NONLINEAR OUTPUT REGULATION

Alberto Isidori
Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica, Università di Roma “La Sapienza” and Department of Systems Science and Mathematics, Washington University in St. Louis, Italy.

Claudio De Persis
Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica, Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, Italy.

Keywords: Stability in the first approximation, Steady-state response, Output regulation, Invariant manifold, System immersion.

Contents
1. The problem of output regulation
2. Output regulation in the case of full information
3. Output regulation in the case of error feedback
4. Structurally stable regulation
Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketches

Summary
The problem of nonlinear output regulation is examined. It is shown that the solvability of the problem amounts to the solvability of a set of partial differential equations. The notion of immersion and the internal model principle are presented.

1. The Problem of Output Regulation

One of the most relevant problems in control theory is to force via feedback a system to achieve a prescribed steady-state response under the action of every external command in a given family. In this typology fit problems such as that of obtaining a controlled output \( y(t) \) to asymptotically track a reference function of time \( y_{\text{ref}}(t) \) belonging to an assigned class of signals as well as the problem of asymptotically rejecting the effect on the output \( y(t) \) of a disturbance \( w(t) \) ranging over a certain family of disturbances. In both cases the problem boils down to have the tracking error

\[
e(t) = y(t) - y_{\text{ref}}(t),
\]

that is the discrepancy between the controlled output and the reference signal, decay to zero as time goes by for every reference output and any disturbance in some given family of functions.

The problem in question can be formalized in the following way. Consider the dynamics of a process described by nonlinear equations
\[ \dot{x} = f(x, w, u), \]  

(1)

where \( x(t) \) is the state of the process ranging over a neighborhood \( U \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{R}^n \), \( u(t) \) is a control input taking on values in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) and \( w(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r \) is an exogenous vector-valued signal which includes references to be tracked and/or disturbances to be rejected. In addition to the process dynamics we consider the equation

\[ e = h(x, w) \]  

(2)

expressing the error variable \( e(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m \). Functions \( f(x, w, u) \) and \( h(x, w) \) are assumed to be smooth and to satisfy the conditions \( f(0, 0, 0) = 0 \) and \( h(0, 0) = 0 \).

The family of exogenous signals \( w(t) \) that act on the process, is obtained by considering all the solutions of a (possibly nonlinear) homogeneous differential equation

\[ \dot{w} = s(w) \]  

(3)

as the initial condition \( w(0) \) ranges over a neighborhood \( W \) of the origin in \( \mathbb{R}^r \). This system, which is interpreted as a generator of all possible exogenous inputs, is called an exosystem.

It is assumed that function \( s(w) \) is smooth and such that \( s(0) = 0 \), and hence, recalling an analogous hypothesis introduced earlier on the functions defining the plant and the error equation, the combined system (1), (3) with \( u = 0 \) has an equilibrium state \( (x, w) = (0, 0) \), at which the error is zero.

The property of output regulation is the property of the controlled system for which the tracking error \( e(t) \) converges to zero as \( t \) goes to infinity despite of the action of exogenous signals. This property is particularly meaningful, when the exogenous signals are “persistent” in time, that is, no exogenous signal decays to zero as time tends to infinity. This happens, for instance, for any periodic (and bounded) function of time. In these cases, in fact, the system may exhibit a “steady-state response” that is itself a persistent function of time, and whose characteristics depend entirely on the specific input imposed on the system and not on the initial conditions of the system. To ensure that the exogenous inputs generated by the exosystem (3) are bounded, it is enough to assume that the point \( w = 0 \) is a Lyapunov stable equilibrium of \( s(w) \) and to choose the initial condition \( w(0) \) sufficiently close to the origin, namely in some suitable neighborhood \( W^0 \subseteq W \) of the origin. In order to guarantee the “persistency property” of the exogenous signals, it is useful to assume that every point \( w \) in \( W^0 \) is Poisson stable. Indeed, by definition, this is equivalent to say that every exogenous signal which originates from \( w \), i.e. every exogenous signal \( w(t) \) which is a solution of \( \dot{w} = s(w) \) and satisfies the initial condition \( w(0) = w \), passes arbitrarily close to \( w \) for arbitrarily
large values of time (in both forward and backward directions), and hence cannot decay to zero as time goes to infinity.

