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**Summary**

The term "evolution" is linked to the idea of development in the sciences of life, and only subsequently did it acquire the present meaning of diversification and origin of new species. The dominant image of the *scala naturae*, strictly related to the creationist, static and essentialistic positions, was substituted by the image of the tree that characterizes the transformist theories, and that represents the process of transformation over long periods of time.

1. Introduction

"Evolution" is a term rich in suggestions. It penetrates the current lexicon that we are accustomed to use in the most disparate occasions, with meanings that are sometimes very distant from the scientific theory that decreed its success, or that are only vaguely allusive to the Darwinian theory of evolution. The term "evolution" has also historically assumed different meanings in specific studies of life. Darwin, in the *Origin of Species* (1859), never used the word "evolution", although the term already existed and was broadly present in the natural sciences. This is one of the first points that needs to be clarified.
2. Scientific Historiography and the Analysis of the Theoretical Concepts

It often happens, in the development of scientific thought, that some apparently identical terms are used in diverse disciplinary and theoretical circles. These can be quite far from each other, or can go through different phases in the development of a theory. Only a historical analysis can reveal and document the shifts of meaning that have, in fact, deeply transformed the conceptual value of certain terms.

The word "evolution" derives from the Latin *evolutio*. The term originally referred to the unfolding of parchments. In relation to life, it assumed the specific meaning of unfolding, or development, or growth of the organism. The term appears with this meaning in the works of reformist thinkers, such as Albrecht von Haller (1708-1777) and Charles Bonnet (1720-1793), indicating the unfolding of preexisting parts. The ideas of the preformists (who postulated that the primary structure of the organisms can already be found, outlined in the germs that preexisted from the act of creation, so that embryogenesis is simply quantitative growth and the progressive appearance of the organs) was challenged by the epigenetists' ideas. William Harvey (1578-1657) was the first one to use the term epigenesis in the work *De Generatione Animalium* (1651). He wrote that the phenomenon of generation is accomplished through subsequent phases of development from undiversified matter and thanks to "vital forces" of natural character. In the 19th century, an epigenetist like Karl Ernst von Baer (1792-1876) used the Latin term *evolutio* in connection with the German word *Entwicklung*, indicating epigenetic development. Since Darwin, the term evolution indicates instead the differentiation process and the origin of new species (descent with modification) through the mechanisms of casual variation and natural selection. Evolution therefore is a phylogeny, a process that is not prearranged and that is far from linear. Evolution takes place at the level of the species, and is therefore distinct from ontogeny, i.e., different from the development of the single organism from the fertilized egg.

Besides the term "evolution", other important theoretical terms (already existing in the older theories of life, or borrowed for their analogical and metaphoric significance from more or less neighboring theoretical disciplines), ran into remarkable semantic shifts once they were integrated in the new theoretical picture. This happened to the concepts of analogy, homology, struggle for life, selection and even to the concept of species: they were all submitted to the same re-definition process in the context of the new Darwinian theory, that, since its beginning, produced an epochal fracture with the previous representations of life. The Darwinian theory, therefore, has become a symbolic case of scientific revolution. Darwin, in the *Origin*, had anticipated that his ideas would have produced “a considerable revolution in natural history”. If there is a scientific theory that, next to Copernicus' theory, has more recurrently and persistently earned the label of scientific revolution (both in its properly epistemological sense, and in the wider meaning of a turning point and a stirring of a consolidate vision of the world), that is the Darwinian theory of evolution. In this theory, in fact, there were not only radical transformations of the ways to interpret life and the science that studies it, but also important philosophical implications. These implications were so dramatic as to justify the fact that this theory is considered a true watershed. Substitution of a static world with a world in constant change; refusal of creationism; refusal of cosmic teleology; overcoming of anthropocentrism; absolutely materialistic explanation of what
was until then resolved in terms of a "divine project"; and substitution of essentialism and of its rigid and aprioristic classifications with an articulated thought rooted on the concepts of the flux of nature. These are only a few of the fundamental transformations that make it essential to contextualize this theory historically in order to appraise not only its revolutionary significance, but also its explanatory power such that, tightly connected to an elevated degree of acceptance, it is stated that it is currently impossible to be biologists without recognizing its validity. Was the Darwinian revolution a true revolution? Or was it instead the result of a linear and continuous development based on the accumulation of facts and new discoveries? Or was it (according to the epistemological interpretation of the growth of scientific knowledge that assumes as its model the Darwinian mechanism of the evolution - the evolutionary epistemology) a modification and differentiation of an idea, as a gradual process of adjustment through trial and error in the attempt to resolve certain problems?

Darwin added to the *Origin*, beginning with the third edition of 1861, *An Historical Sketch of the Progress of the Opinion on the Origin of Species*, a brief historical introduction in which he replied to the accusations of not having recognized his debts to those who, before him, had anticipated the idea of evolution. In a footnote, Darwin quotes Aristotle who, in his *Physicae Auscultationes*, could have anticipated the principle of natural selection, i.e., the most original and characteristic concept pertaining to the Darwinian explanatory scheme. Darwin himself, however, points out immediately that it appears evident “how little Aristotle fully comprehended the principle”. This observation reminds us of the need for the maximum caution in the intricate play of the historical overcoming and anticipations. The complexity of this issue can be a dangerous trap for the historian of science. On one hand, the search for a line of continuity in the development of scientific knowledge could induce the historian to express judgments on the theories of the past in terms of values, in relationship to their contribution or to their theoretical distance from the currently accepted theories. Ernst Mayr, one of the main representatives of the modern evolutionary biology and one of the fathers of the synthetic theory of the evolution (the theoretical nucleus of contemporary Darwinism), but also one of the most engaged scholars in the reconstruction of the history of biological thought and in the analysis of the concepts, warns us to distance ourselves from the so-called "wiggish" historiography that appraises the work of a scientist, not in the terms of the intellectual ambit in which he or she was active, but in the perspective of his/her strict relationship with the present conceptions. The result of this approach is the underestimation of the role of theories that were subsequently revealed to be wrong and the theoretical motives that justified their formulation. Mayr reminds us that "the path of science is never straight" and of the danger represented by the historiographic category of "overcoming", that reconstructs the history of a discipline as a continuous and linear progress, an accumulation of discoveries and new facts, a kind of triumphal march toward the present day. There is also the symmetrical danger of the research, at any cost, of the precursors, i.e., the search for anticipations and precognitions in illustrious authors of the past (the farther the better). The result of this type of research is a kind of "contraction" of history, in which everything has already been said and discovered.

Concerning in particular the development of evolutionary biology, Mayr has underlined that, even if discoveries and acquisitions of new facts are fundamental elements in the
progress of scientific knowledge, the most important advancements were achieved with the introduction of new concepts or with the refinement of already existing concepts. Concepts such as evolution, common descent, geographical speciation, isolation mechanisms, natural selection, adaptation, would have, in fact, led to a drastic reorientation in an area of biology that was previously confused and to the creation of new theories and of innumerable new researches. This fact confirms the thesis that scientific progress consists mainly of the progress of the scientific concepts.
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