

CONFIGURATIONAL FORCES

Gérard A. Maugin

Institut Jean Le Rond d'Alembert (UMR 7190 CNRS), Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

Keywords: Continuum mechanics, material forces, configurational forces, defects, inhomogeneity, elastic materials, plasticity, thermomechanics, fracture, growth, path-independent integrals, nonlinear waves, numerics

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Concepts of Piola stress and configurational stress
3. Configurational force
4. Pseudo-inhomogeneity and pseudo-plastic effects
 - 4.1. Materially Homogeneous Thermoelasticity
 - 4.2. Other Cases
5. Inhomogeneous pure elasticity: conservation laws
 - 5.1. A Sufficiently General Variational Formulation
 - 5.2. Definitions of Various Systems
 - 5.3. The Case of Hyperelasticity
 - 5.4. Dissipation: Elementary Approach
6. General continuum mechanics: canonical conservation laws
 - 6.1. Reminder
 - 6.2. Canonical Balance Laws of Momentum and Energy
 - 6.2.1. A Canonical Form of the Energy Conservation
 - 6.2.2. Canonical (material) momentum conservation
 - 6.2.3. Case
 - 6.2.4. Examples without body force
7. Configurational forces acting on field singularities
 - 7.1. Introductory Remark
 - 7.2. The Case of a Progressing Crack
 - 7.2.1. Outside singularity sets
 - 7.2.2. Case of Singular Fields.
 - 7.2.3. The case of a steadily progressing notch
 - 7.2.4. Case of smoothly materially inhomogeneous elastic materials
 - 7.3. The Case of a Dissipative Phase Transition Front (Singular Surface I)
 - 7.3.1. Jump relations:
 - 7.3.2. Problem
 - 7.3.3. Several remarks are in order
 - 7.4. The case of thermo-mechanical shock waves (singular surface II)
 - 7.5. Continuously Distributed Singularities
8. Configurational forces and numerics
 - 8.1. Computing Configurational Forces for their own sake
 - 8.2. Exploiting Configurational Forces as Numerics Criteria
9. Conclusion: the World of configurational force

Glossary
 Bibliography
 Biographical sketch

Summary

Configurational forces are those thermodynamic (co-vectorial) forces that are associated by duality with any local manifestation of a material inhomogeneity, whether this is a real material inhomogeneity (foreign inclusion, rapid but smooth or abrupt change of property) or a more or less localized defect (dislocation, disclination, phase-transition front, shock wave). They are calculated from the usual field solution by means of the so-called Eshelby material stress and their arena is the material manifold itself. They have, therefore, no Newtonian nature, and are often associated with a local structural rearrangement of matter. When inserted in a criterion of evolution, they allow for the future determination of the evolution of the material inhomogeneity or defect. Examples of such “forces” are the Peach-Koehler force acting on a dislocation in elasticity, the J -integral of fracture theory, and the driving force acting on an evolving phase-transition front. The present contribution presents the “thermomechanics” of this fruitful concept in the absence or presence of intrinsic dissipative effects while it itself often is the patent mark of a dissipation of topological origin. Configurational forces also find useful applications in the implementation of various numerical schemes.

1. Introduction

Continuum mechanics in its simplest form has been the paragon of field theory and developed in parallel with the mathematical field of partial differential equations since the inception of this concept by d’Alembert in his studies of wave motion in a string and his elements of hydrodynamics in the mid 1700s. Thereafter progress was relatively slow due to the mathematical difficulties in obtaining appropriate solutions to problems of complex geometries and finding the most appropriate functional classes to allow for the existence of the looked for solutions (see Chapter on “Mathematical issues” in this encyclopedia volume). Now often considered, with some scorn - or the least, condescension - as an « old » and almost closed science by some physicists, it is true that further progress at the conceptual level was also slow and perhaps not as spectacular as in other branches of “natural philosophy”. Had to be grasped and mathematically formulated the difficult notion of dissipation, whether in fluids in the form of viscosity, and then in solids in the form of plasticity and damage.

Until recently all these advances were made in the framework of three tenets of 19th century physics: linearity, isotropy, homogeneity. Of course there are exceptions to these such as the early introduction of finite strains in elasticity by Cauchy in the 1820s and the inherent nonlinearity of some problems of fluid mechanics. Anisotropy was conquered next due to the consideration of crystals. This even reached fluids in the form of liquid crystals (see a foregoing chapter in this volume). Considerations of material heterogeneities were to come last as we shall briefly see. Apart from mathematical advances with the introduction of new functional spaces (Sobolev spaces, distribution theory), the main advance that emerged after the rejuvenation (in fact a true “rebirth”) of the field by authors such as C.A.Truesdell (e.g., Truesdell and Toupin, 1960;

Truesdell and Noll, 1965), was the firm grounding of continuum mechanics in a thermo-mechanical framework, to the posthumous satisfaction of Pierre Duhem (see Maugin, 1999a). That very much helped to classify and logically arrange the field, however sometimes to a useless extreme “bourbakism”, as also to incorporate some multi-physical effects (e.g. electromagnetism, see Eringen and Maugin, 1990), and to prepare the way for enlarging the categories of modeling, including multiscale continuum mechanics and the introduction of scale effects (characteristic internal lengths, nonsymmetric Cauchy stress, micropolar and micromorphic continua; gradient theories). All these advances of the second half of the 20th century are more generalizations than new conceptual thinking.

It is only at this point that more attention was paid to material heterogeneities, whether in the case of composite materials or that of polycrystals, and the necessary accompanying notion of defects. This, in our opinion, is the last great conceptual advance in continuum mechanics, in particular due to the recognition of the conceptual unity of the sub-field of continuum mechanics related to the notion of **configurational force**, the subject matter of this chapter. Indeed, the first example of such “forces” is the Peach-Koehler (1951) force that drives a dislocation line, while the second is the force on a material elastic inhomogeneity (e.g., inclusion) and a field singularity in the pioneering work of J.D.Eshelby (1951), whom we consider the “founding father” of our field. The remarkable feature of these developments in a half century, but accelerated in the years 1990s-2000s, has been the new interrelation of continuum mechanics with recent fields of mathematical physics, in particular in so far as invariances are concerned. This is shown in the forthcoming sections.