The two properties that the map $s(w)$ admits a Lyapunov stable equilibrium point at $w = 0$ and that there exists a neighborhood of Poisson stable points around $w = 0$ will be together referred as to the neutral stability property.

**Remark.** A necessary condition for the neutral stability property to hold is that the matrix defining the linear approximation of $s(w)$ at $w = 0$, i.e. matrix

$$ S = \left( \frac{\partial s}{\partial w} \right)_{w=0} $$

has all its eigenvalues on the imaginary axis.

The control action to system (1) is to be provided by a feedback controller, which processes the information originated from the process to generate the appropriate control action. The structure of the feedback controller depends on the information pattern available for feedback. There are two possible situations. The most favorable one from the viewpoint of feedback design occurs when all the components of the state variable $x$ and of the exogenous input $w$ are available for measurements. In this case, the controller is said to have access to full information, and it can be constructed as a memoryless feedback, whose output $u$ is a function of the states $x$ and $w$ of the process and, respectively, of the exosystem, that is

$$ u = \alpha(x, w) \quad (4) $$

with $\alpha(x, w)$ smooth and satisfying $\alpha(0,0) = 0$.

A more realistic, and rather common, situation is the one in which the set of measured variables includes only the components of the tracking error $e$. If this is the case, the controller is said to have access to error feedback, and it is convenient to synthesize the control signal by means of a dynamic nonlinear system of the form

$$ \dot{\xi} = \eta(\xi, e) $$

$$ u = \theta(\xi), \quad (5) $$

where $\xi$ is the internal state defined in a neighborhood $\Xi$ of the origin of $\mathbb{R}^v$, $v$ is an appropriate positive integer, and $\eta(\xi, e)$, $\theta(\xi)$ are smooth functions which are zero at $(\xi, e) = (0,0)$ and $\xi = 0$, respectively.

In the case of full information, the interconnection of system (1), (3) with controller (4) yields a closed-loop system described by the equations
\[
\dot{x} = f(x, w, \alpha(x, w)) \\
\dot{w} = s(w),
\]
for which the point \((x, w) = (0, 0)\) is an equilibrium, whereas in the case of error feedback, the interconnection of (1), (2), (3) with (5) yields the closed-loop system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x, w, \theta(\xi)) \\
\dot{\xi} &= \eta(\xi, h(x, w)) \\
\dot{w} &= s(w),
\end{align*}
\]

for which the point \((x, w, \xi) = (0, 0, 0)\) is an equilibrium.

If the exosystem is neutrally stable and system

\[
\dot{x} = f(x, 0, \alpha(x, 0))
\]

is asymptotically stable in the first approximation, then the response of the closed-loop system (6) converges – from any initial condition \((x(0), w(0))\) in a suitable neighborhood of the origin \((0, 0)\) – to a well-defined steady-state response as time goes to infinity, and this steady-state response depends only on \(w(0)\) and not on \(x(0)\). If, additionally, the steady-state response is such that the corresponding error tracking is identically zero, then the closed-loop system has the desired property of output regulation. This motivates the following definition.

**Full Information Output Regulation Problem** Given a process described by nonlinear equations of the form (1) and a neutrally stable exosystem of the form (3), find, if possible, a mapping \(\alpha(x, w)\) for which:

1. (FIS) The equilibrium \(x = 0\) of (8) is asymptotically stable in the first approximation.
2. (FIR) There exists a neighborhood \(V \subset U \times W\) of \((x, w) = (0, 0)\) such that, for each initial condition \((x(0), w(0))\) in \(V\), the solution of (6) satisfies

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} h(x(t), w(t)) = 0.
\]

Analogously, if the exosystem is neutrally stable and system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= f(x, 0, \theta(\xi)) \\
\dot{\xi} &= \eta(\xi, h(x, 0))
\end{align*}
\]

is asymptotically stable in the first approximation, then the response of the closed-loop system (7) converges – from any initial condition \((x(0), \xi(0), w(0))\) in a suitable neighborhood of the origin \((0, 0, 0)\) – to a well-defined steady-state response as time
goes to infinity, and this steady-state response depends only on $w(0)$ and not on $(x(0), \xi(0))$. If, additionally, the steady-state response is such that the corresponding error tracking is identically zero, then the closed-loop system has the desired property of output regulation.

