## GENE EXPRESSION AND EMBRYOGENESIS IN AMPHIBIANS

#### **Horst Grunz**

Department of Zoophysiology, University Essen, Universitätstr. 5, 45117 Essen, Germany

**Keywords:** organ engineering, embryonic induction, gene expression, evolution, Spemann organizer, neural induction, Vertical/planar signalling, autoneuralization, neural default model

#### Contents

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Historical background
- 3. The search for inducing factors
- 3.1 Isolation of the first embryonic inducing factor from chicken embryos a milestone
- for our understanding of evolutionary conserved proteins
- 3.2 The search for neuralizing factors
- 4. The Responding Tissue
- 5. Autoneuralization and the Neural Default Hypothesis
- 6. Organizer and Antiorganizers
- 7. The importance of gradients for the anterior-posterior organization of the embryo
- 8. Germ layer determination the three-signal (cascade)-model
- 9. Planar versus vertical signal during neural induction
- 10. Amphibians a model system for organ engineering
- 11. Conclusions and Prospects in the 21 st Century
- Acknowledgements

Glossary

Bibliography

**Biographical Sketch** 

### Summary

A central topic in embryology and molecular developmental biology is the early embryonic gene expression and regulation resulting in the formation of the complex adult organism (Grunz 1997, 1999).

In the last 5 years the knowledge about the molecular process during ontogenesis and evolution has dramatically improved. The scientific community of developmental biologists were strongly encouraged by the Nobel prize for 3 developmental biologists in 1995. This acknowledgment of representatives of this scientific field together with the new molecular techniques resulted in an exponential increase of gold rush-like research activities. It could be shown that many genes and their products responsible for the main pathways and pattern formation in eukaryotic organisms are evolutionary conserved. Such homologeous genes (some of them function as master control genes) are found in all phyla of the animal Kingdom. On the basis of these data new hypothesis about convergent development including new definitions of homology and analogy have been established (Halder et al., 1995a, 1995b; DeRoberts and Sasai, 1996;

DeRobertis, 1997; Grunz, 1999). Comparatives studies on the function of homologeous genes in invertebrates (Drosophila) and vertebrates (Xenopus) suggest that there existed common ancestors of these so different organisms like protostomia and deuterostomia. Nuclear transfer of somatic cells already shown in amphibians over 20 years ago could also be recently performed in mammals. These techniques (therapeutic cloning) will be used to generate replacement tissues and organs circumventing the immunological problems correlated with heterologeous organ transfer. The creation of more complex 3-dimensial vital organs, such as kidney, liver and heart under cell culture condition will be a great challenge. The amphibian ectoderm as pluripotant tissue comparable to the mammalian stem cells will also in the next future be a valuable tool to study the complicated molecular mechanism correlated with tissue and organ engineering.

So far only in amphibians it was possible to create 3-dimensional organs like heart and kidney under in vitro (cell culture) conditions, which could be used as surrogating organs in rescue experiments

#### **1. Introduction**

A central topic in Biology and Medicine is the formation of the body plan of the growing organism starting from the relative simple structure of the fertilized egg to the highly complex embryo and adult organism (see also reviews Grunz, 1997, 1999, 2000; Harland and Gerhard, 1997; DeRobertis et al., 1997). In higher organisms like invertebrates and vertebrates including humans the early embryonic development will be triggered by maternal and zygotic factors. The information for all forthcoming steps of development is stored in the huge DNA of the oocyte and every embyonic cell. However, the knowledge about the exact structure of the genome (base sequences) of an organism is not sufficient to understand the ontogenetic (individual embryonic development) and also phylogenetic (evolutionary) processes. Therefore many laboratories focus their studies on gene activation and gene regulation. Sophisticated temporal and spatial gene expression within the developing embryo collaborate with specific cell-to-cell interactions. A lot of genes code for transcription factors and special signalling factors like embryonic inducing factors. Several of them are homologues to growth factors. Since similar signal cascades leading to specific gene activation are found in normal as well as in cancer cells, the studies of cell differentiation including early embryonic development is of interest for both developmental biology and cancer research. Of special interest is the fact that comparative studies of genes and their functions in invertebrates and vertebrates (including humans) gave new insights into the mechanisms of evolution (urbilateralia-hypothesis see below). This holds true also for a specific class of closely related genes (master control genes) responsible for the eye formation of animals of all animal phyla, where eye structures or light senistive organs were found. Furthermore techniques of Molecular Developmental Biology will be essential for the progress in tissue and organ engineering. In the near future production of 3-dimensional organs like heart, liver or pancreas under cell culture conditions will be an outstanding chellange in biology and (transplantation) medicine (therapeutic cloning). Finally gold rush like research activities can be observed in the field of transgenic animals and plants or cloned organisms. The method of nuclear transplantation first used to produce genetically identical frogs (Gurdon and DeRobertis, 1977; Gurdon and Colman, 1999). The method was recently modified to produce clones of sheep, mice, cows and goals. If such methods will be applied to humans this is not only a technical problem for the scientific community, but a basic question for the whole society in the context of the potential benefits and risks for humans.