The basic thinking here is a typical reflex of a good “mechanician”. Whatever apparently moves or progresses in the matter in an observable manner is thought as being acted by a “force” dual to the observed displacement of that “object”. But this is not a force of the Newtonian type, for the object can be a material defect of mathematically vanishing support, a dislocation line, a mathematical surface of discontinuity (e.g., a phase-transition front, a shock wave), a material inclusion, a hole, a field singularity such as a crack tip, a strongly localized mathematical field solution (e.g., structured shock waves, solitons), etc. In the framework of continuum mechanics all these take place on the material manifold M^3 , i.e., the set of material points constituting the body in a more or less smooth manner. This is directly related to the notion of material heterogeneity since that property describes the dependency of the material properties on the material point (not the point occupied in physical space), hence on the local configuration. The problem with such “configurational” forces is that they are not directly accessible, but what is shown in their theory, is that they may be computed once more classical entities are obtained, and then further progress of their point of application can be envisaged depending on the implementation of a criterion of progress. One easily imagines the practical, engineering, interest for such a procedure in problems of fracture (progress of a crack tip) or phase transformations because of its predictive nature.

The exposition that follows is a rational ordered reconstruction of the field rather than a linear history of it. First is recalled the important notion of Piola transformation, and

then follows that of quasi-static configurational (Eshelby) stress (Section 2). Configurational forces are introduced in Section 3 along with material and so-called inhomogeneity forces. Effects analogous to material inhomogeneity and plasticity in so far as configurational forces are concerned are considered in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to the paradigmatic case of inhomogeneous pure elasticity (hyperelasticity). This gives an opportunity to use a variational formulation, apply Noether's theorem, and introduce the notion of canonical conservation laws, next to that of the basic balance laws. The dynamical material Eshelby stress and material momentum come up in this nondissipative approach. Section 6 presents the setting for balance and canonical balance laws in the general case when dissipation is present and is subjected to the second law of thermodynamics. Section 7 deals with configurational forces acting on field singularities. This shows the intimate relationship of the subject matter with the theory of fracture and that of the propagation of singular surfaces. Section 8 deals in some discursive manner with the interaction between configurational forces and numerical schemes of various types. Finally, Section 9 gives a far from complete overview of the field. This is complemented by a bibliography, too short to render justice to all contributors and the wealth of recent publications.

2. Concepts of Piola Stress and Configurational Stress

The classical transformation between Cauchy's stress $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and the *first Piola stress* \mathbf{T} is given by

$$\mathbf{T} = J_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = J_{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{T} \quad , \quad (2.1)$$

where \mathbf{F} is the deformation gradient between the reference configuration $K_{\mathbf{R}}$ (local coordinates \mathbf{X}) and the actual configuration at time t , K_t (local coordinates = placement \mathbf{x}), and $J_{\mathbf{F}} = \det \mathbf{F}$. As a matter of fact, the transformation (2.1) that goes back to Piola (1836, 1848) accounts for the basic fact that the stress is a quantity defined per unit surface, so that (2.1) in fact relates to the form invariance of the applied stress vector in the actual configuration, a vector field, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{T} dS = \mathbf{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} ds \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\mathbf{N} dS = J_{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{F} ds \quad , \quad \mathbf{n} ds = J_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{F}^{-1} dS \quad (2.3)$$

where \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{N} are unit normals in correspondence between K_t and $K_{\mathbf{R}}$. That is why (2.1) is essentially a specific **vectorial** transformation and not a second-order tensorial one. Only the *second Piola stress* defined by

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{F}^{-\text{T}} = J_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{F}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{F}^{-\text{T}} \quad , \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma} = J_{\mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F}^{\text{T}} \quad , \quad (2.4)$$

is a nice material stress tensor also referred to as the *energy stress* (see below).

All above equations refer to one reference configuration only K (no subscript R to simplify the notation) and this is sufficient in most continuum mechanics. Accordingly, that reference configuration is chosen as the most convenient one for computations depending on the geometry of the deformable body under study. With the consideration of the physics of the problem this may also be chosen as a stable solution providing a minimum of energy (cf. Lardner, 1974)). We should have been more careful in noting \mathbf{F}_K , \mathbf{T}_K and \mathbf{S}_K the various objects where the relation to the selected reference configuration K is understood. The question naturally arises of a *possible change of reference configuration*, e.g., between configurations K and K' . Let $P_{KK'}$ the transformation between K and K' at a material point \mathbf{X} . Given the tensorial nature of \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{T} - these are in fact two-point tensor fields, i.e., geometric objects having their two feet on different manifolds -, we have the following transformations

$$\mathbf{F}_{K'} = \mathbf{F}_K P_{KK'}, \quad \mathbf{F}_K = \mathbf{F}_{K'} P_{K'K}, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{K'} = J_{K'K}^{-1} P_{K'K} \mathbf{T}_K, \quad \mathbf{T}_K = J_{KK'}^{-1} P_{KK'} \mathbf{T}_{K'}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$P_{KK'} P_{K'K} = \mathbf{I}, \quad P_{K'K} P_{KK'} = \mathbf{I}, \quad (2.7)$$

\mathbf{I} being the identity transformation. Of course (2.6) are *Piola transformations*.

Now consider the case of energy-based elasticity for which there exists a potential energy per unit volume of the considered reference configuration, e.g., $W_K(\mathbf{F}_K)$, such that

$$\mathbf{T}_K = \partial W_K / \partial \mathbf{F}_K. \quad (2.8)$$

Accordingly, for another reference configuration K' we would have

$$\mathbf{T}_{K'} = \partial W_{K'} / \partial \mathbf{F}_{K'}. \quad (2.8)'$$

Since W is per unit volume, we have

$$W_{K'} = J_{K'K}^{-1} W_K, \quad W_K = J_{KK'}^{-1} W_{K'}. \quad (2.9)$$

By direct computation of (2.8)' and use of (2.5) and (2.9), we check that (2.6) hold identically.

