

MATHEMATICAL MODELS OF TRANSPORTATION AND NETWORKS

Anna Nagurney

University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts USA

Keywords: transportation, user-optimization, system-optimization, network equilibrium, traffic assignment, variational inequalities, complex networks, centralized versus decentralized decision-making, Braess paradox, dynamics of networks, Internet, supply chains, electric power generation and distribution networks, financial networks, network metrics and importance identification, transportation network vulnerability

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Fundamental Decision-Making Concepts and Models
 - 2.1. User-Optimization versus System-Optimization
 - 2.1.1. The User-Optimized Problem
 - 2.1.2. The System-Optimized Problem
 - 2.1.3. The Braess Paradox
 3. Models with Asymmetric Link Costs
 - 3.1. Variational Inequality Formulations of Fixed Demand Problems
 - 3.2. Variational Inequality Formulations of Elastic Demand Problems
 - 3.3 Other Network Equilibrium Problems and Transportation
4. Dynamics
5. A Transportation Network Efficiency Measure and the Importance of Network Components
6. Conclusions
- Acknowledgements
- Glossary
- Bibliography
- Biographical Sketch

Summary

In this chapter, we provide the foundations of the rigorous formulation, analysis, and solution of transportation network problems. We discuss user-optimization, which corresponds to decentralized decision-making, and system-optimization, which corresponds to centralized decision-making where the central controller can route the traffic in an optimal manner. We describe a spectrum of increasingly sophisticated models and also relate transportation networks to other network application domains in which flows (and associated decision-making) are essential, such as the Internet, supply chains, electric power distribution and generation networks, as well as financial networks. Finally, we demonstrate how the importance of transportation network components, that is, nodes and links can be identified (and ranked) through a recently proposed transportation network efficiency measure and accompanying component importance definition. Examples are included throughout the chapter for illustrative purposes.

1. Introduction

Transportation networks are complex, large-scale systems, and come in a variety of forms, such as road, rail, air, and waterway networks. Transportation networks provide the foundation for the functioning of our economies and societies through the movement of people, goods, and services. From an economic perspective, the supply in such network systems is represented by the underlying network topology and the cost characteristics whereas the demand is represented by the users of the transportation system. An equilibrium occurs when the number of trips between an origin (e.g., residence/place of employment) and destination (place of employment/residence) equals the travel demand given by the market price, typically, represented by the travel time for the trips (Nagurney (2004)).

The study of transportation networks and their efficient management dates to ancient times. It is known, for example, that Romans imposed controls over chariot traffic during different times of day in order to deal with the congestion (see Banister and Button (1993)). From an economic perspective, some of the earliest contributions to the subject date to Kohl (1841) and to Pigou (1920), who considered a two-node, two-link transportation network, identified congestion as a problem, and recognized that distinct behavioral concepts regarding route selection may prevail (see also Knight (1924)).

The formal study of transportation networks has challenged transportation scientists, economists, operations researchers, engineers, and physicists for reasons, including: the size and scope of the systems involved; the behavior of the users of the network which may vary according to the application setting, thereby leading to different optimality/equilibrium concepts; distinct classes of users may perceive the cost of utilizing the network in an individual fashion, and congestion, which is playing an increasing role in numerous transportation networks.

For example, to help one fix the size and scope of modern-day transportation networks, we point out that the topology of the Chicago Regional Transportation Network consists of 12,982 nodes, 39,018 links, and 2,297,945 origin/destination pairs of nodes between which travelers choose their routes (cf. Bar-Gera (2002)), whereas in the Southern California Association of Governments' model there are 25,428 nodes, 99,240 links, 3,217 origin/destination pairs, and 6 distinct classes of users (Wu, Florian, and He (2000)).

Road congestion results, according to estimates, in approximately \$100 billion in lost productivity in the United States alone with the figure being about \$150 billion in Europe with the number of cars expected to increase by 50 percent by 2010 and to double by 2030 (see Nagurney (2000) and the references therein). In particular, the growth in the usage of motorized vehicles, especially, cars, in the developing world, is transforming such countries as China and India. Moreover, in many of today's transportation networks, the "noncooperative" behavior of users aggravates the congestion problem. For example, in the case of urban transportation networks, travelers select their routes from an origin to a destination so as to minimize their own travel cost or travel time, which although optimal from a user's perspective (user-optimization)

may not be optimal from a societal one (system-optimization) where a decision-maker or central controller has control of the flows on the network and seeks to allocate the flows so as to minimize the total cost in the network. Coupled with road congestion is increasing pollution, another negative externality, which is further impacting the world that we live in (see Nagurney (2000)).

The famous Braess (1968) paradox example, illustrates the distinction between noncooperative (or user-optimized) behavior versus system-optimized behavior, in a concrete, vivid way. In that example, it is assumed that the underlying behavioral principle is that of user-optimization and travelers select their routes accordingly. In the Braess network, the addition of a new road with no change in travel demand results in all travelers in the network incurring a higher travel cost. Hence, they are all worse off after the addition of the new road! Actual practical instances of such a phenomenon have been identified in New York City and in Stuttgart, Germany. In 1990, 42nd Street in New York was closed for Earth Day, and the traffic flow in the area improved (see Kolata (1990)). In Stuttgart, in turn, a new road was added to the downtown, but the traffic flow worsened and, following complaints, the new road was torn down (cf. Bass (1992)). Similar experiences have been found recently in Seoul, Korea (Vidal (2006)). Interestingly, this phenomenon is also relevant to telecommunications networks (see Korilis, Lazar, and Orda (1999)) and, specifically, to the Internet (cf. Cohen and Kelly (1990) and Nagurney, Parkes, and Daniele (2006)). Such a result does not occur in system-optimized networks where the addition of a new road/link, if used, would lower the total network cost. Today, congestion pricing is an active topic of research, and tolls have had success in ameliorating traffic by altering people's behavior in various cities around the world, including the much-publicized London, United Kingdom experience (see, e.g., Lawphongpanich, Hearn, and Smith (2006)).

