negative tradeoffs (pollution, waste). Industry is under pressure from the government and from public stakeholders to assess their activities in terms of environmental impacts and social conditions.

Sustainable development has been defined by the *Brundtland Commission* as "the development that satisfies the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs". A similar approach is taken with "...sustainable development being development that comprises the economic and social development that protect and enhance the natural environment and social equity". The concept of sustainability is certainly contestable and ambiguous because the term itself already bears a contradiction. According to the different perspectives between the North and the South, and between governmental and non-governmental organizations, the focus is either on environmental management and protection or it is rather exclusively on economic development. The term sustainable development embraces social, economic and environmental perspectives and at the same time concerns future generations. The definition rather fulfils a role of guidance, albeit without providing prescriptions on how to address particular sustainability issues in practice.

Several different development strategies have influenced the distribution and diversification of industrial production in Brazil since the beginning of the twentieth century. Growth-driven modernization theories are contrasted with bottom-up development models. Historically embedded factors, current patterns and future trends in industrial development are outlined in this section. The industrial sector plays a key role in the country's economy but also in the overall development. For nearly a century, industrial production has contributed to the generation of wealth, positioning Brazil ninth among the world's largest economies. According to *UNIDO*, the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 1997 was circa 804 Billion US\$. Nevertheless, this development has been very uneven in terms of income distribution and access to resources and quality of life. According to the *UNDP Human Development Report* Brazil is among the countries with the largest social-economic disparities: where immense profits are made next to extreme misery.

Social and environmental impacts are often consequences of urbanization and industrial growth, as well as of the industrialization of agricultural production and the emergence of new resource intense consumption patterns. These tradeoffs are not equally distributed among the population and within the country. There are strong regional differences in Brazil, in terms of the degree of air, soil and water contamination, the distribution of wealth, poverty and exposure to health risks. The manufacturing sector and business in general are important actors in this scenario. Equity and environmental justice question the access and distribution of resources. These questions are increasingly becoming the real challenges for local and global decision making.

There are strategies and policies to promote clean production and sustainable industries. These include the enforcement of environmental policies, of voluntary certifications and accreditation. Technological innovations also contribute to the reduction of pollution and waste production. *Lifecycle Analysis*, *Ecological Footprint Analysis* and the *Extended Metabolism Model* are useful tools to quantify and qualify impacts of production and consumption. They help to identify possible negative impacts from production processes and from final products and they assist in determining measures to mitigate these impacts. These tools can help to increase the environmental and social responsibility of business. Environmental awareness ultimately translates into responsible production and consumption patterns and less resource intense lifestyles. The knowledge acquired by now will provide the opportunity for others to follow and to make a difference.

2. The rise and transformation of industrial production in Brazil

Before discussing the processes and the outcomes of industrial development in Brazil it is important to remember that a culturally diverse indigenous population had occupied the land for thousands of years before the arrival of the colonizers. This population survived on the given natural resource base without causing major damage or threat to the environment. With the colonial invasion the majority of the indigenous population was killed, enslaved or expelled from their homelands. The colonial expansion further introduced the still ongoing process of environmental degradation and contamination.

With the extraction of mineral and natural resources during the colonial and postcolonial period the social, cultural and economic regional disparities were consolidated. Regardless of regional fluctuations between periods of economic boom and periods of decay, the South and South-east of Brazil concentrated most of the wealth produced throughout the country during the past centuries. Since colonial times this part of the country operated as the main economic and political center. Except for some periods of booming extractive activities (e.g. latex extraction in the Amazon, sugarcane plantations in the North-east, extraction of medicinal plants in the transitional forest in the Midwest or cattle ranching in the Pantanal in today's Mato Grosso and Mato Grosso do Sul) the North and North-east remained the providers of natural resources and labor to sustain the economic growth in the South and the South-east.

Since the late 1870s coffee was a major export commodity, facilitating the accumulation of wealth in the South-east and setting the basic condition for industrialization during the first half of the twentieth century. The initial expansion occurred with the production of consumer goods, textile and food processing industries, which were concentrated in the states of Rio de Janeiro, São Paulo and Rio Grande do Sul. Textile firms made up the largest proportion of the early industrial clusters formed in these states. New firms were established by private initiatives, mainly European immigrants or their descendents. Among the early successful businessmen are the examples of Visconde de Mauá during the nineteenth century and Francisco Matarrazzo, during the beginning of the twentieth century. Subsidiaries of large foreign companies were established after World War I in the chemical, pharmaceutical, motor vehicle (*General Motors, Ford*) and meat processing (*Swift, Wilson, Armour, Anglo*) sectors.