Now let us do something more original by computing the quantity

$$\mathbf{b}_{KK'} = \frac{\partial W_K}{\partial P_{KK'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{KK'}} \left(J_{KK'}^{-1} W_{K'}(\mathbf{F}_K P_{KK'}) \right). \quad (2.10)$$

The result is

$$\mathbf{b}_{KK'} = -J_{KK'}^{-1} (P_{K'K} W_{K'} + \mathbf{T}_{K'} \cdot \mathbf{F}_K). \quad (2.11)$$

We call **configurational stress** the geometric object defined in the K configuration by

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_K := -\mathbf{b}_{KK'} P_{KK'}, \quad (2.12)$$

i.e., as shown by a simple calculation

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_K = -\frac{\partial W_K}{\partial P_{KK'}} P_{KK'} = W_K \mathbf{I}_K - \mathbf{T}_K \cdot \mathbf{F}_K. \quad (2.13)$$

This will also be called the **quasi-static Eshelby material stress**.

Let \mathbf{P} the two-point tensor field representing the transformation $P_{K'K}$. Accordingly, (2.5) and (2.13) read (\mathbf{T} = transpose)

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{P} \quad , \quad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{P}^T = W \mathbf{1}_R - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $\mathbf{1}_R$ is the identity in $K_R = K$, and

$$\bar{W} = J_P^{-1} W(\bar{\mathbf{F}}) = \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{P}). \quad (2.15)$$

This follows Epstein and Maugin (1989,1990) so that

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{\partial \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{P})}{\partial \mathbf{F}} = \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{F})}{\partial \mathbf{F}}, \quad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{P})}{\partial \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{P}^T = W \mathbf{1}_R - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}. \quad (2.16)$$

We can also note, on account of the reciprocal of (2.4)₁ that

$$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{C} =: \mathbf{M}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F}$ is the Cauchy-Green finite-strain on the configuration K_R , and \mathbf{M} is the so-called **Mandel stress tensor** in K_R (cf. Lubliner, 1990; Maugin, 1992).

Therefore, configurational stresses and Mandel stresses are intimately related since they differ only by the presence of an energy isotropic term, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{b} = W \mathbf{1}_R - \mathbf{M} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{M} = W \mathbf{1}_R. \quad (2.18)$$

This difference reduces to a pure change of sign for an isochoric deformation associated with \mathbf{b} or \mathbf{M} .

If the Cauchy stress is symmetric (as happens in many cases), then we let the reader check with the help of (2.1) and (2.14) that this results in the symmetry of \mathbf{b} with respect to \mathbf{C} , considered as the deformed metric on the material manifold M^3 , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{Cb} = (\mathbf{Cb})^T = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{C}, \quad (2.19)$$

as first noticed by Epstein and Maugin (1989). If, furthermore, the material considered is *isotropic*, then classical symmetry (i.e., with respect to a neutral unit covariant metric) applies because \mathbf{S} becomes a function of the basic invariants of \mathbf{C} .

3. Configurational Force

If K_R is a global reference configuration over the material body B , and $P_{K \cdot K}$ is smooth and integrable over the material manifold, then \mathbf{P} will be a gradient of a deformation in a classical sense, so that (2.6) is not distinguishable from a standard Piola transformation. The situation may be altogether different in the case when the body is not materially homogeneous. Indeed, the case when \mathbf{T} is function of \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{F} only, where \mathbf{F} is true gradient, represents the essence of **pure homogeneous elasticity** - a paradigmatic case as we shall see herein after - with

$$\mathbf{T} = \bar{\mathbf{T}}(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\partial W(\mathbf{F})}{\partial \mathbf{F}}. \quad (3.1)$$

As soon as W becomes an explicit function of additional arguments, we are no longer in this ideal framework. This happens whether the additional argument is another field variable such as temperature in thermoelasticity, or electric polarization or magnetization in electro-magneto-elasticity (cf. Maugin, 1988), or else any variables such as so-called internal variables of state supposed to account for the hidden complexity of microscopic processes which have a macroscopic manifestation in the form of thermodynamic irreversibility (i.e., dissipation; cf. Maugin, 1999a). These cases will be examined later on. Another frequent possibility is that the energy W depends explicitly on the material particle \mathbf{X} , in which case $W = \bar{W}(\mathbf{F}; \mathbf{X})$ and the elastic material is said to be *materially inhomogeneous*. We call **material force of inhomogeneity** the material co-vector defined by

$$\mathbf{f}^{\text{inh}} := - \left. \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right|_{\text{expl}}, \quad (3.2)$$

if \bar{W} is a sufficiently smooth function of \mathbf{X} , and where the subscript *expl* means that the material gradient is taken at fixed field (here \mathbf{F}). In composite materials where inhomogeneities manifest abruptly by jumps in material properties, (3.2) must be replaced by a distributional (generalized functions) definition. The *force* \mathbf{f}^{inh} belongs in the world of **material forces** (cf. Maugin, 1992, 1995) since it is a co-vector on the

material manifold. It is a directional indicator of the changes of elastic properties as it is oriented opposite to the direct explicit gradient of W .

Now we can exploit the thought experiment of Epstein and Maugin (1990a,b). To that purpose, imagine that at each material point \mathbf{X} we can give to the material deformation energy the *appearance* of that of a pure homogeneous elastic body (dependence on one deformation only and nothing else) by applying the appropriate local (at \mathbf{X}) change of reference configuration. We consider this along with the concomitant change of volume (compare to (2.9))

$$W = \bar{W}(\mathbf{F}; \mathbf{X}) = J_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1} W(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{X})) = \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}). \quad (3.3)$$

Performing the same operation as in (2.16), we clearly have

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{\partial \bar{W}(\mathbf{F}; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{F}}, \quad \mathbf{b} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K})}{\partial \mathbf{K}} \mathbf{K}^T = W \mathbf{1}_R - \mathbf{T}\mathbf{F}. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus there exists a relationship between the notion of material inhomogeneity and that of configurational (or Eshelby) stress. This is made more visible by applying the definition (3.2):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}^{\text{inh}} &= -\left. \frac{\partial \bar{W}(\mathbf{F}; \mathbf{X})}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right|_{\text{expl}} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{W}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K})}{\partial \mathbf{K}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \\ &= \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-T} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{K}}{\partial \mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \left(\mathbf{K}^{-T} \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{K})^T \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