In this chapter, we recall the foundations of the rigorous study of transportation networks and we trace the evolution of modeling frameworks for their study. The exposition is meant to be accessible to practitioners and to students, as well as to researchers and policy makers and to those interested in related network topics. Technical derivations and further supporting documentation are referred to in the citations. Further useful material and a supplementary chronological perspective of developments on this topic can be found in the review articles of Florian (1986), Boyce, LeBlanc, and Chon (1988), and Florian and Hearn (1995); in the books by Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956), Sheffi (1985), Patriksson (1994), Ran and Boyce (1996), Nagurney (1999, 2000), Nagurney and Dong (2002a), and in the volumes edited by Florian (1976, 1984), Volmuller and Hamerslag (1984), Lesort (1996), Marcotte and Nguyen (1998), Gendreau and Marcotte (2002), Taylor (2002), Mahmassani (2005), Bar-Gera and Boyce (2005), and Nagurney (2006a, b).

This chapter, specifically, overviews some of the methodologies, whose very development, has been motivated by the need to formulate, analyze, and solve transportation network problems. It also relates the contributions of transportation modeling and algorithmic advances to other network application domains. Finally, given the importance of transportation networks and the closely related telecommunication, electric power generation and distribution networks, supply chain, as well as financial networks (cf. Nagurney (2006a,b)), we also, for completeness,

discuss a network efficiency measure, which enables the identification of the critical nodes and links. This measure was proposed by Nagurney and Qiang (2007) and can aid policy makers, planners, engineers, as well as network designers in identifying which network components need to be protected, since their absence due, for example, to destruction by natural disasters, structural failures, terrorist attacks, etc., has the greatest impact. Hence, the transportation network is most vulnerable when such nodes/links are removed from the system.

2. Fundamental Decision-Making Concepts and Models

Over half a century ago, Wardrop (1952) explicitly considered alternative possible behaviors of users of transportation networks, notably, urban transportation networks and stated two principles, which are commonly named after him:

First Principle: The journey times of all routes actually used are equal, and less than those which would be experienced by a single vehicle on any unused route.

Second Principle: The average journey time is minimal.

The first principle corresponds to the behavioral principle in which travelers seek to (unilaterally) determine their minimal costs of travel whereas the second principle corresponds to the behavioral principle in which the total cost in the network is minimal.

Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956) were the first to rigorously formulate these conditions mathematically. Specifically, Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956) established the equivalence between the *transportation network equilibrium* conditions, which state that all used paths connecting an origin/destination (O/D) pair will have equal and minimal travel times (or costs) (corresponding to Wardrop’s first principle), and the Kuhn-Tucker (1951) conditions of an appropriately constructed optimization problem, under a symmetry assumption on the underlying functions. Hence, in this case, the equilibrium link and path flows could be obtained as the solution of a mathematical programming problem. Their approach made the formulation, analysis, and subsequent computation of solutions to transportation network problems based on actual transportation networks realizable.

Dafermos and Sparrow (1969) coined the terms *user-optimized* (U-O) and *system-optimized* (S-O) transportation networks to distinguish between two distinct situations in which, respectively, users act unilaterally, in their own self-interest, in selecting their routes, and in which users select routes according to what is optimal from a societal point of view, in that the total cost in the network system is minimized. In the latter problem, marginal total costs rather than average costs are equilibrated. The former problem coincides with Wardrop’s first principle, and the latter with Wardrop’s second principle. See Table 1 for the two distinct behavioral principles underlying transportation networks.

User-Optimization		System-Optimization
⇓		⇓
User Equilibrium Principle:		System Optimality Principle:

User travel costs on used paths for each O/D pair are equalized and minimal.	Marginals of the total travel cost on used paths for each O/D pair are equalized and minimal.
--	---

Table 1. Distinct Behavior on Transportation Networks

The concept of “system-optimization” is also relevant to other types of “routing models” in transportation, as well as in communications (cf. Bertsekas and Gallager (1992)), including those concerned with the routing of freight and computer messages, respectively. Dafermos and Sparrow (1969) also provided explicit computational procedures, that is, *algorithms*, to compute the solutions to such network problems in the case where the user travel cost on a link was an increasing (in order to handle congestion) function of the flow on the particular link, and linear. Today, the concepts of user-optimization versus system-optimization also capture, respectively, decentralized versus centralized decision-making on networks, including, the Internet (cf. Roughgarden (2005) and Boyce, Mahmassani, and Nagurney (2005)).

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 39 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Ahuja, R. K., Magnanti, T. L., and Orlin, J. B. (1993) *Network Flows: Theory, Algorithms, and Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey. [Book that contains models, algorithms, and applications of network flow problems with a primary focus on networks with linear and fixed costs on links.]