#### 2. Historical background

The organizer experiment of Hans Spemann and Hilde Mangold in 1924 initiated goldrush like activities for the search of inducing factors (Spemann and Mangold 1924, Hamburger, 1988). Spemann earned for this organizer concept the nobelprize in 1935. Frequently mentioned as Einsteck-technique it is one of the best known experiments in Biology. The organizer experiment could show that a part of the future dorsal side of a newt embryo is able to "organize" a secondary embryonic axis including the complete central nervous system, when transplanted to the ventral side of a host embryo (Grunz, 1999, 2000). Therefore Spemann named this area organizer (German: Organisator). As will be shown below the formation of the body axis of the developing embryo starting from the uncleaved egg and ending up in a complex organism is still a central topic of molecular genetics and molecular developmental biology. The observation of Spemann stimulated the scientific community after 1924 to look for (chemical) factors, so called inducing factors correlated with the organiser phenomena.

### 3. The search for inducing factors

Since the organizer is able to stimulate the formation of a secondary embryo with complete brain structures, embryologist began with the search of inducing factors shortly after the discovery of the organizer in the30s and 40s. The aim was to find a neuralizing factor responsible for the brain formation. At this time the nature of such factors were absolutely obscure. Spemann already could show that minced organizer tissue shows week neuralizing activity, indicating that chemical factors are responsible for the inductive processes. Therefore several laboratories tested different molecules like lipids, methylen blue, urea, sterols and even kaolin or silicon (reviewed in detail by Saxén and Toivonen, 1962). It was very confusing and disappointing that many of these chemically different agents, caused neural induction and differentiation either in the einsteck-experiment or in the sandwhich-experiment (Holtfreter, 1933).

In the latter experiment omnipotent competent ectoderm (comparable to mammalian stem cells) serves as the reacting tissue (Holtfreter, 1933). Today a similar test (one animal cap only) is known as animal cap assay and is used in many laboratories to perform gain or loss of function studies or to test growth factor with morphogenetic activity. At that time the responding tissue, the ectoderm, was considered less important. Confusing was the fact that ectoderm under certain conditions (high pH, ammonia, urea, etc.) will form several histotypic tissues (mainly neural structures) without the influence of the organizer.

Holtfreter postulated that some cells of the reacting tissue will be damaged by the treatment with different substances. This so called "sublethal cytolysis" of some cells was considered to cause neuralization of neighbouring, still vital cells (Holtfreter, 1947). He concluded from these data that no specific external inducer is needed and that neuralization takes place by the release of masked inactive factors. Meanwhile this

"autoneuralization" can be explained by the "neural default model" of the ectoderm (see below) formulated on the basis of our data with dissociated ectoderm (Grunz and Tacke, 1989, 1990; Wilson and Hemmati-Brivanlou, 1995; Hemmati-Brivanlou and Melton; 1997). The neural induction of the ectoderm without any influence of the organizer were quite irritating in the 1930s. This confusing situation was the reason that many scientists lost interest in this research field.

Nevertheless, a few groups in Finland, Japan and Germany continued to search for early embryonic inducers. Amphibian embryos themselves were not suitable to isolate inducing factors by biochemical methods. All amphibians cells contain a large amount of yolk and lipids, which severely interfere with traditional biochemical isolation and purification steps.