Industrialization was considered the key factor in achieving economic development and greater independence from the core countries. Under the regime of Getúlio Vargas

(1930-45) the consolidation of the New State (*Estado Novo*) was initiated by encouraging the process of import substitution and by building up a strong national basic industry. The key areas of transportation, energy and mineral resource extraction and transformation received financing from foreign, state and private capital. During that period the government pursued a strong policy of inward oriented industrial expansion and incentivated urbanization. The state prevented clashes of interests between the traditional agrarian elite and the emerging industrial power, by accommodating their interests within the prevailing development policies. In 1942 Brazil's first large-scale iron and steel plant, *Companhia Siderurgica Nacional (CSN)*, was built in Volta Redonda (RJ). The construction was financed by USA in return for Brazil's support to the Allies during World War II.

Many iron and steel plants initially relied on charcoal as the basic energy source. The extraction of charcoal encouraged widespread deforestation in several parts of the country. The state of Minas Gerais, originally covered with native forests, had supplied the sector for decades with charcoal, transforming the region into a production center for heavy industries. Coal mining was also incentivated through the iron and steel sector. Other steel plants, such as the CSN used coal instead of charcoal in their production, which was extracted in South Brazil and shipped to the industry out of Imbituba and Laguna harbor (SC).

Volta Redonda was the largest steel production site in Latin America. In the same year a public mining enterprise *Companhia Vale do Rio Dôce* was established, taking over the ore deposits originally exploited by the British group *Itabira Iron Company*. The following development period was influenced by the prevailing ideas of modernization, imperialism and dependency, leading to different regional outcomes. Investment and policies favored the existing industrial centers in the South and South-east, whereas the rest of the country remained backstage.

A unique development model was pursued in the southern state of Santa Catarina, where predominantly European colonization (especially from Italy and Germany) led to a diversified small-scale agricultural and industrial production pattern. Having developed strong industrial clusters from early on, Santa Catarina is now characterized by the absence of state-owned companies or large transnational firms. Five major industrial sectors are present in this state, of which the electrical and engineering industry, established in 1930, in the coastal region near Joinville, is the most important. The textile and garment industry, the second most important sector, is concentrated mainly in the Itajaí valley around Blumenau, in the North-east of the state. The first textile industries were already established during the late nineteenth century. Food processing is the third largest industrial sector in Santa Catarina, the main exponents being chicken, turkey and pork processing. Most of these industries were established during the 1950s. Ceramics, furniture and wood industry form another smaller industrial cluster. The predominance of small to medium-scale industrial clusters puts Santa Catarina into a special position, although, sector-specific firm concentrations can also be found in many other states, as outlined further on.

In 1942, Robert Simonsen, a wealthy and powerful businessman from São Paulo created the *Center for Industrial Professional Training* (SENAI). This initiative is jointly

sponsored by the government and the business community. Since then the center has played an important role in providing the technical and professional qualification of the workforce, required by the expanding industrial activities.

- -
- -
- -

TO ACCESS ALL THE **40 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

Bibliography

Alves F. and Brito O. (1995). Ainda existe capacidade ociosa. *Saneamento Ambiental*, 33, pp. 22-28. CETESB, São Paulo: Signus. [The article is on technologies for industrial waste treatment. It discusses several management alternatives adopted by some industries in Brazil. The journal is an important source for detailed information on environmental technology, environmental management and environmental aspects of production processes, with particular emphasis on industrial production.]

Barton, J.R. (1998). Aço Verde: The Brazilian steel industry and environmental performance. LASA Conference paper, Chicago 24.-26.09.1998. To be published in: Jenkins, R. (ed.) Industry and Environment in Latin America Routledge, London (in press). [This paper is one of the few recent analysis on the environmental performance of the Brazilian steel sector. It provides background on the development of the Brazilian iron and steel industry and the environmental impacts of this production sector. The paper analyses the main actions taken by the sector to reduce air and water pollution and to minimize the generation of waste.]

Becker B. K. and Egler C. A. G. (1992). *Brazil: a new regional power in the world economy*, 190 p. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [The book provides a regional geography on the economic development in Brazil, from the colonial to the recent period (beginning of the 1990s). It focuses on major social, political and economic outcomes of development in Brazil.]

Borges A.F.B. (Coord.) (1996). Perfil da gestão ambiental de empresas. Gestão ambiental. *Gazeta Mercantil*, Gazeta Mercantil/Sebrae/IBAMA/Instituto Herbert Levy, São Paulo. [The booklet provides an introduction for industry to the environmental accreditation system ISO 14000.]

Blowers, A. (ed.) (1993). *Planning for a sustainable environment*. 239 p. Earthscan, London. [This book is a publication of the Town and Country Planning Association, an independent agency that promotes effective planning, public participation and sustainable development. The editor has compiled a series of contributions on sustainability. Among these is a discussion in Chapter eight, on sustainable economy by Michael Clark, Paul Burall and Peter Roberts. These three authors briefly debate the issue of *greening business* and pathways towards clean production.]