Banister, D., and Button, K. J. (1993), “Environmental Policy and Transport: An Overview”, in *Transport, the Environment, and Sustainable Development*, D. Banister and K. J. Button, editors, E. & F. N., London, England. [Overview of the subject, including a historical perspective.]

Barabási, A. L., and Albert, R. (1999), “Emergence of Scaling in Random Networks”, *Science* **286**, 509-512. [Paper focuses on power laws in networks.]

Bar-Gera, H. (2002), “Origin-based Algorithms for the Traffic Assignment Problem”, *Transportation Science* **36**, 398-417. [An efficient algorithm for large-scale transportation network equilibrium problems with separable user link cost functions.]

Bar-Gera, H., and Boyce, D. E. (2005), “Some Amazing Properties of Road Traffic Network Equilibria”, Presented at the *Workshop on Network Science, Nonlinear Science and Infrastructure Systems*, University Park, Pennsylvania. [Provides an empirical analysis of route flows.]

Barrat, A., Barthélemy, M., and Vespignani, A. (2005), “The Effects of Spatial Constraints on the Evolution of Weighted Complex Networks”, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics*, Article No. P05003. [Recent approach to complex networks.]

Bass, T. (1992), “Road to Ruin”, *Discover*, May, 56-61. [Illustrates the Braess paradox in practice.]

Bazaraa, M. S., Sherali, H. D., and Shetty, C. M. (1993), *Nonlinear Programming: Theory and Algorithms*, second edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York. [An excellent textbook on nonlinear programming.]

Beckmann, M. J., McGuire, C. B., and Winsten, C. B. (1956), *Studies in the Economics of Transportation*, Yale University Press, New Haven, Connecticut. [The classic book that formulates the transportation network equilibrium problem with elastic demands and separable user link cost functions and travel disutility functions as an associated optimization problem. The book has several parts and besides urban transportation network models and analyses it also contains models of railroad transportation as well as unsolved problems.]

Bertsekas, D. P., and Gallager, R. (1992), *Data Networks*, second edition, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. [Text on telecommunication networks that also includes a discussion on traffic networks and how they are related to telecommunication flows.]

Boyce, D. E. (1980), “A Framework for Constructing Network Equilibrium Models of Urban Location”, *Transportation Science* **14**, 77-96. [Models for location problems from a network equilibrium perspective.]

Boyce, D. E., Chon, K. S., Lee, Y. J., Lin, K. T., and LeBlanc, L. J. (1983), “Implementation and Computational Issues for Combined Models of Location, Destination, Mode, and Route Choice”, *Environment and Planning A* **15**, 1219-1230. [Argues for integrated transportation network equilibrium models.]

Boyce, D. E., LeBlanc, L. J., and Chon, K. S. (1988), “Network Equilibrium Models of Urban Location and Travel Choices: A Retrospective Survey”, *Journal of Regional Science* **28**, 159-183. [Survey of integrated network equilibrium models that combine location and travel choices.]

Boyce, D. E., Mahmassani, H. S., and Nagurney, A. (2005), “A Retrospective on Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten’s *Studies in the Economics of Transportation*”, *Papers in Regional Science* **84**, 85-103. [An overview of the impact of the classic book over 50 years.]

Braess, D. (1968), “Über ein Paradoxon der Verkehrsplanung”, *Unternehmensforschung* **12**, 258-268. [Classic paper that not only introduced what is now known as the Braess paradox but also presented formulations of different models of travel behavior.]

Braess, D., Nagurney, A., and Wakolbinger, T. (2005), “On a Paradox of Traffic Planning”, Translation of the Original D. Braess Paper from German to English”, *Transportation Science* **39**, 446-450. [A translation of the classic Braess (1968) paper.]

Chassin, D. P., and Posse, C. (2005), “Evaluating North American Electric Grid Reliability using the Barabási-Albert Network Model”, *Physica A* **355**, 667-677. [An application of a complex network perspective to electric power grids.]

Cohen, J., and Kelley, F. P. (1990), “A Paradox of Congestion on a Queuing Network”, *Journal of Applied Probability* **27**, 730-734. [An illustration of a Braess-type paradox in queuing networks.]

Dafermos, S. C. (1972), “The Traffic Assignment Problem for Multimodal Networks”, *Transportation Science* **6**, 73-87. [This paper extends the theory of transportation network equilibrium problems that can be reformulated as associated optimization problems to the case of multimodal (or multiclass) transportation networks.]

Dafermos, S. C. (1973), “Toll Patterns for Multi-class User Transportation Networks”, *Transportation Science* **7**, 211-223. [This paper demonstrates how to construct tolls in the case of multi-class transportation networks so that, once assigned, the user-optimizing flow pattern is, at the same time, system-optimizing. Hence, users of the transportation network will behave individually in a manner that is also optimal from a system (or societal) point of view, after the tolls are assigned.]

Dafermos, S. C. (1976), “Integrated Equilibrium Flow Models for Transportation Planning”, in *Traffic Equilibrium Methods, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* **118**, pp. 106-118, M. A. Florian, editor, Springer-Verlag, New York. [This paper demonstrates how, through the use of abstract networks, or what we commonly refer to now as *supernetworks*, a variety of decision-making problems on networks can be transformed into transportation network equilibrium problems with origin/destination pairs and associated paths, costs, and flows.]