Furthermore it must be expected that such factors were present in low concentrations. However, a main short coming was the fact that the scientists did not have any idea about the exact chemical nature of such factors (small chemical molecules or polymeric molecules like nucleic acids, proteins, carbohydrates etc.). In the 30s Holtfreter could show that coagulated chick embryo extract was an effective mesoderm inducer (Holtfreter, 1933 a, 1933 b). A further key experiment was performed by Chuang, a PhD candidate of Holtfreter, later director of the Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology. He could show that the mesodermalizing activity of liver of guinea pig is lost after short boiling in hot water. However, the liver still could trigger competent ectoderm to form neural tissue (Chuang, 1939, 1940). These data indicate that the so called heterogeneous inducer liver contains a heat sensitive mesodermalizing and a heat stable neuralizing factor. Similar results about heterogeneous inducers were independently performed by Toivonen (1940). It should be mentioned that tissues of adult animals as source for the isolation of inducers were chosen, since in contrast to amphibian embryos (at this time Rana and Triturus only) liver, kidney or bone marrow from rat, mice or guinea pig were available in large quantities. Both findings, including activity of chicken extract and liver and bone marrow of guinea pig were the basis for extensive search for embryonic inducing factors in 3 laboratories in Japan (Tuneo Yamada), Finland (Sulo Toivonen and Lauri Saxén) and in Germany (Heinz Tiedemann). On the basis of their results with combination of different heterogeneous inducers Saxén and Toivonen formulated later the double-gradient-hypothesis (Toivonen and Saxén, 1955; Saxén and Toivonen, 1962), which was supported by the fractionation experiments with chicken embryo extracts of the group of Tiedemann (Tiedemann and Tiedemann, 1964). Nearly simultaneously the teams in Nagoya (Yamada and coworkers) and in Heiligenberg later Berlin (Tiedemann and coworkers) started extensive studies to isolate inducing factors from kidney, liver, bone marrow of guinea pig and trunks of 11 days old chicken embryos (Yamada and Takata, 1956; Tiedemann and Tiedemann, 1956a). Of central interest were the observations of both groups that apparently inducing factors are proteins in nature. In different approaches they could show that nucleoprotein fractions of liver did not loose inducing activity after treatment with ribonuclease (Yamada, Hayashi and Takata, 1958). In contrast treatment with pepsin abolished the inducing activity (Hayashi, 1958).

Tiedemanns group used the phenolextraction method and found inducing activity in the phenol layer (where the proteins will be dissolved). Nucleic acids and polysaccharides

enriched in the aqueous phase did not show any inducing activity (Tiedemann et al. 1960). These findings were indeed of outstanding importance for all later studies. Nearly all factors with mesodermalizing and neuralizing activities are protein in nature.

## **3.1** Isolation of the first embryonic inducing factor from chicken embryos – a milestone for our understanding of evolutionary conserved proteins

The group of Tiedemann only continued with enormous technical efforts to isolate the so called vegetalizing factor from large quantities of chicken embryos. The factor was named vegetalizing factor, since it induces mesodermal and endodermal derived tissues in competent ectoderm. Endodermal and mesodermal derivatives emerge from the vegetal (vegetative) hemisphere of the embryo (Figure 1). Finally after a period of 28 years it could be proven that this factor is not a mysterious substance, but is close related to activins, which belong to the TGFB-superprotein family. In the 50ies and even up till the early 90ies biologists could not understand why Tiedemann's group isolated the factor from chicken and used as test system the Einsteck experiment with amphibian embryos. Many considered the inductions in competent ectoderm (formation of notochord, somites, pronephros, blood cells etc.) as unspecific effects.

With our present knowledge about evolutionary conserved genes and their products we know that homologuous factors are found in Drosophila, Xenopus, Zebrafish and mice and also in humans. Activin shows mesoderm inducing activity also in the chicken embryo and probably also in humans. Therefore we now can imagine that the group of Tiedemann was quite ahead of the main stream of this time.

# **3.1.1** The vegetalizing factor (a homologue of activin) – the first embryonic inducing factor available in highly purified form

After long lasting biochemical isolation techniques the molecular weight of the vegetalizing factor (25-28 KDa) was determined by size exclusion chromatography and zone centrifugation (Tiedemann and Tiedemann, 1957). The molecular weight of the subunit determined by SE (Size exclusion)-HPLC in 50 % formic acid is 13000 KDA (Geithe et al., 1981, Schwarz et al., 1981). After 28 years tremendous effects is could be shown that the factor is activin A or an Activin A homologue (Tiedemann et al., 1992).