On the other hand, if we compute the material divergence of \mathbf{b} in the case of quasi-statics in the absence of body force, for which the equilibrium at \mathbf{X} is simply given by $\text{div}_R \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div}_R \mathbf{b} &= \nabla_R W - (\text{div}_R \mathbf{T}) \cdot \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{T} \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{F})^T \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{F}} - \mathbf{T} \right) \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{F})^T + \left. \frac{\partial W}{\partial \mathbf{X}} \right|_{\text{expl}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

or, on account of (3.4)₁ and (3.5),

$$\text{div}_R \mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{f}^{\text{inh}}. \quad (3.7)$$

Here the material force of inhomogeneity is deduced from (or balanced by) the material divergence of the configurational stress. It is justified to call **configurational forces** these forces that are deduced through an operation acting on the configurational stress, whether by differentiation or integration (e.g., over a material surface, along a material

contour in 2D). If we combine the results of (3.5) and (3.7), we also obtain an equation for \mathbf{b} which involves the local transformation \mathbf{K} in a source term, that is,

$$\operatorname{div}_R \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \Gamma = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3.8)$$

where we have defined a *material connection* $\Gamma(\mathbf{K})$ by

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{K}) = (\nabla_R \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-1} = -\mathbf{K} \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{K}^{-1})^T. \quad (3.9)$$

The result (3.8) is due to Epstein and Maugin (1990a,b). If \mathbf{K} is the same for all points \mathbf{X} , then $\nabla_R \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}$, and (3.8) reduces to the strict conservation law

$$\operatorname{div}_R \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3.10)$$

in the case (we remind the reader) of the absence of body force and neglect of inertia (quasi-statics). Otherwise, the above-reported intellectual construct means that the operation carried out brings the neighborhood of each material point \mathbf{X} into a prototypical situation of the pure elastic type which allows one to compare the response of different points. Since this is point-like, the operation will not result in an overall smooth manifold, but in a collection of non-fitting neighborhoods or infinitesimal chunks of materials, and \mathbf{K} will not, accordingly, be itself a gradient. It may at most be a Pfaffian form. Of course, if \mathbf{K} is not integrable, so is the case of $\bar{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{K}$. With Eqs.(3.8)-(3.9) we enter the geometrization of continuum mechanics that we shall not pursue here although this was started in the mid 1950s by scientists such as Kondo, Kröner, Noll, Wang, etc (cf. Maugin, 1993, 2003a).

Remark: All material forces are not translated into useful configurational forces. First there are material forces that are but the convection back to the material manifold of usual physical forces, such as mass body force \mathbf{f}_0 of Newtonian or Lorentzian origin which may also be represented by material forces of the type

$$\mathbf{f}^{\text{ext}} = -\rho_0 \mathbf{f}_0 \cdot \mathbf{F}. \quad (3.11)$$

Here we cannot help but \mathbf{f}_0 is always a function of the actual placement \mathbf{x} in physical space. True material forces are those material forces that the full material formulation (projection onto the material manifold) makes apparent while they did not manifest themselves in physical space This is the case of the inhomogeneity force (3.2) as also of some material forces due to the nonuniformity of some physical fields on the material manifold (e.g., temperature; see below). The case of inertial forces not treated for the moment is more subtle because if one can define a material (co-vectorial) momentum \mathbf{P} by

$$\mathbf{P} = -\rho_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{F} = \rho_0 \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{V}, \quad \mathbf{V} = -\mathbf{F}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad (3.12)$$

the inertial force in the physical frame work does not translate directly in an analogous inertial force on the material manifold. As a matter of fact, the material inertial force is naturally defined as

$$\mathbf{f}^{\text{inertia}} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_0 \mathbf{v}) \right) \cdot \mathbf{F} - \rho_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{v})^T, \quad (3.13)$$

as is easily checked. With ρ_0 depending on \mathbf{X} (case of material inertial inhomogeneities), the last term in (3.13) will contribute to both the dynamical configurational stress and the dynamical force of inhomogeneity since

$$\rho_0 \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla_R \mathbf{v})^T = \text{div}_R \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_0 \mathbf{v}^2 \right) \mathbf{1}_R \right) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 \right) (\nabla_R \rho_0). \quad (3.14).$$

TO ACCESS ALL THE 46 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Note: an extended bibliography on material and configurational forces of more than 30 pages can be obtained directly from the author

Abeyaratne R. and Knowles J.K. (1990), Driving Traction Acting on a Surface of Strain Discontinuity in a Continuum, *J.Mech.Phys.Solids*, 38, 345-360 [This original paper establishes the expression of the driving traction in terms of the Eshelby stress].

Abeyaratne R. and Knowles J.K., (1991), Kinetic Relations and the Propagation of Phase Boundaries in Elastic Solids, *Arch.Rat.Mech.Anal.*, 114, 119-154 [This paper examines the problem of the formulation of a kinetic law for phase boundaries].

Abeyaratne R. and Knowles J.K., (1994), Dynamics of Propagating Phase Boundaries: Adiabatic Theory for Thermoelastic Solids, *Physica*, D79, 269-288 [This paper introduces the dynamics of phase boundaries in the conditions of adiabaticity].

Abeyaratne R. and Knowles J.K., (2000), A Note on the Driving Traction Acting on a Propagating Interface: Adiabatic and Non-adiabatic Processes in a Continuum, *ASME.Trans.J.Appl.Mech.*, 67, 829-831 [This paper allows for the distinction between adiabatic and non-adiabatic conditions at a phase boundary].

Abeyaratne R. and Knowles J.K., (2001), *Evolution of Phase Transitions*, Cambridge University Press, U.K [A treatise based on the original works of the authors; see above].