**Error Feedback Output Regulation Problem**

Given a process described by nonlinear equations of the form (1) and a neutrally stable exosystem of the form (3), find, if possible, an integer $\nu$ and two mappings $\eta(\xi, e)$, $\theta(\xi)$ for which:

1. **(S$_{EF}$)** The equilibrium $(x, \xi) = (0, 0)$ of (9) is asymptotically stable in the first approximation.
2. **(R$_{EF}$)** There exists a neighborhood $V \subset U \times \Xi \times W$ of $(x, \xi, w) = (0, 0, 0)$ such that, for each initial condition $(x(0), \xi(0), w(0))$ in $V$, the solution of (7) satisfies

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} h(x(t), w(t)) = 0.$$ 

The requirements of asymptotic stability in the first approximation listed in the formulation of the two output regulation problems clearly demand properties of *stabilizability* and *detectability* of the linear approximation at the origin of the closed-loop systems. In order to make this precise, one can rewrite the controlled system (6) as

$$\dot{x} = (A + BK)x + (P + BL)w + \phi(x, w),$$
$$\dot{w} = Sw + \psi(w),$$

having denoted with $\phi(x, w)$ and $\psi(w)$ higher order terms which vanish at the origin along with their first-order derivatives, and with $A, B, P, K, L, S$ the following matrices:

$$A = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right]_{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}^{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}, \quad B = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right]_{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}^{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}, \quad P = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}\right]_{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}^{(x, w, u) = (0, 0, 0)}$$
$$K = \left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}\right]_{(x, w) = (0, 0)}^{(x, w) = (0, 0)}, \quad L = \left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial w}\right]_{(x, w) = (0, 0)}^{(x, w) = (0, 0)}, \quad S = \left[\frac{\partial s}{\partial w}\right]_{(x, w) = (0, 0)}.$$

Equation (10) shows how requirement $(S_{FI})$ is fulfilled if and only of the Jacobian matrix at $x = 0$ of system (8), i.e. matrix

$$J_{FI} = A + BK,$$

has all its eigenvalues in the left-half plane.

On the other hand, the closed-loop system in the case of error feedback (7) can be rewritten as
\[ \dot{x} = Ax + BH\xi + Pw + \phi(x,\xi,w) \]
\[ \dot{\xi} = F\xi + GCx + GW + \chi(x,\xi,w) \]
\[ \dot{w} = Sw + \psi(w), \]

where \( \phi(x,\xi,w) \) and \( \psi(w) \) represent higher order terms which vanish at the origin along with their first-order derivatives, \( A, B, P \) are the matrices introduced above, while \( C, Q, F, H, G \) are matrices defined as follows:

\[ C = \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} \right)_{(x,w)=(0,0)} \quad Q = \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial w} \right)_{(x,w)=(0,0)} \]
\[ F = \left( \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \xi} \right)_{(\xi,e)=(0,0)} \quad G = \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \right)_{(\xi,e)=(0,0)} \quad H = \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \xi} \right)_{\xi=0}. \]

Even in this case it is immediate to realize that requirement \( (S_{EF}) \) is fulfilled if and only of the Jacobian matrix at \( (x,\xi) = (0,0) \) of system (9), i.e. matrix

\[ J_{EF} = \begin{bmatrix} A & BH \\ GC & F \end{bmatrix}, \]

has all its eigenvalues in the left-half plane.

From the theory of linear systems it is then easily concluded that requirement \( (S_{EF}) \) can be achieved only if the pair of matrices \( (A,B) \) is stabilizable (i.e. there exists a matrix \( K \) such that \( A + BK \) has all its eigenvalues in the left-half plane), while requirement \( (S_{EF}) \) can be achieved only if the pair of matrices \( (C,A) \) is detectable (i.e. there exists a matrix \( G \) such that \( A + GC \) has all its eigenvalues in the left-half plane). These properties of the linear approximation of the process (1), (3) at \( (x,u,w) = (0,0,0) \) are indeed necessary conditions for the solvability of the problem of output regulation.

---
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