TO ACCESS ALL THE **17 PAGES** OF THIS CHAPTER, Visit: http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx

#### Bibliography

Agius, E., Oelgeschläger, M., Wesseley, O., Kemp, C. and DE Robertis, E.M. (2000) Endodermal Nodal-related signals and mesoderm induction in Xenopus. *Development* **17**, 1173-1183.

Altaba, A. R. I. (1992). Planar and Vertical Signals in the Induction and Patterning of the Xenopus Nervous System. *Development* **11**, 67.

Asashima, M., Nakano, H., Uchiyama, H., Davids, M., Plessow, S., Loppnow-Blinde, B., Hoppe, P., Dau, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1990) The vegetalizing factor belongs to a family of mesoderm-inducing proteins related to erythroid differentiation factor. *Naturwissenschaften* **77**, 389-391.

Asashima, M., Uchiyama, H., Nakano, H., Eto, Y., Ejima, D., Sugino, H., Davids, M., Plessow, S., Born, J., Hoppe, P., Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann H. (1991). The vegetalizing factor from chicken embryos, its EDF (Activin A)-like activity. *Mechanisms of Development* **34**, 135-141.

Blader, P., Rastegar, S., Fischer, N. and Strahle, U. (1997). Cleavage of the BMP-4 antagonist chordin by zebrafish tolloid. *Science* **278**, 1937-1940.

Born, J., Hoppe, P. Sancezek, J., Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1986) Covalent coupling of neuralizing factors from Xenopus to sepharose beads. No decrease of inducing activity. *Cell Differ.* **19**, 71-101.

Bouwmeester, T., Kim, S. H., Sasai, Y., Lu, B. and Derobertis, E. M. (1996). Cerberus is a headinducing secreted factor expressed in the anterior endoderm of Spemann's organizer. *Nature* **382**, 595-601.

Chan, A.P., Kloc, M. and Etkin, L.D.(1999) fatvg encodes a new localized RNA that uses a 25-nucleotide element (FVLE1) to localize to the vegetal cortex of Xenopus oocytes. *Development* **126**, 4943-4953.

Chan, T. C., Ariizumi, T. and Asashima, M. (1999). A model system for organ engineering: Transplantation of in vitro induced embryonic kidney. *Naturwissenschaften* **86**, 224-227.

Chen, Y. L., Hollemann, T., Pieler, T. and Grunz, H. (2000). Planar signalling is not sufficient to generate a specific anterior/posterior neural pattern in pseudoexogastrula explants from Xenopus and Triturus. *Mechanisms of Development* **90**, 53-63.

Chuang, H-H. (1939) Induktionsleistungen von frischen und gekochten Organteilen (Niere, Leber) nach ihrer Verpflanzung in Explantate und verschiedene Wirtsregionen von Tritonkeimen. *Roux'Archiv f.Entw.mech.Org.* **139**, 556-638.

Chuang, H-H. (1940) Weitere Versuche über die Veränderung der Induktionsleistungen von gekochten Organteilen. *Roux'Archiv f.Entw.mech.Org.* **140**, 25-38.

Davids, M. (1988). Protein kinases in amphibian ectoderm induced for neural differentiation. *Roux's Arch.Dev.Biol.* 197, 339-344.

Davids, M., B. Loppnow, H. Tiedemann and H. Tiedemann (1987) Neural differentiation of amphibian gastrula ectoderm exposed to phorbolester. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biology* **196**, 137-140.

DeRobertis, E. M. (1997). Evolutionary biology - The ancestry of segmentation. Nature 387, 25-26.

DeRobertis, E. M. and Sasai, Y. (1996). A common plan for dorsoventral patterning in Bilateria. *Nature* **380**, 37-40.

DeRobertis, E. M., Kim, S., Leyns, L., Piccolo, S., Bachiller, D., Agius, E., Belo, J. A., Yamamoto, A., HainskiBrousseau, A., Brizuela, B., Wessely, O., Lu, B. and Bouwmeester, T. (1997). Patterning by genes expressed in Spemann's organizer. *Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology* **62**, 169-175.

Doniach, T., Phillips, C.R. and Gerhart, J.C. (1992). Planar induction of anteroposterior pattern in the developing central nervous system of Xenopus laevis. *Science* **257**, 542-545.