Andryanana A. (2006), Définition d'une nouvelle grandeur prédictive pour la durée de vie en fatigue des matériaux élastomères, *Ph.D. Thesis in Mechanics*, Ecole Centrale de Nantes/ Université de Nantes, Nov.07, 2006 [This work exploits the notion of material Eshelby stress in the study of fatigue of elastomers].

Balassas K.G., Kalpakides V.K. and Stavroulakis G.E., (2004), On the use of material forces in the finite element method, in: *Configurational mechanics*, Eds. Kalpakides V.K and Maugin G.A., pp.157-166, Balkema, Leiden [This works explain on simple examples how material forces are to be minimized in order to obtain a faithful FEM scheme].

Berezovski A. Engelbrecht J. and Maugin G.A., (2008), *Numerical simulation of waves and fronts in inhomogeneous solids*, Singapore: World Scientific, [This book presents the method of finite-volume elements in a thermodynamically admissible framework that allows for the consideration of propagation in inhomogeneous materials including those presenting phase-transitions fronts governed by Eshelbian mechanics].

Berezovski A. and Maugin G.A., (2002), Thermoelastic Wave and Front Propagation, *J.Thermal Stresses*, 25, 719-743 [This paper presents the basis of the original method expanded on the previously listed reference].

Berezovski A. and Maugin G.A., (2004), On the Thermodynamic Conditions at Moving Phase-transition Fronts in Thermoelastic Solids, *J.Non-Equilibr.Thermodynam.*, 29, 37-51 [This work establishes conditions to be satisfied across a moving phase-transition front in thermoelasticity].

Berezovski A. and Maugin G.A., (2005), *On the velocity of a moving phase boundary in solids*, Acta Mechanica, 179, 187-196 [This work establishes the expression of the evolving velocity of progress of a phase-transition front, i.e., a true kinetic law].

Braun M., (1997), Configurational forces induced by finite-element discretization, *Proc. Est. Acad.Sci., Math.Phys.*, 46, 24-31 [This is the work that first remarked on the possibility to exploit the notion of spurious material forces to improve FEM computations].

Bui H.D. (1978),: *Mécanique de la Rupture Fragile*, Paris: Masson Editeurs, [One of the first books dealing with the mathematics of fracture].

Cherepanov G.P., (1987), Configurational forces in the mechanics of a solid deformable body, *P.M.M.*, 49, 456-464 [This paper introduces the notion of configurational forces with the help of various examples].

Christov C.I., Maugin G.A., and Porubov A.V., (2007), On Boussinesq Paradigm in nonlinear wave propagation, *C.R.Mécanique (special Boussinesq issue)*, 335, 9/10, 521-535 [This paper exploits the notion of quasi-particles and Eshelby stress in the framework of a class of typical nonlinear dispersive wave-propagation equations in elastic crystals].

Dascalu C. and Maugin G.A., (1993), Forces matérielles et taux de restitution de l'énergie dans les corps élastiques homogènes avec défauts, *C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris*, II-317, 1135-1140 [This work introduced the so-called "analytical theory of fracture" in elasticity].

Dascalu C. and Maugin G.A., (1994a), Energy-release Rates and Path-independent Integrals in Electroelastic Crack Propagation, *Int.J.Engng.Sci.*, 32, 755-765 [This works presents the notions of energy-release rate and J-integral in various formulations of electroelasticity in finite strains].

Dascalu C. and Maugin G.A., (1994b), The Energy of Elastic Defects: A Distributional Approach, *Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.*, A445, 23-37 [This work exploits the notion of distributions (generalized functions) to represent configurational forces].

Dascalu C., Maugin G.A. and Stolz C., (2008, Editors), *Defect and Material Mechanics*, (Proceedings ISDMM, Aussois, France, 2007), Dordrecht: Springer, [Proceedings of a conference dealing with recent advances and the state of the Art in the field as in 2007].

Epstein M. and Maugin G.A., (1990a), Sur le tenseur d'Eshelby en élasticité non linéaire, *C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris*, II-310, 675-8 [Initial note establishing the duality between the quasi-static material Eshelby stress and the notion of local rearrangement of matter].

Epstein M. and Maugin G.A., (1990b), The energy-momentum tensor and material uniformity In finite elasticity, *Acta Mechanica*, 83, 127-133 [more or less the same as the previously listed paper with additional remarks and identities].

Epstein M. and Maugin G.A., (1995), Thermoelastic material forces: definition and geometric aspects, *C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris*, II-320, 63-68 [This paper introduced the notion of thermal material force in elastic conductors of heat].

Epstein M. and Maugin G.A., (1997), Notions of material uniformity and inhomogeneity, in: *Theoretical and Applied Mechanics* (ICTAM, Kyoto, 1996), eds. T.Tatsumi, pp.201-215, Amsterdam: Elsevier, [A geometrical view of the theory of material uniformity and Inhomogeneity].

Epstein M. and Maugin G.A., (2000), Thermomechanics of volumetric growth in uniform bodies, *Int.J.Plasticity*, 16, 951-978 [This work presents an original approach to volumetric growth of biological tissues involving the notions of Eshelby stress and local rearrangement of matter].

Eringen A.C., (1980), *Mechanics of Continua*, 2nd Revised and augmented edition, Melbourne (Florida):Krieger [This is the revised version of a standard textbook on continuum mechanics from the 1960s].

Eringen A.C. and Maugin G.A., (1990), *Electrodynamics of Continua*, Two volumes, New York: Springer-Verlag, [A lengthy treatise on the electrodynamics of continua of solid and fluid types with applications to many models].

Eshelby J.D., (1951), Force on an Elastic Singularity, *Phil.Tran.Roy.Soc.Lond.*, A244, 87-112 [The history making paper by Eshelby deriving the expression of the driving force on a localized material inhomogeneity].

Fomethé A. and Maugin G.A., (1996), Material Forces in Thermoelastic Ferromagnets, *Cont.Mech. & Thermodynamics*, 8, 275-292 [This work introduces the notion of peculiar material force in deformable hard ferromagnets with magnetic spin].