Dafermos, S. (1980), “Traffic Equilibrium and Variational Inequalities”, *Transportation Science* **14**, 42-54. [A classic paper that identified that the formulation of Smith (1979) of transportation network equilibrium with fixed demands (and user link costs that could be asymmetric) was actually a finite-dimensional variational inequality problem. An algorithm was also proposed for the computation of the equilibrium link flow pattern.]

Dafermos, S. (1982), “The General Multimodal Network Equilibrium Problem with Elastic Demand”, *Networks* **12**, 57-72. [This paper presented a multimodal transportation network equilibrium model with elastic demands and formulated the problem as a variational inequality problem. It also provided qualitative analysis of the equilibrium pattern as well as a computational procedure.]

Dafermos, S. (1986), “Isomorphic Multiclass Spatial Price and Multimodal Traffic Network Equilibrium Models”, *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **16**, 197-209. [This paper showed that multiclass spatial price equilibrium problems could be transformed over appropriately constructed abstract networks into elastic demand multimodal transportation or traffic network equilibrium problems. Hence, the tools developed for the study of the latter could be applied to the study of the former. This paper generalized the result of Dafermos and Nagurney (1985); see below.]

Dafermos, S., and Nagurney, A. (1984), “On Some Traffic Equilibrium Theory Paradoxes”, *Transportation Research B* **18**, 101-110. [This paper provided sensitivity analysis formulae for fixed demand traffic equilibrium problems with asymmetric user link cost functions.]

Dafermos, S., and Nagurney, A. (1985), “Isomorphism Between Spatial Price and Traffic Network Equilibrium Models”, LCDS #85-17, Lefschetz Center for Dynamical Systems, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island. [This study established how spatial price equilibrium problems could be transformed into traffic or transportation network equilibrium problems over appropriately constructed abstract networks.]

Dafermos, S. C., and Sparrow, F. T. (1969), “The Traffic Assignment Problem for a General Network”, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards* **73B**, 91-118. [A classic paper in which the terms “user-optimization” and “system-optimization” are coined. It provides algorithms that exploit the network structure for the solution of system-optimized and user-optimized problems in the case of user link cost functions that are separable and with fixed travel demands. It also relates the user-optimized problem, also known as the transportation network equilibrium problem or traffic assignment problem, to Nash equilibrium.]

Daganzo, C. F., and Sheffi, Y. (1977), “On Stochastic Models of Traffic Assignment”, *Transportation Science* **11**, 253-174. [This paper proposes stochastic models of traffic assignment, as opposed to deterministic models.]

Dall’Asta, L., Barrat, A., Barthélemy, M., and Vespignani, A. (2006), “Vulnerability of Weighted Networks”, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics*, Article No. P04006. [Discusses a measure and how to identify vulnerable nodes in a complex network setting.]

Dial, R. B. (1971), “Probabilistic Multipath Traffic Assignment Model which Obviates Path Enumeration”, *Transportation Research* **5**, 83-111. [A probabilistic traffic assignment model is proposed.]

Dial, R. B. (1979), “A Model and Algorithms for Multicriteria Route-Mode Choice”, *Transportation Research B* **13**, 311-316. [One of the first papers to consider multicriteria decisionmaking on transportation networks.]

Dupuis, P., and Nagurney, A. (1993), “Dynamical Systems and Variational Inequalities”, *Annals of Operations Research* **44**, 9-42. [This paper proves the equivalence between stationary points of a novel type of dynamical system and the solutions to finite-dimensional variational inequality problems. It considers the existence and uniqueness of trajectories of such systems, proposes a general iterative scheme, and demonstrates how projected dynamical systems can be used to model the disequilibrium behavior underlying transportation networks and oligopolies until an equilibrium is achieved.]

Erdős, P., and Rényi, A. (1960), “On the Evolution of Random Graphs”, *Public Mathematical Institute of Hungary Academy of Sciences* **5**, 17-61. [Introduces random graphs.]

Florian, M., editor (1976), *Traffic Equilibrium Methods, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems* **118**, Springer-Verlag, New York. [An edited, refereed compendium of state-of-the-art papers at that time.]

Florian, M., editor (1984), *Transportation Planning Models* North Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [A more recent, updated collection of papers focusing on transportation planning models.]

Florian, M. (1986), “Nonlinear Cost Network Models in Transportation Analysis”, *Mathematical Programming Study* **26**, 167-196. [A variety of transportation models.]

Florian, M., and Hearn, D. (1995), “Network Equilibrium Models and Algorithms”, in *Network Routing, Handbooks in Operations Research and Management Science* **8**, pp. 485-550, M. O. Ball, T. L. Magnanti, C. L. Monma, and G. L. Nemhauser, editors, Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [A survey article on network equilibrium models, which includes transportation models, spatial price equilibrium models, and also electronic circuits. Presents an overview also of variational inequalities and associated algorithms.]

Florian, M., and Los, M. (1982), “A New Look at Static Spatial Price Equilibrium Models”, *Regional Science and Urban Economics* **12**, 579-597. [This paper formulates a general spatial price equilibrium problem on a general network as a variational inequality problem.]

Florian, M., and Spiess, H. (1982), “The Convergence of Diagonalization Algorithms for Asymmetric Network Equilibrium Problems”, *Transportation Research B* **16**, 447-483. [Provides convergence results of a variational inequality-based algorithm, which is, sometimes, also referred to as a relaxation algorithm.]