Diesendorf, M. (1997). Principles of ecological sustainability. In: Diesendorf, M. and Hamilton, C. *Human ecology, human economy* Allen & Unwin, St. Leonards, pp. 64-97. [This book chapter introduces ecological sustainability principles and goals, with particular emphasis on the industrial society. It discusses the perspectives of ecology and social equity as an option for the future. The chapter further explores the relation between economy and ecology.]

Eco-Industrial Parks: A case study and analysis of economic, environmental, technical, and regulatory issues. [The research was partly funded by the US Environmental Protection Agency. No specific authors are mentioned. The website describes the development and characteristics of the Eco-Industrial Park in Chattanooga, USA.] http://www.rti.org/units/ssid/cer/case_study_summary.pdf (accessed 13.04.2000).

Forbes D.K. (1984). *The Geography of underdevelopment. A critical survey.* 214 p. Croom Helm, London. [This monograph is a classical piece on development theory. It highlights the major concepts on

development and explanations for underdevelopment. Forbes discusses the results of development with respect to regional differentiation, urbanization and industrialization.]

Gereffi G. (1995). Global production systems and third world development. In: Stallings, B. (ed.) *Global change, regional response: the international context of development*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Chapter 4. [The author argues on the basis of a cross-national analysis that large-scale corporations and changes in information and communication technologies and transport facilities are the major driving forces for globalization, promoting a radical change. Not only the economy but also social-cultural relations are rapidly transformed on a worldwide scale. Specific examples provide a clear understanding on how these complex and interrelated factors influence industrial production in Brazil and other Latin-American countries.]

Gutberlet J. (1996). *Cubatão: desenvolvimento, exclusão social, degradação ambiental.* 244 p. EDUSP, São Paulo. [The publication discusses social-economic and environmental impacts of industrial development highlighted with the example of the production center Cubatão in São Paulo. The book provides a holistic analysis and discussion on industrial production and the impacts for the environment and the local population. It further analyses policies and instruments to control and mitigate industrial pollution]

Haddad P.R. (1997). Industrial location policies and the urbanization process in Brazil. In: Jones G.H. and Visaria P. (eds.) *Urbanization in large developing countries: China, Indonesia, Brazil, and India.* Claredon Press, Oxford, pp. 228-244. [The book focuses on social and economic outcomes of urbanization, with particular emphasis on industrialization. The referenced chapter discusses location policies for industrial production centers in Brazil since the 1950s.]

Jenkins R. (1998). Industrialization, trade and pollution in Latin America: a review of the issue. LASA Conference paper, Chicago 24.-26.09.1998. To be published in: Jenkins, R. (ed.) *Industry and Environment in Latin America* Routledge, London (*in press*). [The paper discusses industrial pollution in Latin America and recent trends, providing figures on major air pollutants. It also focuses on the relation between trade and pollution, arguing that trade liberalization in Latin America will contribute to the expansion of the industries with a smaller ecological footprint, such as textiles, metal products and food industries.]

Maimon D. (1995). Responsabilidade ambiental das empresas brasileiras: realidades ou discurso? In: Cavalcanti, C. (Org.) *Desenvolvimento e natureza: estudo para uma sociedade sustentável.* pp. 399-416. Cortez, São Paulo. [The author briefly discusses sustainable development and industrial production from a Southern perspective.]

Martine, G. and Campolina Diniz, C. 1997, Economic and demographic concentration in brazil: recent inversion of historical patterns. In: Jones, G. and Visaria, P. *Urbanization in large developing countries*. pp. 203-262. Claredon Press, Oxford. [The chapter relates industrialization with urbanization, analyses various social and economic trends and the resulting impacts and major problems for the case of Brazil.]

Meyer-Stramer, J. 1998, Path dependency in regional development. *World Development*, **26** (8), pp. 1495-1511. [The article discusses industrial expansion in Santa Catarina, particularly of three main industrial clusters. Distinct economic characteristics of the industrial development are analyzed in relation to internal, recent political change and global economic factors.]

Nash J. and Ehrenfeld J. 1996. Code green. Business adopts voluntary environmental standards. *Environment*, **38** (1), pp. 16-45. [The article deals with issues related to environmental labels and codes. It then discusses international experiences with environmental certification.]

Robins, N. and Kumar, R. 1999, Producing, providing, trading: manufacturing industry and sustainable cities. *Environment and Urbanization*, **11** (2), pp. 75-93. [The article outlines concepts related to industrial production, such as: eco-efficiency, clean production, industrial ecology, extended producers responsibility and sustainable production. It includes important recent bibliographical references on the issue.]

Rodriguez-Pose, A. and Tomaney, J. (1999). Industrial crisis in the center of the periphery: stabilization, economic restructuring and policy responses in the São Paulo Metropolitan Region. *Urban Studies*, **36** (3), pp. 479-498. [This is an analysis of Brazil's recent economic development and its impacts on industrial production, with particular emphasis on the automobile industry.]