Germain P., (1972), Shock Waves, Jump Relations and Structures, in: *Advances in Applied Mechanics*, ed. C.S.Yih, pp.131-194, New York: Academic Press [This review contribution devoted to the structure of shock waves in fluids makes use of the notion of generator function].

Godunov S.K., and Romenskii E.I., (1998), *Elements of Continuum Mechanics and Conservation Laws*, Novosibirsk: Nauchnaya Kniga, (in Russian) [English translation: Elements of continuum mechanics and conservation laws, Kluwer, Amsterdam, 2003][This text book is an introduction to continuum mechanics and it discusses the hyperbolicity of systems in thermodynamical terms].

Grinfeld M.A., (1991), *Thermodynamic Methods in the Theory of Heterogeneous Systems*, ISIMM Series, Harlow, Essex: Longman [This work presents a thermodynamical approach to systems exhibiting some material inhomogeneities, including the case of mixtures and problems of phase transformation].

Gurtin M.E., (1979), Energy-release Rate in Quasi-static Crack Propagation, *J.of Elasticity*, 9, 187-195 [A classic paper on the stationary propagation of cracks].

Gurtin M.E., (1999), *Configurational Forces as Basic Concepts of Continuum Physics*, New York: Springer-Verlag [An original approach to configurational forces based on the postulate of an a priori independent balance of configurational forces].

Ireman P. and Nguyen Quoc Son (2004), Using the gradients of the temperature and internal parameters in continuum thermodynamics, *C.R.Mécanique (Acad.Sci.Paris)*, 333, 249-255 [A note on the thermodynamical admissibility of some gradient models].

Kienzler R. and Herrmann G., (2000), *Mechanics in material space*, Berlin: Springer Verlag [This book presents the application of material forces to the solution of problems in the strength of materials of structures].

Kienzler R. and Maugin G.A., (Eds, 2002), *Configurational mechanics of materials* (Udine CISM Lectures 2001), Wien: Springer-Verlag [Text of lectures delivered in 2001 and giving the state of the Art in this field as per that year].

Kijowski J. and Magli G., (1998), Unconstrained Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity with Thermo-elastic Sources, *Classical Quantum Grav.*, 15, 3891-3916 [This work gives relativistic expressions that clearly generalize in a four-dimensional formalism some of the notions of the theory of material forces].

Kivshar Yu.S. and Malomed B.A., (1989), Dynamics of solitons in nearly integrable systems, *Rev.Modern Phys.*, 61, 763-915 [This work thoroughly analyzes the role of canonical balance laws in the dynamics of solitonic systems and their perturbations].

Lardner R.W., (1974), *Mathematical theory of dislocations and fracture*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto [This book presents the mathematical theory of dislocations and fracture in finite and small strains].

Lazar M., (2007), On conservation and balance laws in micromorphic elastodynamics, *J.Elasticity*, 88, 63-78 [This work establishes the fundamental physical and material balance laws for the linear theory of micromorphic solids].

Lazar M. and Anastassiadis C., (2007), Lie point symmetries, conservation and balance laws in linear gradient elasticity, *J.Elasticity*, 88, 5-25 [This work examines the conservation and balance laws in gradient elasticity from the view point of Lie groups].

Lazar M and Maugin G.A., (2007), On microcontinuum field theories: the Eshelby stress tensor and incompatibility conditions, *Philosophical Magazine*, 87, 3853-3870 [This work examines the various microcontinuum theories from the point of view of material balance laws and the generalization of the incompatibility theory of Kröner].

Le Kh.Chau, (1999), Thermodynamically Based Constitutive Equations for Single Crystals, in: *Geometry, Continua and Microstructure*, pp. 87-97, Ed. G.A.Maugin, Collection Mathématique "Travaux en cours", Paris: Hermann Editeurs [This work relates the notion of Eshelby stress to that of resolved shear stress in single finitely deformable crystals].

Lee J.D. and Chen Y., (2005), Material forces in micromorphic thermoelastic solids, *Phil.Mag.*, 85, 3897-3910 [This work constructs the notion of material forces in micromorphic solids].

Legrain G., (2006), Extension de l'approche X-FEM aux grandes déformations pour la fissuration des milieux hyperélastiques, *Ph.D. Thesis in Mechanics*, Ecole Centrale de Nantes/Université de Nantes [This work applies the notion of Eshelby material stress to the behavior in fracture of some polymeric materials].

Lubliner J., (1990), *Plasticity*, McMillan, New York [A now classic treatise on elasto- plasticity in finite strains].

Mandel J., (1966), *Cours de Mécanique des Milieux Continus*, Vol.1, Gauthier-Vilars, Paris [A standard graduate course on continuum mechanics delivered at Ecole Polytechnique, Paris, in the years 1960].

Maugin G.A., (1988), *Continuum mechanics of electromagnetic solids*, Amsterdam: North-Holland [The first comprehensive treatise on finitely-strained electromagnetic solids and their applications (coupled linear and nonlinear waves, stability)].

Maugin G.A., (1990), Internal variables and Dissipative Structures, *J.Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, 15, 173-192 [First paper showing that n-th order gradient theories of materials can obey thermodynamical admissibility].

Maugin G.A., (1992), *The Thermomechanics of plasticity and fracture*, Cambridge: CUP [An applied mathematics textbook on plasticity and fracture exploiting convex analysis].

Maugin G.A., (1992b), Pseudo-momentum in Solitonic Elastic Systems, *J.Mech.Phys.Solids*, 40 (P.Chadwick Anniversary Volume), 1543-1558 [First application of the notion of pseudo-momentum and Eshelby stress in nonlinear waves in elastic crystals].

Maugin G.A., (1993), *Material inhomogeneities in elasticity*, London: Chapman and Hall, [A book expanding for the first time the notion of material inhomogeneity in relation to that of Eshelby stress and its dynamical generalization].

Maugin G.A., (1994), Eshelby Stress in Elastoplasticity and Fracture, *Int.J.of Plasticity*, **10**, 393-408 [A paper that establishes the correspondence between Eshelby stress and fracture in inelastic bodies].

