EARTHQUAKE RESISTANT DESIGN

M. Hamada,

Department of Civil Engineering, Waseda University, Japan

Keywords : Seismic coefficient method, response spectrum, modified seismic coefficient method, elasto-plastic response, ultimate strength of structures, performance-based design, earthquake ground motion for design, dynamic response analysis, response displacement method, seismic diagnosis, seismic retrofitting,

Contents

- 1. Seismic Coefficient Method
- 2. Response Spectrum
- 3. Modified Seismic Coefficient Method
- 4. Elasto-Plastic Response and Ultimate Strength of Structures
- 5. Performance-Based Design
- 6. Earthquake Ground Motion for Design
- 7. Dynamic Response Analysis
- 8. Response Displacement Method
- 9. Seismic Diagnosis and Retrofitting

Glossary

Biographical Sketch

1. Seismic Coefficient Method

The seismic coefficient method is one of the static procedures for earthquake resistant design of structures. Horizontal and/or vertical forces, which are calculated as products of the seismic coefficients $K_{\rm H}$, $K_{\rm V}$ and the weight of the structures are applied to the structures as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Seismic coefficient method

The stability and the deformation of the structures, and the stress and the strain of each structural member are examined against the horizontal and/or vertical forces in addition to the vertical load due to the weight of the structures.

The seismic coefficients $K_{\rm H}$ and $K_{\rm V}$ are determined by taking the importance level of the structure and the seismic activity of the region into the consideration. Generally, the horizontal seismic coefficient $K_{\rm H}$ is 0.2 - 0.3 for road and railway bridges, around 0.15 for dams, 0.15 - 0.25 for port and harbor facilities and 0.6 for nuclear power plant buildings.

The inertia force F acting on a structure during earthquake motions is expressed by the following formula as a product of the total mass of the structure M and the acceleration α .

(1)

 $F = M \cdot \alpha$

Equation (1) can be rewritten by using the acceleration of the gravity g as follows:

$$F = \frac{\alpha}{g} \cdot Mg$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{g} \cdot W$$
(2)

Therefore, the seismic coefficient can be recognized as a ratio of the acceleration of structures to the gravity acceleration.

2. Response Spectrum

The dynamic response of a structure against an earthquake ground motion is governed by the natural period and the damping coefficient of the structure, and the predominant components of the ground motion.

The dynamic response of single freedom systems, shown in Figure 2, is written by the following differential equation.

$$\ddot{q}(t) + 2\frac{2\pi}{T}h\,\dot{q}(t) + \left(\frac{2\pi}{T}\right)^2 q(t) = -\ddot{z}(t) \tag{3}$$

where T and h are the natural period and the damping ratio of a single freedom system, and $\ddot{z}(t)$ and q(t) are input earthquake acceleration and response displacement of the system, respectively. The dynamic responses of several single freedom systems with varying natural periods and a same damping ratio against an earthquake motion are changed as illustrated in Figure 2 depending on the natural period of the system.

The acceleration response spectrum is the relationship of the maximum response

acceleration S_{ai} with the natural period of the system (T_i) , which is illustrated in Figure 3. Similarly, the displacement and velocity response spectra can be obtained as the relationship of the maximum response displacement and velocity with the natural period of the system.

Figure 4 is one of example of acceleration response spectra of the ground motions, which was observed in Kobe City during the 1995 Kobe earthquake.



Figure 2. Dynamic response of single degree of freedom systems



Figure 3. Acceleration response spectrum. (a) Response Acceleration (b) Acceleration Response Spectrum



Natural Period (s)



TO ACCESS ALL THE **10 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

Biographical Sketch

Masanori Hamada was born on October 13, 1943, Yokohama City, Kanagawa, Japan. EDUCATION: B.S. in Civil Engineering, Waseda University, 1966 M.S. in Civil Engineering, University of Tokyo, 1968 Dr. of Engineering, University of Tokyo, 1961 POSITIONS HELD: Design Engineer, Taisei Corporation 1968-1983 Associate Professor, School of Marine Science and Technology, Tokai University 1983-1986 Professor, School of Marine Science and Technology, Tokai University 1983-1986 Professor, School of Science and Technology, Tokai University 1986-1994 Professor, School of Science and Technology, Waseda University 1995-present PRINCIPAL OFFICES IN ENGINEERING ORGANIZAIONS: President, Institute of Social Safety Science 1997-1998 Vice President, Japan Society of Civil Engineers 2002-present Vice President, Japan Association of Earthquake Engineering 2002-present UNHER CHARTER