

IMPACT OF ETHNO-CULTURAL FACTORS AND LAND TENURE ON LAND USE AND LAND USE PLANNING

Paul Sarfo-Mensah

Research Fellow, Bureau of Integrated Rural Development, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana.

Keywords: customary land tenure, ethno-cultural, evolution, feudalism, Ghana, land market, land reform, land tenure system, privatization.

Contents

1. Introduction
 2. Ethno-cultural Issues related to Land Use
 3. Land Tenure Systems in Europe
 - 3.1. The Period of Medieval Feudalism
 - 3.2. The Transition Period
 - 3.3. Introduction of the Principle of Work for Wages
 - 3.4. Privatization and Liberalization of Land Markets
 - 3.5. Recent Land Reforms in Eastern Europe
 4. Land Tenure and Ethno-cultural Issues in Africa
 - 4.1. Customary Systems
 - 4.2. Effect of Colonial Rules
 - 4.3. Modern Trends
 5. Case Study: Ghana
 - 5.1. Land Allocation
 - 5.2. Usufructural Rights
 - 5.3. Land for Outsiders
 - 5.4. Land Management
 6. Land Tenure and Agricultural Development
 7. Conclusions
- Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketch

Summary

One of the major underlying forces in natural resources management, worldwide, is the system of tenurial arrangements which define entitlements and usufructural rights to land and other natural resources. In contemporary studies on sustainable natural resources management, land acquisition and land titles have received major attention. It is argued that in countries and societies where land tenure systems have not evolved properly to accommodate changes in agriculture, industry and the provision of services, the growth and development of such economies have stagnated.

This chapter discusses the land tenure systems in Western Europe, the former Communist Europe and Africa. It emphasizes the influence of ethno-cultural factors on

the evolution of land tenure arrangements in Africa. It brings out how local concepts of land, and their parallels in society, have influenced the evolution of land tenure systems.

The chapter concludes that Africa's land tenure systems are still embroiled in beliefs and superstitions which underline the evolution of tenure systems. They often hampered transactions in land to respond to the demands from agriculture, industry and services. It recommends, however, that those who seek to reform tenure systems in Africa should take these ethnic cultural issues into consideration since they have not only provided guaranteed access to land by individuals but also because they have proven to have positive environmental connotations.

1. Introduction

Land tenure affects a country in many ways. In the first place it determines the distribution of incomes and the use of land. Its influence goes also far beyond the economic sphere as land tenure systems determine social attitudes and satisfactions; they are interwoven with traditions, even with national character.

Land tenure systems affect natural resources management globally, in particular because they define entitlements and usufructural rights to land and other natural resources of the people who inhabit an area. In contemporary studies on sustainable natural resources management, land acquisition and individual titles received a lot of attention, and it has often been argued that in countries and societies where land tenure systems did not evolve properly to accommodate changes in agriculture, industry and services, the growth and development of such economies have stagnated.

Truly, land tenure systems form an inextricable part of people's culture and development history. For example, the rules governing access to and use of land have been noted as essential for poverty reduction in four intrinsic aspects, namely (i) equity; (ii) efficiency; (iii) sustainability of resource use; and (iv) governance. These are particularly important in developing economies, especially in Sub-Saharan Africa, where land is still viewed as a communal resource, and where the trading in land is still deeply rooted in ethnic cultural beliefs, rules and practices.

2. Ethno-cultural Issues related to Land Use

Land as a natural resource is perhaps the most contentious asset that humans, in historical and contemporary terms, have sought to acquire and alienate for individual, group, clan or national use. The close attachment to land both in the developed and developing world was at the origin of several wars and acrimonies in the past, and it still threatens the peace in many parts of the world, especially in Africa. The Middle East in particular has had a long troublesome history in land conflicts, especially between the people of Israel and Palestine. Although this particular area is not among the regions considered for discussion and analysis in this article, the historical and contemporary land issues in the region and their ramifications beyond the area bring into sharp focus the key ethno-cultural issues that relate to land. These issues refer in particular to governance, traditional means of exchange and reciprocity, religion and spiritual factors.

Governance has been a major factor influencing the evolution of land tenure in the world. In fact, in Africa and Europe the transition from absolute monarchies to modern systems of governance may be noted as the principal driving force behind the changes in land tenure systems. For example, in both Western and Eastern Europe, changes in governance from monarchies to revolutionary governments (as in France under Napoleon) and to more liberal governance as happened in Britain and France in the latter part of the sixteenth century, and to parliamentary democracies latter on in the greater part of Western Europe, illustrate the key role of governance in the evolution of land tenure systems.

Similarly, in pre-colonial Africa, and before in the early Middle Ages in Europe, land was controlled by kings who were constantly fighting wars in order to gain possession and control over land through conquest. In the Gold Coast, now Ghana, for instance, the then Asante Kingdom, was known for its large empire, and the Asante kings gave land to their loyal and brave sub-chiefs and warriors who brought large territories under the kingdom. This system of governance also encouraged authority and power, because kings and chiefs in Africa had absolute control over land. During colonial governance, those kings and chiefs maintained varying powers over land depending either on which European colonial master ruled the country, or depending on the local resistance they faced. In Eastern and Southern Africa for example, most land went into the hands of the White colonial master, and could be bought and sold amongst the whites. The tribal kings and chiefs lost control over land.