Geithe, H. P., Asashima, M., Asahi, K., Born, J., Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1981). A vegetalizing inducing factor - Isolation and chemical properties. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 676, 350-356.

Gerhart, J., Danilchik, M., Doniach, T., Roberts, S., Rowning, B. and Stewart, R. (1989). Cortical rotation of the Xenopus egg, consequences for the anteroposterior pattern of embryonic dorsal development. *Development* **107** Supplement, 37-51.

Glinka, A., Wu, W., Delius, H., Monaghan, A. P., Blumenstock, C. and Niehrs, C. (1998). Dickkopf-1 is a member of a new family of secreted proteins and functions in head induction. *Nature* **391**, 357-362.

Green, J. B. A. and Smith, J. C. (1990). Graded Changes in Dose of a Xenopus Activin-A Homologue Elicit Stepwise Transitions in Embryonic Cell Fate. *Nature* **347**, 391-394.

Green, J. B. A., New, H. V. and Smith, J. C. (1992). Responses of Embryonic Xenopus Cells to Activin and FGF Are Separated by Multiple Dose Thresholds and Correspond to Distinct Axes of the Mesoderm. *Cell* **71**, 731-739.

Grunz, H. (1969). Hemmung der Reaggregation dissoziierter Amphibienzellen durch Inhibitoren der RNS- und Proteinsynthese. *Roux's Arch.Dev.Biol.* **163**, 184-196.

Grunz, H. (1970). Abhängigkeit der Kompetenz des Amphibien-Ektoderms von der Proteinsynthese. *Roux's Arch.Dev.Biol.* **165**, 91-102.

Grunz, H. (1972). Einfluß von Inhibitoren der RNS- und Proteinsynthese und Induktoren auf die Zellaffinität von Amphibiengewebe. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* 169, 41-55.

Grunz, H. (1977). Differentiation of the four animal and the four vegetal blastomeres of the eight-cell-stage of Triturus alpestris. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **181**, 267-277.

Grunz, H. (1979). Change of the differentiation pattern of amphibian ectoderm after the increase of the initial cell mass . *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **187**, 49-57.

Grunz, H. (1983). Change in the differentiation pattern of Xenopus laevis ectoderm by variation of the incubation time and concentration of vegetalizing factor. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **192**, 130-137.

Grunz, H. (1997). Neural Induction in Amphibians.in: Current Topics in Developmental Biology **35**, 191-228. Academic Press. San Diego, London, Boston, New York, Sydney, Tokyo, Toronto. Eds. Roger A. Pedersen and Gerald P.Schatten.

Grunz, H. (1999) Amphibian embryos as a model system for organ engineering: *in vitro* induction and rescue of the heart anlage. *Int.J.Dev.Biol* **43**, 361-364.

Grunz, H. (1999a). Amphibian embryos as a model system for organ engineering: in vitro induction and rescue of the heart anlage. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **43**, 361-364.

Grunz, H. (1999b). Gene expression and pattern formation during early embryonic development in amphibians. *Journal of Biosciences* 24, 515-528.

Grunz, H. (2000) Developmental Biology of Amphibans after Hans Spemann in Germany. Int.J.Dev.Biol. (in press).

Grunz, H. and Tacke, L. (1989). Neural differentiation of Xenopus laevis ectoderm takes place after disaggregation and delayed reaggregation without inducer . *Cell Differentiation and Development* **28**, 211-218.

Grunz, H. and Tacke, L. (1990). Extracellular Matrix Components Prevent Neural Differentiation of Disaggregated Xenopus Ectoderm Cells. *Cell Differentiation and Development* **32**, 117-124.

Grunz, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1977). Influence of cyclic nucleotides on amphibian ectoderm. *Roux's Arch.Dev.Biol.* 181, 261-265.

Grunz, H.(1994) The four animal blastomeres of the 8-cell stage of Xenopus laevis are in intrinsically capable of differentiating into dorsal mesodermal derivatives. *Int. J. Dev. Biol.*. **38**, 69 – 76.

Grunz, H., Born, J., Davids, M., Hoppe, P., Loppnow-Blinde, B., Tacke, L., Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1989). A mesoderm-inducing factor from a Xenopus laevis cell line: Chemical properties and relation to the vegetalizing factor from chicken embryos. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **198**, 8-13.