Maugin G.A., (1995), Material Forces: Concepts and applications, *ASME Appl.Mech.Rev.*, **48**, 213-245 [A review paper exhibiting the various facets of the theory of material inhomogeneities as in 1995]

Maugin G.A., (1997), Thermomechanics of Inhomogeneous-heterogeneous Systems: Application to the Irreversible Progress of two and Three-dimensional Defects, *ARI* (Springer), **50**, 41-56 [This work establishes the basic thermomechanics governing discontinuity surfaces in terms of the Eshelby material stress in more or less complex elastic materials].

Maugin G.A., (1998a), On Shock Waves and Phase-transition Fronts in Continua, *ARI* (Springer), **50**, 141-150 [This work sets forth a thermodynamical theory of transition-fronts and true shock waves on the basis of Eshelby's tensor and a generator function].

Maugin G.A., (1998b), On the Structure of the Theory of Polar Elasticity, *Phil.Trans.Roy.Soc.London*, **A356**, 1367-1395 [A systematic application of the notion of material and configurational forces in finitely-strained polar (Cosserat) elastic media]

Maugin G.A., (1998c), Thermomechanics of Forces Driving Singular Point Sets (in Honour of H.Zorski's 70th anniversary), *Archives of Mechanics (Poland)*, **50**, 477-487 [This paper exposes the continuum thermomechanics of material forces acting on singular points, lines and surfaces].

Maugin G.A., (1999a), *The Thermomechanics of nonlinear irreversible behaviors*, Singapore: World Scientific, [A textbook devoted to modern continuum thermodynamics exploiting the notion of internal variable of state].

Maugin G.A., (1999b), *Nonlinear waves in elastic crystals*, Oxford: Oxford University Press,

[This book is devoted to nonlinear waves of different types (shock waves, wave fronts, solitons) in elastic crystals and some generalizations].

Maugin G.A., (2000a), On the universality of the thermomechanics of forces driving singular sets, *Arch.Appl.Mech.*, **70** (Jubilee Volume), 31-45 [This paper aims at establishing the universality of the notion of material forces acting on field singularities].

Maugin G.A., (2000b), Geometry of Material Space: Its Consequences in Modern Numerical Means, *Technische Mechanik (Magdeburg)*, **20**, 95-104 [This work demonstrates the possible applications of the notion of material forces in various computational schemes].

Maugin G.A., (2002), Remarks on the Eshelbian Thermomechanics of Materials *Mech.Res.Commun.*, **29**, No.6, 537-542 [This note examines the consequences of Legendre-Fenchel transformations on the formulation of material forces].

Maugin G.A., (2003a), Geometry and thermomechanics of structural rearrangements: Ekkehart Kröner's legacy (GAMM'2002 Kroener's lecture), *Z.angew.Math.Mech.*, **83**, 75-83 [This contribution relates the general mechanics of configurational forces and the works of the late E.Kröner in defect theory].

Maugin G.A., (2003b), Pseudo-plasticity and pseudo-inhomogeneity effects in materials mechanics, *J.Elasticity*, **71**, 81-103 [Also in the book: *The Rational Spirit in Modern Continuum Mechanics (Essays and Papers dedicated to the Memory of Clifford Ambrose Truesdell III)* eds. C.-S.Man and R.Fosdick, Kluwer, The Netherlands (2004)] [This paper compares so-called pseudo-effects in inhomogeneous materials and plasticity with the formulation of configurational and material forces via the notion of local rearrangement of matter].

Maugin G.A., (2006a), On canonical equations of continuum mechanics, *Mech.Res.Commun.*, **33**, 705-710 [First presentation of canonical energy and momentum conservation laws for any material deformable body]

Maugin G.A., (2006b), On the thermodynamics of continuous media with diffusion and/or weak nonlocality, *Arch.Appl.Mech.* (75th Anniversary Volume), 75, 723-738 [A development of the previous paper including generalized nontrivial cases].

Maugin G.A. and Berezovski A., (2004), Introduction to the thermomechanics of configurational forces, *Atti Accad.Pel.dei Pericl.* Class I, Vol.LXXXI-LXXXII, (2004) [A condensed view on the thermomechanics of configurational forces].

Maugin G.A. and Christov C., (2001), Nonlinear Waves and Conservation Laws (Nonlinear Duality Between Elastic waves and Quasi-particles), in: *Topics in Nonlinear Wave Mechanics*, eds. C.I.Christov and A.Guran, pp.117-160, Boston: Birkhauser [This contribution presents the application of the notion of canonical momentum, Eshelby stress and quasi-particles in different continuous systems].

Maugin G.A. and Epstein M., (1991), The Electroelastic Energy-momentum tensor, *Proc.Roy.Soc.Lond.*, A433, 299-312 [Application of the notions of Eshelby stress and local material rearrangement in finite-strain electroelasticity].

Maugin G.A. and Trimarco C., (1992), Pseudo-momentum and Material Forces in Nonlinear Elasticity: Variational Formulations and Application to Brittle Fracture, *Acta Mechanica*, 94, 1-28 [One of the original papers reviving the notion of material forces in first and second-gradient elasticity and fracture on a variational basis].

Maugin G.A. and Trimarco C., (1995b), On Material and Physical Forces in Liquid Crystals, *Int.J.Engng.Sci.*, 33, 1663-1678 [This work discusses the notion of material force in liquid crystals where there exists some ambiguity in definitions].

Maugin G.A. and Trimarco C., (1997), Driving Force on Phase-transition Fronts in Thermoelastic Crystals, *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 2, 199-214 [This work studies the driving force on phase-transition fronts in deformable electroelastic bodies].

Micunovic M.; (1974), A geometrical treatment of thermoelasticity of simple inhomogeneous bodies: I - Geometric and kinematic relations, II - Constitutive equations, III Approximations, *Bull.Acad.Polon. Sci.Sér.Sci.Techn.*, 22, 579-588, 633-641, 23, 89-97 (1975) [These works exploit a multiplicative decomposition of finite strain in thermoelasticity].

