EXPANSION OF THE UNIVERSE - STANDARD BIG BANG MODEL

Matts Roos

Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

Keywords: Relativity, gravitational lensing, black holes, cosmic inflation, Cosmic Microwave Background, Dark Matter, Dark Energy

Contents

- 1. Historical cosmology
- 2. Olbers' paradox
- 3. Hubble's law
- 4. Special Relativity and metrics
- 5. Distance measures
- 6. General Relativity
- 7. Tests of General Relativity
- 8. Gravitational lensing
- 9. Black holes
- 10. Friedmann-Lemaître cosmology
- 11. Thermal history of the Universe
- 12. Cosmic inflation
- 13. Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation
- 14. Large Scale Structure
- 15. Dark Matter
- 16. Dark Energy
- 17. Conclusions
- Acknowledgements
- Glossary Bibliography

Biographical Sketch

Summary

After a brief introduction to the sixteenth and seventeenth century views of the Universe and the nineteenth century paradox of Olbers, we start the history of the cosmic expansion with Hubble's epochal discovery of the recession velocities of spiral galaxies. By then Einstein's theories of relativity were well known, but no suitable metric. Prior to introducing General Relativity we embark on a non-chronological derivation of the Robertson-Walker metric directly from Special Relativity and the Minkowski metric endowed with a Gaussian curvature. This permits the definition of all relativistic distance measures needed in observational astronomy. Only thereafter do we come to General Relativity, and describe some of its consequences: gravitational lensing, black holes, various tests, and the cornerstone of the standard Big Bang model, the Friedmann-Lemaître equations. Going backwards in time towards Big Bang we first have to trace the thermal history, and then understand the needs for a cosmic inflation and its predictions. The knowledge of the Big Bang model is based notably on observations of the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation, large scale structures, and the redshifts of distant supernovae. They tell us that gravitating matter is dominated by a dark and dissipationless component of unknown composition, and that the observable part of the Universe exhibits an accelerated expansion representing a fraction of the energy even larger than gravitating matter.

1. Historical Cosmology

The history of ideas on the structure and origin of the Universe shows that humankind has always put itself at the center of creation. As astronomical evidence has accumulated, these *anthropocentric* convictions have had to be abandoned one by one. From the natural idea that the solid Earth is at rest and the celestial objects all rotate around us, we have come to understand that we inhabit an average-sized planet orbiting an average-sized sun, that the Solar System is in the periphery of The Milky Way, a rotating galaxy of average size, flying at hundreds of kilometers per second towards an unknown goal in an immense Universe, containing billions of similar galaxies.

Cosmology aims to explain the origin and evolution of the entire contents of the Universe, the underlying physical processes, and thereby to obtain a deeper understanding of the laws of physics assumed to hold throughout the Universe. Unfortunately, we have only one universe to study, the one we live in, and we cannot make experiments with it, only observations. This puts serious limits on what we can learn about the origin. If there were other universes we would never know.

Although the history of cosmology is long and fascinating we shall neither trace it in detail, nor any further back than *Isaac Newton* (1642–1727). In the early days of cosmology when little was known about the Universe, the field was really just a branch of philosophy. At the time of Newton the heliocentric Universe of *Nicolaus Copernicus* (1473–1543), *Galileo Galilei* (1564–1642) and *Johannes Kepler* (1571–1630) had been accepted because no sensible description of the motion of the planets could be found if the Earth was at rest at the center of the Solar System. However, this anthropocentric view persisted, locating the Solar System at the center of the Universe. The Milky Way had been resolved into an accumulation of faint stars with the telescope of Galileo. Copernicus had formulated the *cosmological* or *Copernican principle*, according to which

• The Universe is homogeneous and isotropic in three-dimensional space, has always been so, and will always remain so.

Obviously, matter introduces lumpiness which violates homogeneity on the scale of stars and on the scale of the Milky Way, but on some larger scale isotropy and homogeneity is still taken to be a good approximation.

The first theory of gravitation appeared when Newton published his *Philosophiae Naturalis Principia Mathematica* in 1687, explaining the empirical laws of Kepler: that the planets moved in elliptical orbits with the Sun at one of the focal points. Newton considered the stars to be suns like ours, evenly distributed in a static, infinite Universe. The total number of stars could not be infinite because then their attraction would also be infinite, making the static Universe unstable. There were controversial opinions

whether the number of stars was finite or infinite, and whether a finite universe was bounded and an infinite one unbounded. Later *Immanuel Kant* (1724–1804) claimed that the question of infinity was irrelevant because neither type of system embedded in infinite space could be stable and homogeneous. The right conclusion is that the Universe cannot be static, an idea which would have been too revolutionary at Newton's time. The infinity argument was, however, not properly understood until *Bernhard Riemann* (1826–1866) pointed out that the world could be *finite* yet *unbounded*, provided the geometry of the space had a positive curvature, however small.