Sheales, T. Gordon, S. Hafi, A. and Toyne, C. (1999). *Sugar, international policies affecting market expansion* Australian Bureau of Agricultural and Resource Economics ABRARE Research Report 99, 14. Canberra. [The reference provides recent data on the Brazilian sugarcane production, the Pro-alcohol project and sugar production.]

Sustainable Seattle (1993). *The Sustainable Seattle. Indicators of sustainable community.* Report presented by Sustainable Seattle, Seattle, WA. [This publication provides widely accepted qualitative and quantitative indicators for accessing sustainability. It is an important record on indicators in addition to the already existing literature on indicators developed by the UNDP, the Cambridge-Massachusetts City Forum or the Santa Monica Sustainable City indicators.]

Thorpe, B. and Kruszewska, I. (1999). Strategies to promote clean production. Extended producer responsibility. *International CRT Silicon Valley Toxic Coalition*. (Website) (http://www.svtc.org/cleancc/strat.htm) (accessed on 10.08.1999). [Background information to the principle of clean production. The authors illustrate the basic principles for Extended Producers Responsibility and describe the instruments necessary for its implementation.]

UNDP (United Nations Development Program) (1998). *Human Development Report*. Oxford University Press, Oxford. [This report provides worldwide, country specific indicators for economic, cultural and social development.]

Wackernagel M. and Rees W. (1995). *Our Ecological Footprint: Reducing Human Impact on the Earth.* 160 p. Gabriola Island. New Society Publishers, Philadelphia. [This book is an introduction to ecological footprint analysis (EFA), a planning tool to increase sustainability. The concept is simple and comprehensive. It accounts for the input and output flows of energy and matter in any defined economy and transforms these into the corresponding land/water area required from nature to support these flows. The technique is educational but also analytical.]

Databases:

DIEESE 1997 Mulher no trabalho. Convênio Seade/DIEESE (http://www.dieese.org.br) (accessed on 5.12.1999)

DIEESE 1997 Trabalho infantil. (http://www.dieese.org.br) (accessed on 5.12.1999)

FIBGE Census data 1940, 1950, 1960, 1970, 1980, 1991 (http://www.ibge.gov.br) (accessed 27.10.1999)

FIBGE Produção Física Agroindústria, 1999 (http://www.ibge.gov.br) (accessed 27.10.1999)

FAO STAT Database (country level data) (http://apps.fao.org) (accessed on 11.11.1999).

MDIC Ministério do Desenvolvimento Industrial e Comércio Exterior 1999, Secretaria de Política Industrial (Http://www.mdic.gov.br) (accessed on 24.11.1999)

OECD Environment (http://www.oecd.org/env/) (accessed on 17.01.2000)

UNIDO Reference Information http://www.unido.org/doc/online.htmls (accessed on 21.11.1999)

Biographical Sketch

The author, J. Gutberlet, was born in Langen, Germany, where she received her primary education before moving with her family to Brazil. She completed her secondary education in São Paulo and obtained a Bachelor's degree in Biological Sciences at the Universidade Estadual de São Paulo in Rio Claro (UNESP). After a one year research fellowship at the Department of Geography at the Universidade de São Paulo (USP) studying the evolution and impacts of changes in land-use in the periphery of São Paulo, she went back to Germany to study Geography at the Eberhard-Karls-Universität in Tübingen, receiving her Dr. rer. nat. in 1990. Her dissertation is cross-disciplinary and focuses on social and environmental impacts of industrial production in Cubatão, Brazil. Within this research endeavor she conducted a moss-bag monitoring to evaluate the space/time distribution of heavy metal air pollutants in the study area. The work was first published in German and has also been translated to Portuguese and published by the Universidade de São Paulo (EDUSP). Since receiving her PhD she has held positions with the World Food Program in Rome (working with disaster relief in Ethiopia and Djibouti), with the Food and Agriculture Organization in Quito, Ecuador (working on agroforestry and environmental education), and as project manager of a German Brazilian research project in Mato Grosso, Brazil (focusing on the social and economic structure and environmental impacts). Her publications include books and journal articles on a wide range of topics in the Brazilian context, such as social and

environmental impacts from industrial production and ISO14000, social exclusion and sustainability questions in rural and urban communities, participant and action research on quality of life in the urban periphery, Agenda 21, and, recently, the evaluation of the social and economic conditions in coastal fishing communities. She has been a research associate of the *Centro de Estudos de Cultura Contemporânea* in São Paulo, and has taught Geography and Environmental Science at the University of Newcastle, Australia from 1996 to 2000. Since 2001 she has been Assistant Professor at the University of Victoria, in Canada. Her current research interests are in the areas of Development and Social/Urban Geography, studying social and economic impacts of restructuring, appropriate resource management, public policies and sustainability.