Frank, M. and Wolfe, P. (1956), “An Algorithm for Quadratic Programming”, *Naval Research Logistics Quarterly* **3**, 95-110. [A classic paper in which a convex programming algorithm is proposed which has also been widely applied in practice for the solution of transportation network problems with separable user link cost functions.]

Friesz, T. L., and Harker, P. T. (1985), “Freight Network Equilibrium: A Review of the State of the Art”, in *Analytical Studies in Transportation Economics*, A. F. Daughety, editor, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, pp. 161-206. [A survey to that date of freight network equilibrium models, algorithms, and applications.]

Gendreau, M., and Marcotte, P., editors (2002), *Transportation and Network Analysis: Current Trends: Miscellanea in Honor of Michael Florian*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Massachusetts. [An edited collection of transportation and network-related papers in honor of Michael Florian.]

Holme, P., Kim, B. J., Yoon, C. N., and Han, S. K. (2002), “Attack Vulnerability of Complex Networks”, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, Article No. 056109. [A complex network approach to identifying vulnerable components of a network.]

Jenelius, E., Petersen, T., and Mattsson, L. (2006), “Importance and Exposure in Road Network Vulnerability Analysis”, *Transportation Research A* **40**, 537-560. [Proposes importance indicator measures for links in transportation networks and applies them to transportation road networks in northern Sweden.]

Kinderlehrer, D., and Stampacchia, G. (1980), *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York. [A classic text on variational inequalities with a focus on infinite-dimensional problems and applications.]

Knight, F. H. (1924), “Some Fallacies in the Interpretation of Social Cost”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **38**, 582-606. [One of the first papers to distinguish between different types of travel behavior.]

Kohl, J. G. (1841), “Der Verkehr und die Ansiedelungen der Menschen in ihrer Abhängigkeit von der Gestaltung der Erdoberfläche“, Arnold, Dresden, Germany. [May be the first paper to identify different types of travel behavior on networks.]

Kolata, G. (1990), “What if They Closed 42nd Street and Nobody Noticed?” *The New York Times*, December 25, C1. [Discusses the Braess paradox in the context of New York City when 42nd street was closed.]

Korilis, Y. A., Lazar, A. A., and Orda, A. (1999), “Avoiding the Braess Paradox in Non-Cooperative Networks”, *Journal of Applied Probability* **36**, 211-222. [Relates the Braess paradox to its occurrence in telecommunications networks, including the Internet, and how to avoid the paradox, in certain cases.]

Kuhn, H. W., and Tucker, A. W. (1951), “Nonlinear Programming”, in *Proceedings of Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, J. Neyman, editor, University of California Press, Berkeley, California, pp. 481-491. [A classic paper, which unveiled what are now referred to as the Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions of nonlinear programming.]

Latora, V., and Marchiori, M. (2001), “Efficient Behavior of Small-world Networks”, *Physical Review Letters* **87**, Article No. 198701. [Introduced a network efficiency measure for what are referred to, by physicists, in particular, as complex networks. The measure includes shortest path information between pairs of nodes in a network, but does not explicitly capture behavior nor demands and associated flows.]

Latora, V., and Marchiori, M. (2002), “Is the Boston Subway a Small-world Network?”, *Physica A* **314**, 109-113. [An application of the Latora-Marchiori efficiency measure to the Boston subway system.]

Latora, V., and Marchiori, M. (2004), “How the Science of Complex Networks can Help Developing Strategies Against Terrorism”, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals* **20**, 69-75. [An application of the Latora-Marchiori measure to terrorist networks.]

Lawphongpanich, S., Hearn, D. W., and Smith, M. J., editors, (2006), *Mathematical and Computational Models for Congestion Pricing*, Springer, New York. [State-of-the-art volume on congestion pricing models.]

LeBlanc, L. J., Morlok, E. K., and Pierskalla, W. P. (1975), “An Efficient Approach to Solving the Road Network Equilibrium Traffic Assignment Problem”, *Transportation Research* **9**, 309-318. [One of the first algorithms applied to compute solutions to traffic network equilibrium problems.]

Lesort, J. B., editor (1996), *Transportation and Traffic Theory*, Elsevier, Oxford, England. [A compendium of transportation-related papers.]

Liu, Z., and Nagurney, A. (2006), “Financial Networks with Intermediation and Transportation Network Equilibria: A Supernetwork Equivalence and Reinterpretation of the Equilibrium Conditions with Computations”, *Computational Management Science*, in press. [Demonstrates how financial networks with intermediation can be transformed into transportation network equilibrium models with fixed demands over appropriately constructed abstract networks or supernetworks. The paper resolved an open question posed by Copeland in 1952 and shows that money flows like transportation flows.]

Mahmassani, H. S., editor (2005), *Transportation and Traffic Theory*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [An edited proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory.]

Mahmassani, H. S., Peeta, S., Hu, T. Y., and Ziliaskopoulos, A. (1993), “Dynamic Traffic Assignment with Multiple User Classes for Real-Time ATIS/ATMS Applications”, in *Large Urban Systems, Proceedings of the Advanced Traffic Management Conference*, pp. 91-114, Federal Highway Administration, US Department of Transportation, Washington, DC. [An approach for real-time, dynamic traffic assignment.]