The first description of the Milky Way as a rotating galaxy can be traced to *Thomas Wright* (1711–1786). Wright's galactic picture had a direct impact on Kant who suggested in 1755 that the diffuse nebulae observed by Galileo could be distant galaxies rather than nearby clouds of incandescent gas. This implied that the Universe could indeed be homogeneous on the scale of galactic distances. This view was also defended by *Johann Heinrich Lambert* (1728–1777) who came to the conclusion that the Solar System, along with the other stars in our Galaxy, orbited around the galactic center, thus departing from the heliocentric view. Kant and Lambert thought that matter is clustered on ever larger scales of hierarchy and that matter is endlessly being recycled. This leads to the question of the origin of time: what was the first cause of the rotation of the galaxy and when did it all start? This is the question modern cosmology attempts to answer by tracing the evolution of the Universe backwards in time.

Newton's first law states that *inertial systems* on which no forces act, are either at rest or in uniform motion. He considered that these properties implicitly referred to an absolute space that was unobservable, yet had a real existence. In 1883 *Ernst Mach* (1838–1916) rejected the concept of absolute space, precisely because it was unobservable: the laws of physics should be based only on concepts which could be related to observations. Since motion still had to be referred to some frame at rest, he proposed replacing absolute space by an idealized rigid frame of fixed stars. Although Mach clearly realized that all motion is relative, it was left to *Albert Einstein* (1879– 1955) to take the full step of studying the laws of physics as seen by observers in inertial frames in relative motion with respect to each other. On the basis of Riemann's geometry, Einstein subsequently established the connection between the geometry of space and the distribution of matter.

In spite of the work of Kant and Lambert, the heliocentric picture of the Galaxy remained well into the 20th century. A decisive change came with the observations in 1915–1919 by *Harlow Shapley* (1895–1972) of the distribution of *globular clusters* hosting 10^5-10^7 stars. He found that perpendicular to the galactic plane they were uniformly distributed, but along the plane these clusters had a distribution which peaked in the direction of the Sagittarius. This defined the center of the Galaxy to be quite far from the Solar System: we are at a distance of about two-thirds of the galactic radius. Thus the anthropocentric world picture received yet another blow, and not the last one. Shapley still believed our Galaxy to be at the center of the astronomical Universe.

2. Olbers' Paradox

An early problem still discussed today is the paradox of Wilhelm Olbers (1758–1840):

why is the night sky dark if the Universe is infinite, static and uniformly filled with stars? They should fill up the total field of visibility so that the night sky would be as bright as the Sun, and we would find ourselves in the middle of a heat bath of the temperature of the surface of the Sun. Obviously, at least one assumption about the Universe must be wrong.

Olbers' own explanation was that invisible interstellar dust absorbed the starlight so as to make its intensity decrease exponentially with distance. But one can show that the amount of dust needed would be so great that the Sun would also be obscured. Moreover, radiation heats dust so that it becomes visible in the infrared.

A large number of different solutions to this paradox have been proposed, and indeed several effects can be invoked (see ref. Harrison). One possible explanation evokes expansion and special relativity. If the Universe expands, starlight redshifts, so that each arriving photon carries less energy than when it was emitted. At the same time, the volume of the Universe grows, and thus the energy density decreases. The observation of the low level of radiation in the intergalactic space has in fact been evoked as a proof of the expansion.

The dominant effect is, however, that stars radiate only for a finite time, they burn their fuel at well-understood rates. Each galaxy has existed only for a finite time, whether the age of the Universe is infinite or not. Also, the volume of the observable Universe is not infinite; it is in fact too small to contain sufficiently many visible stars. When the time perspective grows, an increasing number of stars become visible because their light has had time to reach us, but at the same time stars which have burned their fuel disappear.

3. Hubble's Law

In a static universe the galaxies should move about randomly, but early galaxy observations had shown that atomic spectral lines of known wavelengths λ exhibited a systematic redward shift to λ' by a factor $1 + z = \lambda'/\lambda$ (an exception is the blueshifted *Andromeda nebula* M31), thus these galaxies were receding from us with velocity v = cz. In an expanding homogeneous Universe distant galaxies should appear to recede faster than nearby ones.

In the 1920s *Edwin P. Hubble* measured the recession velocities of 18 spiral galaxies with a reasonably well-known distance, and found that all the velocities increased linearly with distance, $v = H_0 r$ or

$$z = H_0 \frac{r}{c}.$$
 (1)

This is *Hubble's law*, and H_0 is called the *Hubble parameter* (present values are always subscripted 0). The message of Hubble's law is that the Universe is expanding and a static Universe is thus ruled out. Einstein had until then firmly believed in a static universe, but when he met Hubble in 1929 he was overwhelmed. This moment marks the beginning of modern cosmology, and sets the primary requirement on theory.