Grunz, H., Multier, A. M., Herbst, R. and Arkenberg, G. (1975). The differentiation of isolated amphibian ectoderm with or without treatment of an inductor. A scanning electron microscop study. *Roux's Arch.Dev.Biol.* **178**, 277-284.

Gurdon, J.B. and Colman, A. (1999) The future of cloning. Nature 402, 743-746;

Halder, G., Callaerts, P. and Gehring, W. J. (1995a). Induction of ectopic eyes by targeted expression of the eyeless gene in Drosophila. Science **267**, 1788-1792.

Halder, G., Callaerts, P. and Gehring, W. J. (1995b). New perspectives on eye evolution. Current Opinion

in Genetics & Development 5, 602-609.

Hamburger, V. (1988) The heritage of experimental embryology. Hans Spemann and the organizer. Oxford University Press. New York, Oxford.

Harland, R. and Gerhart, J. (1997). Formation and function of Spemann's organizer. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **13**, 611-667.

Hayashi, Y. (1958) The effects of pepsin and trypsin on the inductive ability of pentose nucleoprotein from guinea pig liver. *Embryologia* **4**, 33-53.

Heasman, J., (1997) Patterning the Xenopus blastula. Development 124, 4179-4191.

HemmatiBrivanlou, A. and Melton, D. (1997). Vertebrate embryonic cells will become nerve cells unless told otherwise. *Cell* **88**, 13-17.

Holtfreter, J (1947). Neural induction in explants which have passed through a sublethal cytolysis. *J.exp.Zool.* **106**, 197-222.

Holtfreter, J. (1933a) Der Einfluß von Wirtsalter und verschiedenen Organbezirken auf die Differenzierung von angelagertem Gastrulaektoderm. *Roux'Archiv f.Entw.mech.Org.* **127**, 610-775.

Holtfreter, J. (1933b) Eigenschaften und Verbreitung induzierender Stoffe. *Naturwissenschaften* **21**, 766-770.

Holtfreter, J. (1933b). Die totale Exogastrulation, eine Selbstablösung des Ektoderms vom Entomesoderm. Entwicklung und funktionelles Verhalten nervenloser Organe. *Arch.Entw.Mech.Org.***129**, 669-793.

Janeczek, J., Born, J., Hoppe, P., Tiedemann, H. (1992) Partial characterization of neural inducing factors from Xenopus gastrulae. Evidence for a larger protein complex containing the factor. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **201**, 30-35.

Janeczek, J., John, M., Born, J., Tiedemann, H. Tiedemann, H. (1984) Inducing activity of subzellular fractions from amphibian embryos. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **193**, 1-12.

John, M., Janeczek, J., Born, J., Hoppe, P., Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1983). Neural induction in amphibians. Transmission of a neuralizing factor. *Roux's Arch. Dev. Biol.* **192**, 45-47.

Lanza, R.P., Cibelli, J.B. and West, M.D. (1999). Prospects for the use of nuclear transfer in human transplantation. *Nature Biotechnology* **17**, 1171-1174.

Larabell, C. A., Torres, M., Rowning, B. A., Yost, C., Miller, J. R., Wu, M., Kimelman, D. and Moon, R. T. (1997). Establishment of the dorso-ventral axis in Xenopus embryos is presaged by early asymmetries in beta-catenin that are modulated by the Wnt signaling pathway. *Journal of Cell Biology* **136**, 1123-1136.

Mangold, O (1929b) Experimente zur Analyse der Determination und Induktion der Medullarplatte. Arch.Entw.Mech.Org. **117**, 586-696.

Melton, D. A. (1987). Translocation of a localized maternal mRNA to the vegetal pole of Xenopus oocytes. *Nature* **328**, 80-82.

Melton, D. A. (1991). Pattern Formation During Animal Development. Science 252, 234-241.

Mikhailov, A. T. and Gorgolyuk, N. A. (1989). Embryonic brain derived neuralizing factor. *Cell Diff.Dev.* 27 (Suppl.), 70-80.

Mikhailov, A. T., Gorgolyuk, N. A., Tacke, L., Mykhoyan, M. M. and Grunz, H. (1995). Partially purified factor from embryonic chick brain can provoke neuralization of Rana temporaria and Triturus alpestris but not Xenopus laevis early gastrula ectoderm. *Int. J. Dev. Biol* **39**, 317-325.