Marcotte, P., and Nguyen, S., editors (1998), *Equilibrium and Advanced Transportation Modelling*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Massachusetts. [An edited volume of papers with a focus on transportation and equilibrium modeling.]

Nagurney, A. (1984), “Computational Comparisons of Algorithms for General Asymmetric Traffic Equilibrium Problems with Fixed and Elastic Demands”, *Transportation Research B* **18**, 469-485. [The first paper to conduct a systematic study of variational inequality algorithms for the solution of asymmetric transportation network equilibrium problems.]

Nagurney, A. (1999), *Network Economics: A Variational Inequality Approach*, second and revised edition, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. [The second edition of the 1993 book by the same name and by the same author. The book is a classic and the first book on finite-dimensional variational inequality problems with applications. Presents the network structure of numerous equilibrium problems in economics, engineering, and operations research/management science, along with the variational inequality formulations. Provides tools for qualitative analysis of equilibria, including stability and

sensitivity analysis, algorithms for the solution of variational inequality problems, as well as many examples. Also, has problems for self study and/or pedagogical use and includes discussions of projected dynamical systems and applications.]

Nagurney, A. (2000), *Sustainable Transportation Networks*, Edward Elgar Publishers, Cheltenham, England. [A book which lays out the underlying theories for sustainable transportation. It contains many paradoxes and proposes distinct policy instruments for congestion and pollution amelioration.]

Nagurney, A. (2004), “Spatial Equilibration in Transport Networks”, in *Handbook of Transport Geography and Spatial Systems*, D. A. Hensher, K. J. Button, K. E. Haynes, and P. R. Stopher, editors, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 583-608. [The chapter is an overview of the subject.]

Nagurney, A. (2006a), “On the Relationship between Supply Chain and Transportation Network Equilibria: A Supernetwork Equivalence with Computations”, *Transportation Research E* **42**, 293-316. [This paper proves that supply chain network equilibrium problems can be reformulated and solved as transportation network equilibrium problems. It also provides, an entirely new interpretation of supply chain network equilibria in terms of paths and associated costs and flows.]

Nagurney, A. (2006b), *Supply Chain Network Economics: Dynamics of Prices, Flows, and Profits*, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, England. [This book provides a variety of network-based dynamic models and applications of supply chains, including electric power supply chains as well as financial networks with intermediation. It utilizes variational inequality theory (both finite, as well as infinite-dimensional) and projected dynamical systems theory to discuss the underlying dynamics of supply chains. It contains problems for self study and/or pedagogical use.]

Nagurney, A., and Dong, J. (2002a), *Supernetworks: Decision-Making for the Information Age*, Edward Elgar Publishers, Cheltenham, England. [This book serves as an introduction to supernetworks. It traces the history of the term and models such relevant topics as commuting versus telecommuting decision-making; shopping versus teleshopping decisionmaking, financial decision-making in the electronic era, and other relevant applications in the Information Age in a unified way.]

Nagurney, A., and Dong, J. (2002b), “Urban Location and Transportation in the Information Age: A Multiclass, Multicriteria Network Equilibrium Perspective”, *Environment & Planning B* **29**, 53-74. [This paper develops a multicriteria decision-making network equilibrium model to assist in complex urban location and transportation decisions.]

Nagurney, A., Dong, J., and Mokhtarian, P. L. (2002a), “Teleshopping versus Shopping: A Multicriteria Network Equilibrium Framework”, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **34**, 783-798. [This paper develops a multicriteria network equilibrium model to assess the tradeoffs associated with shopping versus teleshopping.]

Nagurney, A., Dong, J., and Mokhtarian, P. L. (2002b), “Multicriteria Network Equilibrium Modeling with Variable Weights for Decision-Making in the Information Age with Applications to Telecommuting and Teleshopping”, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **26**, 1629-1650. [This paper introduces variables weights to multicriteria network equilibrium modeling with a focus on telecommuting and teleshopping.]

Nagurney, A., Dong, J., and Zhang, D. (2002), “A Supply Chain Network Equilibrium Model”, *Transportation Research E* **38**, 281-303. [This is the first supply chain network equilibrium model. It is multitiered and captures the behavior of the decision-makers, that is, the manufacturers, the retailers, and the consumers at the demand markets who compete across a tier of the network but cooperate between tiers. A computational procedure is also provided, along with numerical examples.]

Nagurney, A., and Liu, Z. (2005), “Transportation Network Equilibrium Reformulations of Electric Power Supply Chain Networks with Computations”, Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts. [This study proves that electric power generation and distribution networks can be transformed into transportation network equilibrium problems, thus, validating a hypothesis first posed in the book by Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956).]

Nagurney, A., Loo, J., Dong, J., and Zhang, D. (2002), “Supply Chain Networks and Electronic Commerce: A Theoretical Perspective”, *Netnomics* , 187-220. [This paper demonstrates how electronic commerce can be captured in a supply chain network equilibrium modeling framework.]

Nagurney, A., and Qiang, Q. (2007) “A Transportation Network Efficiency Measure that Captures Flows, Behavior, and Costs with Applications to Network Component Importance Identification and Vulnerability”, Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts; accepted for the POMS Conference, Dallas, Texas, May 4-7, 2007. [This paper proposes a transportation network efficiency measure that generalizes the measure of Latora and Marchiori (2001) to include demands and flows, behavior, as well as incurred travel costs. It also defines an importance indicator based on the measure that can be used to assess the importance of network components, such as nodes and links, or a combination thereof. Examples are included to demonstrate the efficacy of the measure.]

Nagurney, A., and Qiang, Q. (2007b) “A Network Efficiency Measure with Application to Critical Infrastructure Networks”, (to appear in *Journal of Global Optimization*) [Proposes a network efficiency measure that captures demands, flows, costs, and users’ behavior. Also, shows how the measure can be used to identify the importance of network components and applies the measure to several critical infrastructure networks (including an electric power one) to identify which are the most important links (and nodes) from a vulnerability and, hence, security, standpoint). The paper also relates the measure to the Latora and Marchiori network efficiency measure.]

Nagurney, A., Parkes, D., and Daniele, P. (2006), “The Internet, Evolutionary Variational Inequalities, and the Time-Dependent Braess Paradox”, *Computational Management Science*, in press. [Provided an evolutionary variational inequality formulation of multiclass network equilibrium problems that are time-dependent with a focus on the Internet. Also, shows that the Braess paradox in which demand varies over time can be formulated and solved as an evolutionary variational inequality problem, with greater insights gained as to the paradox in a time-dependent, and demand-varying, setting.]

Nagurney, A., and Zhang, D. (1996), *Projected Dynamical Systems and Variational Inequalities with Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Massachusetts. [Book that overviews the theory of projected dynamical systems along with numerous applications to dynamic transportation network problems, spatial price problems, as well as oligopolistic market equilibrium problems.]

Nash, J. F. (1950), “Equilibrium Points in N-person Games”, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **36**, 48-49. [A classic paper that introduced the Nash equilibrium concept.]

Nash, J. F. (1951), “Noncooperative Games”, *Annals of Mathematics* **54**, 286-298. [The paper that proposes noncooperative games.]

Newman, M. (2003), “The Structure and Function of Complex Networks”, *SIAM Review* **45**, 167-256. [A survey of the subject.]

Patriksson, M. (1994), *The Traffic Assignment Problem – Models and Methods*, VSP, BV, Utrecht, The Netherlands. [A state-of-the-art treatment of the traffic assignment problem to that date; comprehensive references.]

Pigou, A. C. (1920), *The Economics of Welfare*, Macmillan, London, England. [A classic volume that also makes note of different types of travel behavior on a concrete small network.]

Ran, B., and Boyce, D. E. (1996), *Modeling Dynamic Transportation Networks*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany. [Book with extensive references and developments in dynamic transportation network modeling, analysis, and computational methods.]

Roughgarden, T. (2005), *Selfish Routing and the Price of Anarchy*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. [Book with extensive references relating the similarities between transportation network equilibrium problems and other “noncooperative” networks, including the Internet. Discusses the price of anarchy and bounds on the price of anarchy, which, measure, in a sense, the cost associated with the network being operated in a user-optimized, as opposed to a system-optimized, manner.]

Samuelson, P. A. (1952), “Spatial Price Equilibrium and Linear Programming”, *American Economic Review* **42**, 283-303. [Paper that identified and exploited the bipartite network structure of spatial price equilibrium problems and also discussed the relationships to linear programming.]

Sheffi, Y. (1985), *Urban Transportation Networks: Equilibrium Analysis with Mathematical Programming Methods*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. [Classic book on transportation network equilibrium problems, models and algorithms, that included variational inequality formulations available to that date.]

Sheffi, Y. (2005), *The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts. [Book that contains many case studies regarding enterprises, including supply chains, and their vulnerabilities, and how to construct more resilient enterprises.]

Smith, M. J. (1979), “Existence, Uniqueness, and Stability of Traffic Equilibria”, *Transportation Research B* **13**, 259-304. [Provided a formulation of transportation network equilibrium problems, which were identified by Dafermos (1980) to be a finite-dimensional variational inequality problem. Opened up the modeling of transportation network (and other) equilibrium problems so that asymmetries in terms of the user link cost functions could be handled theoretically and rigorously.]

Takayama, T., and Judge, G. G. (1971), *Spatial and Temporal Price and Allocation Models*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [A collection of models, primarily linear, of spatial price equilibrium problems and applications; includes both static and temporal equilibrium models. Such models have been used as the basis for spatial equilibrium modeling and analysis of certain energy markets, minerals, many agricultural products, etc.]

Taylor, M. A. P., editor (2002), *Transportation and Traffic Theory in the 21st Century*, Pergamon Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. [An edited volume on the subject.]

Taylor, M. A., and D’este, G. M. (2004), “Critical Infrastructure and Transport Network Vulnerability: Developing a Method for Diagnosis and Assessment”, in *Proceedings of the Second International Symposium on Transportation Network Reliability (INSTR)*, A. Nicholson and A. Dantas, editors, Christchurch, New Zealand, pp. 96-102. [Proposes a method for the identification of transportation network vulnerability; argues for why such measures are needed.]

Vidal, J. (2006), “Heat and Soul of the City”, *The Guardian*, November 1. [Discusses the Braess paradox in Seoul, South Korea.]

Volmuller, J., and Hamerslag, R., editors (1984), *Proceedings of the Ninth International Symposium on Transportation and Traffic Theory*, VNU Science Press, Utrecht, The Netherlands. [An edited volume of refereed papers presented at the conference.]

Wardrop, J. G. (1952), “Some Theoretical Aspects of Road Traffic Research”, *Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers*, Part II, pp. 325-378. [Presented two principles of travel behavior, which are now named after Wardrop.]