The expansion affects the wavelengths of radiation and the distances between galaxies, but it does not affect the size and internal distances of gravitationally bound systems such as the Solar system, the Milky Way or other galaxies. The expansion appears as if all astronomical objects were receding from us and we were at the center of the Universe. But the Cosmological Principle does not allow a center, and therefore every observer, regardless of position, will have the same impression. Thus the observed recession is really a general expansion.

Equation (1) shows that the Hubble parameter has the dimension of inverse time. Thus a characteristic timescale for the expansion of the Universe is the *Hubble time* $\tau_{\rm H} = H_0^{-1}$, and the size scale of the observable Universe is the *Hubble radius* $r_{\rm H} = \tau_{\rm H} c$. In Section 5 we shall discuss measurements of H_0 . Using the dimensionless quantity $h = H_0/(100 \,\mathrm{km \, s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}})$ which has the value $h \approx 0.72$, we can derive

 $\tau_{\rm H} \equiv H_0^{-1} = 9.78 \, h^{-1} \times 10^9 \, \text{yr}, \quad r_{\rm H} \equiv \tau_{\rm H} \, c = 3000 h^{-1} \, \text{Mpc}.$

Radiation traveling with the speed of light *c* reaches $r_{\rm H}$ in time $\tau_{\rm H}$. Note that Hubble's law is non-relativistic, objects beyond $r_{\rm H}$ would be expected to attain recession velocities exceeding *c*, which is an absolute limit in the theory of special relativity.

(2)

The size of the expanding Universe is unknown and immeasurable, but it is convenient to express distances at different epochs in terms of a *cosmic scale factor*: at time t the scale was a(t) when the present value is $a(t_0) \equiv a_0 \equiv 1$. The rate of change of the scale factor can then be identified with the Hubble parameter, $H(t) = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ (to first-order time differences).

4. Special Relativity and Metrics

In Einstein's theory of special relativity one studies how signals are exchanged between inertial frames in motion with constant velocity with respect to each other. Einstein postulated that

- The results of measurements in different frames must be identical, and
- Light travels at a constant velocity in vacuum, c, in all frames.

Consider two linear axes x and x' in one-dimensional space, x' being at rest and x moving with constant velocity v in the positive x' direction. Time increments are measured in the two coordinate systems as dt and dt' using two identical clocks. Neither the spatial increments dx and dx' nor the time increments are invariants – they do not obey the first postulate. Let us replace dt and dt' with the *temporal distances* c dt and c dt' and look for a linear transformation between the primed and unprimed frames under which the two-dimensional *space-time distance* element ds between two *space-time events*,

$$ds^{2} = c^{2} d\tau^{2} \equiv c^{2} dt^{2} - dx^{2} = c^{2} dt'^{2} - dx'^{2} \equiv c^{2} d\tau'^{2}, \qquad (3)$$

is invariant. The quantity $d\tau$ is called the *proper time* and ds the *line element*.

Invoking the second postulate it is easy to show that the transformation must be of the form

$$dx' = \gamma (dx - v dt), \quad c dt' = \gamma (c dt - v dx/c), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\gamma = (1 - (\nu/c)^2)^{-1/2}.$$
(5)

Equation (4) defines the Lorentz transformation, after Hendrik Antoon Lorentz (1853–1928). Scalar products (such as $d\tau^2$ and dx^2) in this two-dimensional (ct, x)-space-time are invariants under Lorentz transformations. For example, a particle with mass m moving with velocity three-vector \mathbf{v} and three-momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$ is described in four-dimensional space-time by the four-vector $P = (E/c, \mathbf{p})$. The scalar product P^2 is an invariant related to the mass, $P^2 = (E/c)^2 - p^2 = (\gamma mc)^2$. For a particle at rest, this gives Einstein's famous formula

$$E = mc^2. (6)$$

It follows that time intervals measured in the two frames are related by $dt = \gamma dt'$. This *time dilation effect* is only noticeably when v approaches c. It has been confirmed in particle accelerators and by muons produced in cosmic ray collisions in the upper atmosphere. These unstable particles have well-known lifetimes in the laboratory, but when they strike Earth with relativistic velocities, they appear to have a longer lifetime by the factor γ .