Miller, J. R. and Moon, R. T. (1996). Signal transduction through beta-catenin and specification of cell fate during embryogenesis. *Genes & Development* **10**, 2527-2539.

Minuth, M. and Grunz, H. (1980). The formation of mesodermal derivates after induction with vegetalizing factor depends on secondary cell interactions. *Cell Diff.* **3**, 229-238.

Niu, M.C. (1956) New approaches to the problem of embryonic induction. In: Cellular mechanisms in differentiation and growth. *Ed. D.Rudnick, Univ.Press, Princeton, pp. 155-171.* 

Niu, M.C. (1958) The role of ribonucleic acid in embryonic differentiation. Anat. Rec. 131, 585.

Niu, M.C. and Twitty, V.C. (1953) The differentiation of gastrula ectoderm in medium conditioned by axial mesoderm. *Proc.nat.Acad.Sci.Wash.*, **39**, 985-989.

Nüsslein-Volhard, C., Frohnhöfer, H. G. and Lehmann, R. (1987). Determination of anteroposterior polarity in Drosophila. *Science* 238, 1675-1681.

Otte, A. P., Koster, C. H., Snoek, G. T. and Durston, A. J. (1988). Protein kinase C mediates neural induction in Xenopus laevis. *Nature* **334**, 618-620.

Otte, A. P., VanRun, P., Heideveld, M., VanDriel, R. and Durston, A. J. (1989). Neural Induction Is Mediated by Cross-Talk between the Protein Kinase C and Cyclic AMP Pathways. *Cell* 58, 641-648.

Piccolo, S., Agius, E., Leyns, L., Bhattacharyya, S., Grunz, H., Bouwmeester, T. and DeRobertis, E. M. (1999). The head inducer Cerberus is a multifunctional antagonist of Nodal, BMP and Wnt signals. *Nature* **397**, 707-710.

Sasai, Y., Lu, B., Steinbeisser, H., Geissert, D., Gont, L. K. and Derobertis, E. M. (1994). Xenopus chordin: A novel dorsalizing factor activated by organizer-specific homeobox genes. *Cell* **79**, 779-790.

Schneider, S., Herrenknecht, K., Butz, S., Kemler, R. and Hausen, P. (1993). Catenins in Xenopus Embryogenesis and Their Relation to the Cadherin-Mediated Cell-Cell Adhesion System. *Development* **118**, 629-640.

Schneider, S., Steinbeisser, H., Warga, R. M. and Hausen, P. (1996). beta-Catenin translocation into nuclei demarcates the dorsalizing centers in frog and fish embryos. *Mechanisms of Development* 57, 191-198.

Schroeder,K.E., Condic, M.L., Eisenberg, L.M., and Yost, H.J. (1999) Spatially regulated translation in embryos: asymetric expression of maternal Wnt-11 along the dorsal-ventral axis in Xenopus. Dev. Biol. **214**, 288-297.

Schwarz, W., Tiedemann, H., Tiedemann, H. (1981) High performance gel permeation chromatography of proteins. *Molec. Biol. Rep.* **8**, 7-10.

Scott, I. C., Blitz, I. L., Pappano, W. N., Imamura, Y., Clark, T. G., Steiglitz, B. M., Thomas, C. L., Maas, S. A., Takahara, K., Cho, K. W. Y. and Greenspan, D. S. (1999). Mammalian BMP-1/tolloid-related metalloproteinases, including novel family member mammalian tolloid-like 2, have differential enzymatic activities and distributions of expression relevant to patterning and skeletogenesis. *Developmental Biology* **213**, 283-300.

Shimell, M. J., Ferguson, E. L., Childs, S. R. and Oconnor, M. B. (1991). The Drosophila Dorsal-Ventral Patterning Gene Tolloid Is Related to Human Bone Morphogenetic Protein-1. *Cell* **67**, 469-481.

Shimizu, K. and Gurdon, J. B. (1999). A quantitative analysis of signal transduction from activin receptor to nucleus and its relevance to morphogen gradient interpretation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **96**, 6791-6796.

Smith, J. C., Price, B. M. J., Vannimmen, K. and Huylebroeck, D. (1990). Identification of a potent Xenopus mesoderm-inducing factor as a homologue of Activin A . *Nature* **345**, 729-731.