Watts, D. J., and Strogatz, S. H. (1998), “Collective Dynamics of “Small-world” Networks”, *Nature* **393**, 440-442. [A paper which furthers the understanding of small-world networks.]

Wu, J. H., Florian, M., and He, S. G. (2000), “EMME/2 Implementation of the SCAG-II Model: Data Structure, System Analysis and Computation”, submitted to the Southern California Association of Governments, INRO Solutions Internal Report, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. [Describes an implementation of software that is applied to a large-scale transportation network equilibrium problem using real-life data.]

Wu, K., Nagurney, A., Liu, Z., and Stranlund, J. K. (2006), “Modeling Generator Power Plant Portfolios and Pollution Taxes in Electric Power Supply Chain Networks: A Transportation Network Equilibrium Transformation”, *Transportation Research D* **11**, 171-190. [Develops a multitiered electric power generation and distribution network equilibrium model, in the presence of environmental policies in the form of carbon taxes, and shows that the model can be transformed into a transportation network equilibrium and solved as such. Further supports and answers a question posed in the Beckmann, McGuire, and Winsten (1956) classic book in proving that electric power generation and distribution networks can be transformed into transportation networks.]

Zhang, D., Dong, J., and Nagurney, A. (2003), “A Supply Chain Network Economy: Modeling and Qualitative Analysis”, in *Innovations in Financial and Economic Networks*, A. Nagurney, editor, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, England, pp. 195-211. [Develops a model that extends Wardrop’s principles to supply chain versus supply chain competition.]

Zhang, D., and Nagurney, A. (1995), “On the Stability of Projected Dynamical Systems”, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **85**, 97-124. [Provides the general theory for the stability analysis of projected dynamical systems.]

Zhang, D., and Nagurney, A. (1996), “On the Local and Global Stability of a Travel Route Choice Adjustment Process”, *Transportation Research B* **30**, 245-262. [Uses projected dynamical systems theory to provide local and global stability analysis results for travel adjustment processes underlying transportation network equilibrium problems.]

Zhang, D., and Nagurney, A. (1997), “Formulation, Stability, and Computation of Traffic Network Equilibria as Projected Dynamical Systems”, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* **93**, 417-444. [Provides the theory for the stability analysis of traffic network equilibria and the computation of solutions through projected dynamical systems theory.]

Biographical Sketch

Anna Nagurney is the John F. Smith Memorial Professor in the Department of Finance and Operations Management in the Isenberg School of Management at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. She is also an Affiliated Faculty Member of the Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering and the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. She is the Founding Director of the Virtual Center for Supernetworks and the Supernetworks Laboratory for Computation and Visualization at the University of Massachusetts at Amherst. She received an AB degree in Russian Language and Literature in 1977, the ScB degree in 1977, the ScM in 1980, and the PhD degree in 1983, all in Applied Mathematics, and all from Brown University in Providence, Rhode Island. She devotes her career to education and research that combines operations research/management science, economics, and engineering. Her focus is the applied and theoretical aspects of decision-making on network systems, particularly in the areas of transportation and logistics, energy and the environment, and economics and finance. Her most recent book is *Supply Chain Network Economics: Dynamics of Prices, Flows, and Profits* published in 2006. She has authored or co-authored 8 other books including *Supernetworks: Decision-Making for the Information Age* and *Network Economics: A Variational Inequality Approach*, and more than 120 refereed journal articles.

She has given invited talks in Sweden, New Zealand, China, Germany, Italy, Canada, Australia, Cyprus, Iceland, the US, and other countries and her research has garnered funding from many foundations, including the National Science Foundation. Among the honors she has received are: the University of Massachusetts Award for Outstanding Accomplishments in Research and Creative Activity, an INFORMS Moving Spirit Award, a Science Fellowship at the Radcliffe Institute for Advanced Study at Harvard University, a Rockefeller Foundation Bellagio Center Research Team Fellowship, a Distinguished Fulbright Chair at the University of Innsbruck, Austria, two AT&T Foundation Industrial Ecology Fellowships, the Chancellor’s Medal from the University of Massachusetts, an Eisenhower Faculty Fellowship, a National Science Foundation Faculty Award for Women, a Faculty Fellowship from the University of Massachusetts, and the Kempe Prize from the University of Umea, Sweden. She has been a visiting professor at the University of Innsbruck, Austria, the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm, Sweden, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, and Brown University.

She has served on numerous prize committees, including the Fudan Premium Fund of Management Prize committee in Shanghai, China, the INFORMS Transportation Science Section Robert Herman Lifetime Achievement Prize in Transportation Science committee, the INFORMS Computer Science Technical Section prize committee, and the Discipline Advisory Committee for Fulbright Scholar Awards. Professor Nagurney is on the Advisory Board of the European Union funded COMISEF project, which is a multi-year, multi-national project and regularly serves on government panels and international conference organizing committees. She is the editor of the book series, *New Dimensions in Networks* (Edward Elgar Publishing), and the co-editor of the book series, *Advances in Computational Economics* (Springer). She is on the editorial boards of the journals: *Networks*, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, *Computational Economics*, *Computational Management Science*, *Annals of Regional Science*, *International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications*, *The Journal of Financial Decision Making*, *Netnomics: Economic Research and Electronic Networking*, *Optimization Letters*, and the *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*.