Miehe C., Gürses E. and Brirkle M., (2007), A computational framework of configurational force-driven brittle fracture based on incremental energy minimization, *Int.J.Fracture*, 145/4, 245-259 [This work presents a sophisticated professional approach to the exploitation of configurational forces in computational fracture].

Müller I. and Ruggeri T., (1993), *Extended thermodynamics*, New York: Springer [A comprehensive exposition of the notion of extended thermodynamics by some of its creators].

Mueller R., Kolling S. and Gross D., (2002), On Configurational Forces in the Context of the Finite-Element Method, *Int.J.Num.Meth.Engng.*, 53, 1557-1574 [Professional Application of the notion of configurational forces in FEM computations in structures].

Mueller R. and Maugin G.A., (2002), On Material Forces and Finite Element Discretizations. *Computational Mechanics*, 29, No.1, 52-60 [The original paper with applications relating FEM computations to the concept of configurational forces].

Mueller R., Maugin G.A. and Gross D., (2003), Material Forces Induced by Finite-element Discretizations, in: *Proc. Intern.Conf.on Advanced Problems in Mechanics*, St Petersburg, June 2002, pp.495-500, IPME-RAS, St-Petersburg [This work gives examples of material-force distributions in FEM implementation].

Muschik W. and Berezovski A., (2004), Thermodynamic interaction between two dissipative systems in non-equilibrium, *J.Non-Equilibr.Thermodyn.*, 29, 237-2555 [This work formulates the bases of the thermodynamical exchanges between continuum Schottky systems].

Noether W., (1918), Invariante Variationsproblem, *Klg-Ges.Wiss.Nach.Göttingen. Math.Phys.*, K1.2, 235 [The original introduction of Noether's theorem by its author].

Olver P.J., (1986), *Application of Lie groups to differential equations*, New York: Springer [A treatise on Lie groups for professionals].

Peach M.O., and Koehler J.S., (1950), Force Exerted on Dislocations and the Stress Produced by Them, *Phys.Rev.*, II-80, 436-439 [The pioneering paper introducing the so-called Peach-Koehler force].

Piola G., (1836), Nuovo analisi per tutte le questioni della meccanica molecolare, *Mem. Mat.Fis.Soc.Ital.Modena*, 21 (1835), 155-321 [and Piola G., (1848), Intorno alle equazioni fondamentali del movimento di corpi qualsivogliono considerati la naturale forma e costituiva, *ibid*, 24(1), 1-186] [These are the pioneering papers of the author introducing the Piola transformation and variational formulations in the manner of Lagrange]

Rakotomanana L.R., (2004), *A geometric approach to thermomechanics of dissipating continua*, Boston: Birkhäuser [This book develops an original viewpoint on bodies that are everywhere dislocated].

Rice J.R., (1968), Path-independent Integral and the Approximate Analysis of Strain Concentrations by Notches and Cracks, *Trans.ASME.J.Appl.Mech.*, **33**, 379-385 [This paper introduces the celebrated J-integral of fracture theory].

Soper D.A., (1976), *Classical theory of fields*, New York: Academic Press [A standard textbook on field theory at the undergraduate/graduate level].

Steinmann P., Ackermann D. and Bartel F.J., (2001), Application of material forces to hyperelastic fracture mechanics -Part II: Computational setting, *Int.J. Solids Structures*, **38**, 5509-5526 [This work demonstrates the power of the notion of material forces in performing computations on hyperelastic structures by the FEM].

Steinmann P. and Maugin G.A., (Editors, 2005), *Mechanics of Material Forces* (Proc.EUROMECH Colloq., Kaiserslautern, 2003), New York: Springer [Proceedings of a fruitful scientific colloquium devoted to configurational forces].

Stolz C., (1989), Sur la propagation d'une ligne de discontinuité et la fonction génératrice de choc pour un solide anélastique, *C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris*, II-307, 1997-2000 [This paper introduces the notion of generator function for discontinuity surfaces].

Stolz C., (1994), Sur le problème d'évolution thermomécanique des solides à changement brutal des caractéristiques, *C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris*, II-318, 1425-1428 [This note gives the essential of the mathematical problem of evolution for propagating discontinuities].

Trimarco C. and Maugin G.A. (2002), Material mechanics of electromagnetic solids, in: *Configurational mechanics of materials*, Eds. R.Kienzler and G.A.Maugin, pp.129-171, Wien: Springer, [Lecture notes devoted to configurational forces in electromagnetic solids].

Truesdell C.A., and Noll W., (1965), Nonlinear Field Theories of Mechanics, in: *Handbuch der Physik*, Bd.III/3, ed.S.Flügge, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, [A classic encyclopedia for 20th century continuum mechanics].

Truesdell C.A., and Toupin R.A., (1960), The Classical Theory of Fields, in: *Handbuch der Physik*, Bd.III/1, ed.S.Flügge, Berlin:Springer-Verlag,[A forerunner of the preceding reference].

Truskinovsky L., (1994), About the Normal Growth Approximation in the Dynamical Theory of Phase Transitions, *Cont.Mech.& Thermodynam.* **6**, 185-208 [This paper derives a kinetic law for phase-transition fronts for a dissipative front of nonzero thickness].

Biographical sketch

Gérard A. Maugin, Born 1944 in France. Received his Mechanical (1966) and Aeronautics (1968) Engineering Diplomas in Paris, a Ph.D. (1971) at Princeton University, USA, and a D.Sc. in Mathematics from Paris University in 1975. He is the head of the institute "Jean Le Rond d'Alembert" (Mechanics, Acoustics, Energetics) at University Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris 6, France. Recipient of several dr hc, member of various academies, Max Planck Award 2001, Eringen Medal of the SES 2003. Author of numerous research papers and books in mathematical physics, theoretical continuum mechanics, and

applied mathematics. His research interests include the electrodynamics of deformable continua, surface waves, the thermomechanics of continua, the theory of material defects, nonlinear waves and, more generally, nonlinear phenomena in crystal physics and mechanobiology.

UNESCO - EOLSS
SAMPLE CHAPTERS