Smith, W. C. and Harland, R. M. (1992). Expression Cloning of noggin, a New Dorsalizing Factor Localized to the Spemann Organizer in Xenopus Embryos. *Cell* **70**, 829-840.

Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1999) Neural inducing factors from Xenopus eggs and embryos. *Develop. Growth Differ 41*, 201-205.

Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H (1956a) Versuche zur chemischen Kennzeichnung von embryonalen Induktionsfaktoren *Hoppe-Seyler's Z. f. physiologische Chemie* **306**, 8-32.

Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1959) Versuche zur Gewinnung eines mesodermalen Induktionsstoffes aus Hühnerembryonen. *Hoppe Seyler's Z. f. physiologische Chemie* **314**, 156-176.

Tiedemann, H. and Tiedemann, H. (1964) Das Induktionsvermögen gereinigter Induktionsfaktoren im

Kombinationsversuch. Rev. Suisse De Zool. 71, 117-137.

Tiedemann, H., Tiedemann, H. and Kesselring, K. (1960) Versuche zur Kennzeichnung von Induktionsstoff aus Hühnerembryonen. Zeitschrift für Naturforschung **15 b**, 311-319.

Tiedemann, H., Lottspeich, F., Davids, M., Knöchel, S. Hoppe, P. and Tiedemann, H.(1992) The vegetalizing factor. A member of the evolutionary highly conserved activin family. *Febs Letters* **300**, 123-126.

Toivonen, S. (1940) Über die Leistungsfähigkeit der abnormen Induktoren im Implantationsversuch bei Triton. *Diss. Helsinki. Ann.Acad.Sci. fenn., ser.A, IV*, **55**, 6, 1-150.

Toivonen, S. and Saxén, L. (1955) The simultaneous inducing action of liver and bone-marrow of the Guuinea-pig in implantation and explantation experiments with embryos of Triturus. *Exp.Cell Res.* (*suppl.*), **3**, 346-357.

Ueno, N., Ling, N., Ying, S.-Y., Esch, F., Shimasaki, S. and Guillemin, R. (1987). Isolation and partial characterization of follistatin: A single-chain Mr35,000monomeric protein that inhibits the release of follicle-stimulating hormone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **84**, 8282-8286.

Waddington, C.H. (1932) Experiments on the development of chick and duck embryos, cultivated *in vitro*. Phil.Trans., London, **221**, 179-230.

Wallingford, J.B., Rowning, B.A., Vogeli, K.M., Rothbächer, U., Fraser, S.E. and Harland, R.M. (2000) Dishevelled controls cell polarity during Xenopus gastrulation. Nature **405**, 81-85.

Wesseley, O. and De Robertis, E.M. (2000) The Xenopus homologue of *Bicaudal-C* is a localized maternal mRNA that can induce endoderm formation. Development 127, 2053-2062.

Wilson, P. A. and Hemmati-Brivanlou, A. (1995). Induction of epidermis and inhibition of neural fate by Bmp-4. *Nature* **376**, 331-333.

Yamada, T. and Takata, K. (1955) Effect of trypsin and chymotrypsin on the inducing ability of the kidney and its fractions. *Exp.Cell Res.* **3**, 402-413

Yamada, T., Hayashi, Y. and Takata, K. (1958) Embryonic induction. In: A symposium on chemical basis of development. Eds. W.McElroy and B. Glass. John Hopkins Press, Baltimore, pp. 217-238.

#### **Biographical sketch**

#### Horst Grunz

Birthday: 15.Sept.1938

Birthplace: Cologne, Germany

1964-1968 study and PhD in Cologne

1969-1980 Postdoc in the lab of Prof.Dr.Dr.H.Tiedemann in Berlin

1971/72 Postdoc in the lab of Prof.Tuneo Yamada, Oak Ridge National laboratory, Tennessee, USA

1979 Habilation

1980 Professor of Zoopysiology at the university Essen

1987 Sabbatical in the lab of Prof.Igor Dawid, National Institutes of Health (NIH), Bethesada, USA

1990 Research stay in the lab of Prof.Hsiao-hui Chuang, Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology, China

1997/98 Sabbatical in the lab of Prof.Eddy De Robertis, Howard Hughe Institute, UCLA, Los Angeles, USA