The Lorentz transformations (4) can immediately be generalized to three spatial coordinates x, y, z, so that the *metric* (3) is replaced by the four-dimensional metric of *Hermann Minkowski* (1864–1909),

$$ds^{2} = c^{2} d\tau^{2} = c^{2} dt^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{2} \equiv c^{2} dt^{2} - dl^{2}.$$
 (7)

The trajectory of a body moving in space-time is called its *world line*. A body at a fixed location in space follows a world line parallel to the time axis in the direction of increasing time. A moving body follows a world line making a slope with respect to the time axis. Since the speed of a body or a signal traveling from one event to another cannot exceed the speed of light, there is a maximum slope to such world lines. All world lines for which ct < 0 and arriving at t = 0 form our *past light cone*, thus they enclose the present observable universe. All world lines for which ct > 0 and starting from where we are now can influence events inside our *future light cone*. Two separate events in space-time can be causally connected provided their spatial separation dl and

 their temporal separation dt (in any frame) obey $|d\mathbf{l}/dt| \le c$. Their world line is then inside the light cone. In Figure (1) we draw this four-dimensional cone in t, x, y-space (suppressing the *z* direction).

Special relativity thus revised our concept of space-time and made it four-dimensional. Riemann and others realized that Euclidean geometry was just a particular choice suited to flat space, but not necessarily correct in the space we inhabit. Consider the path in three-space followed by a free body obeying Newton's first law of motion. This path represents the shortest distance between any two points along it, called a *geodesic* of the space. In flat Euclidean space the geodesics are straight lines. But measurements of distances depend on the geometric properties of space, as has been known to navigators ever since Earth was understood to be spherical. A spherical surface is characterized by its radius of curvature which causes the geodesics to be great circles.

Suppose an observer wants to make a map of points in the expanding Universe. It is then no longer convenient to use the coordinates x, y, z in Equations (3) and (7) nor the spherical coordinates R, θ, ϕ , because the cosmic expansion would quickly outdate the map. Instead it is convenient to factor out the expansion a(t) and replace the radial distance R by $a(t)\sigma$, where σ is a dimensionless stationary *comoving* coordinate.

If the four-dimensional space happens to be curved just like the surface of Earth, a *Gaussian curvature k* may be included in the Minkowski metric. The parameter k can take on the values +1, 0, -1, corresponding to a three-sphere, a flat three-space, and a three-hyperboloid, respectively. The metric of four-dimensional space-time can then be written in the form derived independently by *Howard Robertson* and *Arthur Walker* in 1934:

$$ds^{2} = c^{2} dt^{2} - dl^{2} = c^{2} dt^{2} - a(t)^{2} \left(\frac{d\sigma^{2}}{1 - k\sigma^{2}} + \sigma^{2} d\theta^{2} + \sigma^{2} \sin^{2} \theta d\phi^{2} \right).$$
(8)

This metric (RW) can describe an expanding, spatially homogeneous and isotropic universe in accord with the cosmological principle.

Of course there was a motivation for introducing curvature: General Relativity, to which we shall come in Section 6.

-

TO ACCESS ALL THE **38 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

⁻

Bibliography

Harrison E. (1987). *Darkness at night*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA. [A review of all historical explanations of Olbers' paradox.]

Peacock J.A. (1999). *Cosmological physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. [A comprehensive introduction to modern cosmology.]

Roos M. (2003). *Introduction to Cosmology*, Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, England. [A textbook of cosmology at an introductory level.

Biographical Sketch

Matts Roos was born in Helsinki, Finland, in 1931. He studied technical physics at the Technical University of Helsinki to become Master of Engineering (1956), Licentiate of Technology (1960), and Doctor of Technology (1967). He studied undergraduate physics and atomic physics at Union College, Schenectady, NY 1950-51, entered the Finnish army Signal corps 1955, and concluded as Ensign (1956). Subsequently he worked as Nuclear reactor engineer in Stockholm (1957-59), as Research assistant in the Institution of Theoretical Physics, University of Stockholm (1959-62), as Fellow at NORDITA (1962-64), as Visiting scientist at the Niels Bohr Institute (1964-65), as Fellow (1965-67) and Staff member at CERN (1957-71), as Associate professor of nuclear physics, University of Helsinki (1970-77), as Personal extraordinary professor of particle physics, University of Helsinki 1977-96, as Director of the High Energy Physics Laboratory, University of Helsinki (1992-96) until retirement in 1996.

A founding member of the Particle Data Group (1963-2004), he has published over 150 research papers, co-authored two books (one translated twice), and authored three editions of the book Introduction to Cosmology, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, England, 1993, 1997, 2003. His current research interest is cosmology, previous interests particle physics (meson spectroscopy, weak interactions, neutrino physics) and quantum mechanics.

Emeritus Prof. Roos has been awarded the Finnish State Order of Merit SVR R1 in 1995. Member of the European Physical Society (1971–2004), the Finnish Physical Society (1971–), the Finnish National Committee of IUPAP (1980–83), Societas Scientiarum Fennica (1990–), and the International Astronomical Union (1994–). Through his membership in the Finnish Painters' Union (1997–) and the Artists' Association of Finland he is also a member of the International Association of Art IAA.

©Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS)