# CONTENTS

## **VOLUME II**

#### Land Evaluation

Willy H. Verheye, National Science Foundation Flanders/Belgium and Geography Department University of Gent, Belgium

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Land Appraisal In A Historical Context
- 3. The Need For Land Evaluation
- 4. Land Evaluation Terminology
- 5. Changing Concepts In The Assessment Of Land
- 6. Approaches To Land Evaluation
  - 6.1. Initial Subjective And Anecdotal Approaches
  - 6.2. Mathematical Yield Correlations6.2.1. Simple Mathematical Correlations6.2.2. Complex Formulas
  - 6.3. Parametric Approaches
    - 6.3.1. Multiplicative Systems
    - 6.3.2. Additive Systems
    - 6.3.3. Complex Parametric Systems
    - 6.3.4. Strength And Weaknesses Of Parametric Systems
  - 6.4. Categoric Land Capability Classifications
    - 6.4.1. The USDA Land Capability System
    - 6.4.2. Derived Land Capability Systems
    - 6.4.3. Strength And Weaknesses Of Land Capability Classifications
  - 6.5. Land Suitability Classifications
  - 6.6. Land Systems Approach
  - 6.7. Agroclimate-Based Systems
- 7. Modern Trends In Land Evaluation

#### Soil Survey as A Basis for Land Evaluation

Jozef August Deckers, Institute for Land and Water Management, Catholic University Leuven (KUL), Belgium

Otto Coenraad Spaargaren, International Soil Reference and Information Centre (ISRIC), Wageningen, The Netherlands

Stephane Dondeyne, Institute for Land and Water Management, Catholic University Leuven (KUL), Belgium

- 1. Soil Surveying
  - 1.1. History And Scope Of Soil Surveys
  - 1.2. Intensity And Scale Considerations Of Soil Surveys
  - 1.3. Soil Survey Methodology
- 2. Soil Mapping And Soil Classification
- 3. World Reference Base For Soil Resources (WRB), As A Basis To Streamline Soil Profile Information For Land Evaluation
  - 3.1. Elements Of World Reference Base
  - 3.2. The Reference Base: Accommodating The World Soil Cover In 30 Reference Soil Groups
  - 3.3. The WRB As A Soil Correlation System
- 4. Land Evaluation Based On Land Characteristics And Land Qualities
- 5. From Soil Survey to Soil Suitability and Land Evaluation. Case Study: Land Evaluation For Irrigated Rice In The West-African Sahel
  - 5.1. Introduction
  - 5.2. Soil Suitability For Irrigated Rice

29

5.3. Results And Conclusions

#### **Qualitative and Quantitative Land Evaluations**

Diego De La Rosa, *Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas, Sevilla, Spain* Cees A. Van Diepen, *Alterra, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Wageningen, The Netherlands* 

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Traditional Systems
  - 2.1. Maximum-Limitations Systems
  - 2.2. Parametric Methods
  - 2.3. Statistical Systems
  - 2.4. Single-Factor Systems
- 3. Modern Methodologies
  - 3.1. Expert-System Models
  - 3.2. Fuzzy-Set Methodologies
  - 3.3. Neural-Network Models
  - 3.4. Dynamic-Simulation Models
  - 3.5. Hybrid Systems
- 4. Automated Application
  - 4.1. Land-Attributes Databases
  - 4.2. Computer Programs
  - 4.3. Optimization Tools
  - 4.4. Spatial Analysis
- 5. Future Perspectives

#### The FAO Guidelines for Land Evaluation

Willy H. Verheye, National Science Foundation Flanders, Geography Department, University of Gent, Belgium

A. Parviz Koohafkan, Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations (FAO)-AGLL, Italy Freddy Nachtergaele, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Italy

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Basic Principles And Assumptions
- 3. Evaluation Procedure
  - 3.1. General Principles
  - 3.2. Land Utilization Types
  - 3.3. Crop Growth And Production Requirements
  - 3.4. Factor Ratings
  - 3.5. Land Data Collection3.5.1. Agro-Climatic Information3.5.2. Soil And Terrain Information
  - 3.6. Matching Crop Growth Requirements With Land Attributes3.6.1. The Principle Of Matching3.6.2. Rating Procedures
- 4. Land Suitability Classification
- Earld Suitability Classification
  The Results Of Land Suitability Evaluation
- 6. The Way Forward

### Land Evaluation Systems Other Than the FAO System

Willy H. Verheye, National Science Foundation Flanders/Belgium and Geography Department University Gent, Belgium

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Parametric Systems

78

ii

- 2.1. The Storie-Index
- 2.2. The Riquier, Bramao And Cornet System
- 2.3. The Sys And Verheye System
- 3. Categoric Systems
  - 3.1. The USDA Land Capability System
  - 3.2. The Canada Land Inventory (CLI)
  - 3.3. Land Capability Assessments In Britain
- 4. Other Special Purpose Systems
  - 4.1. The USBR System
  - 4.2. The Fertility Capability Classification Of Sanchez

#### **Agro-Climate-Based Land Evaluation Systems**

Willy H. Verheye, National Science Foundation Flanders, Belgium and Geography Department University Gent, Belgium

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Climatic Factors Affecting Land Use And Land Cover
  - 2.1. Temperature
  - 2.2. Rainfall And Moisture Availability
  - 2.3. Other Climatic Factors
- 3. Early Bio-Climatic Classifications
- 4. Agro-Climatic Land Evaluation Systems
  - 4.1. Single-Factor Agro-Climatic Systems
  - 4.2. Multiple-Factor Agro-Climatic Systems
  - 4.3. Yield Prediction Models
  - 4.4. The Papadakis System
  - 4.5. The Agro-Ecological Zone (AEZ) Approach

# Land Quality Indicators (LQI): Monitoring and Evaluation Julian Dumanski, *Ottawa, Canada*

Christian Pieri, Rural Development Sector, World Bank, Washington, D.C, USA

160

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Requirements For Basic (Core) Lqis
- 3. Core Lqis Recommended For Short Term Development
  - 3.1. Nutrient Balance And Depletion
    - 3.2. Yield Gap
    - 3.3. Agricultural Land Use Intensity And Diversity
    - 3.4. Land (Soil) Cover
- 4. Core Lqis Recommended For Long-Term Development (Sub-National AEZ Program)
  - 4.1. Soil Quality
  - 4.2. Land Degradation
  - 4.3. Agro-Biodiversity
- 5. Core Lqis Recommended For Development By Liaison With Other Authorative Groups
  - 5.1. Water Quality
  - 5.2. Forest Land Quality
  - 5.3. Rangeland Quality
  - 5.4. Land Contamination/Pollution
- 6. Examples Of Lqis Already Available
  - 6.1. Soil Nutrient Balance
  - 6.2. Yield Gap
  - 6.3. Land (Soil) Cover
  - 6.4. Soil Salinity
- 7. Conclusions

#### **Biophysical Models In Land Evaluation**

David G. Rossiter, International Institute for Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation (ITC), Enschede, the Netherlands

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Classification Of Biophysical Models
- 3. Models Of Expert Knowledge
- 4. Empirical-Statistical Models
- 5. Dynamic Simulation Models Of Crop Yield
  - 5.1. The WOFOST Approach
    - 5.1.1. Production Level 1: Radiation And Temperature Limited
    - 5.1.2. Production Level 2: Water Limited
    - 5.1.3. Production Level 3: Nitrogen Limited
    - 5.2. Decision Support System For Agrotechnology (DSSAT)
    - 5.3. APSIM
    - 5.4. Others
- 6. Dynamic Simulation Models Of Individual Land Qualities
- 7. Critical Issues In Using Dynamic Simulation Models For Land Evaluation
  - 7.1. Context
  - 7.2. Calibration Vs. Validation
  - 7.3. Calibration
  - 7.4. Data
  - 7.5. Mismatched Conceptual Levels
- 8. Selecting A Modelling Approach

#### **Economic Models of Land Evaluation: Local Decision-Making**

196

Michiel A. Keyzer, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands Ben G.J.S. Sonneveld, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands Roelf L. Voortman, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Objective Of Land Use Modelling
  - 2.1. Land Is Scarce
  - 2.2. Land Suitability
  - 2.3. Efficiency
  - 2.4. Spatial Externalities
  - 2.5. Dynamic Externalities
  - 2.6. Objectives
- 3. Model Construction
  - 3.1. Simplifying Assumptions
  - 3.2. Steps In Model Construction
- 4. Economic Models Of Land Evaluation For Local Decision-Making
  - 4.1. Models For Decision Support
  - 4.2. Production Function Estimation
  - 4.3. Optimisation Models
- 5. Conclusions

#### Economic Models of Land Evaluation: Regional and Global Decision-Making

213

Michiel A. Keyzer, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands Max D. Merbis, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands Ben G.J.S. Sonneveld, Centre for World Food Studies, Free University Amsterdam, the Netherlands

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Watershed Models
  - 2.1. The Patuxent Model
  - 2.2. An Economic-Hydrological Model For The Maipo River Basin

- 3. Regional Models
  - 3.1. Forest And Agricultural Sector Optimisation Model (FASOM)
  - 3.2. Other Regional Models
- 4. Global Models
  - 4.1. IMAGE
  - 4.2. MERGE
  - 4.3. Other Global Models
- 5. Challenges And Pitfalls

## Index

231

About EOLSS