In West Africa, especially in the Gold Coast and Ivory Coast, land remained virtually under the control of the tribal overlords, and this situation remained almost unchanged during the transition to independence and in contemporary governance. As is shown in section 5, this traditional land tenure system has been cited as a major factor in the slow pace of development in agriculture, industry and services. In fact, land cannot easily be traded when it cannot be sold. Such a system of traditional governance maintains and even reinforces this situation to the advantage of the tribal chiefs, and the government can do little to influence the land tenure system.

The other ethno-cultural factors that ought to be mentioned in relation to land use are related to reciprocity, religion and spiritual factors. Religion and spiritual conditions may at first sight not be seen as cardinal factors in contemporary land tenure systems in Europe; this is large because of the very secular societies that exist in most European countries. Land has lost the spiritual connotations that it was assigned in the past, particularly in the Middle Ages. The system of reciprocity has also evolved from what pertained when the King gave land to his loyal nobles and aristocrats either to keep their loyalty or to retain a firm grip on the society as a whole.

Local perceptions about land and the natural environment in Africa are still viewed in a sphere of spiritual cosmology of these resources. Taboos and totemic connotations underpin access to local natural resources, their use and management. Land may be considered as the most important candidate in this category, especially in Ghana and in most other parts of West Africa where traditional beliefs amongst most ethnic groups hold land as a deity. This is reinforced by traditional chiefs who are seen as the spiritual heads and intermediaries between the living and the dead, with the latter considered as

the true owners of land (see section 5). In this respect it must be emphasized that these perceptions still guide the systems of land exchange in most parts of Africa, even amongst Christians and Islamic groups, the majority of whom are still considered to indulge in syncretism. Hence, land may not be easily exchanged like it can in Europe without taking these factors into consideration.

3. Land Tenure Systems in Europe

3.1. The Period of Medieval Feudalism

The most significant land ownership that cuts across the entire landscape of Europe, both in the West and the East, which heralded the modern form of land ownership, as alluded to in section 2 above, is the Feudal System. Feudalism was a major political and military system based on the holding of land with its serfs attached to it. It grew out of German customs in the early Middle Ages and developed first in France and spread to other parts of Europe. Under the feudal system, the land belonged to the King. To keep the loyalty and the service of the nobles, the King gave them land. The nobles were usually soldiers who helped protect and govern the Kingdom. Since there was little money, giving land was the best way to reward people for their services. The land and everything on it including the peasants who farmed the land, called the *fief*, became the property of the noble to whom the King had given the property.

The whole feudal system was based on the control of land. The land was divided into manors. A manor was a piece of land which was farmed to support the family of the lord. A manor was self sufficient. This means that practically everything was either grown or produced on the manor. All the food that was eaten was produced on the manor farms. Only a few things, like salt and iron, were bought from the outside world.

About 90% of the people during The Middle Ages were peasants. A few peasants were free, but most were serfs. Serfs were not free, but they were not slaves either. They could not be bought or sold. On the other hand, they could not legally move from the manor on which they were born.

With respect to development and evolution of the feudal system, there were not many differences between Western and Eastern Europe, though some discrete differences existed. Broadly speaking, the societies of Western and Eastern Europe differed only in detail and degree, rather than in substance, especially at the upper levels. At these levels social structure conformed to what is now generally recognized as the feudal order. The role which the upper ranks of society in Eastern Europe were called upon to play in central and local government was in essence the same as that played by owners of fiefs in Western Europe during the earlier centuries of The Middle Ages. The Russian landowners, like the landed knights and nobility in the West, were a military class par excellence; and as such they bore the main burden in the conquest and occupation of the new territories.

In the new territories, once they had been occupied and settled, the landowners as a rule continued to perform the military and administrative functions appropriate to members of the upper ranks of society. To this extent, the structure of state economy and society

in Russia may be called "feudal". Yet Eastern feudalism differed in several important respects from that of western countries. It was less hierarchical than in certain parts of southern France, for instance. In Russia it was much more anarchical, less subordinated to the central power and less easily controlled by it. The duties, obligations and local powers of the noblemen were not solely dependent upon an implied feudal contract between them and their *suzerains* (fiefs). These obligations instead sprang from their *de facto* positions as soldiers, owners of land and colonizing entrepreneurs.

Even greater were the differences in the conditions of lower men between the West and East. In Western Europe the manorial ties between landlords and peasant tenants were dissolving during the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. Personal liberties were enlarged and the burdens of services and obligations lightened. However, the actual material level of life among the peasants in the West was low and sinking lower, primarily because of the pressures of a growing population. By comparison, the conditions of peasants in the East were much superior, where life was freer, more prosperous and seemingly more promising. Likewise land was less scarce in Russia and in other parts of Eastern Europe.

In reality, however, the actual development proceeded in a diametrically opposite direction. In the West, especially in England and France, the hold of the feudal landlord over state and society weakened as national governments consolidated themselves and as commerce expanded. In the East the power of the estate owners grew, while the peasants were brought to the very threshold of enslavement and impoverishment.

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 20 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Abayie Boateng, A. (1998). *Traditional Conservation Practices: Ghana's Example*. Institute of African Studies Research Review, Vol. 14(1): 42-51. [This paper offers an insight into African religious beliefs and natural resources management]

Afikorah-Danquah, S. (1998). *Local Resource Management in the Forest-Savannah Transition Zone: The Case of Wenchi District, Ghana. Report of Case Study for research on 'Environmental Entitlements: The Institutional Dynamics of Environmental Change'*. Institute of Development Studies (IDS), University of Sussex, 57 pp. [This presents an analysis of local natural resources entitlements in the Forest Savannah Transition of Ghana]

Appiah-Opoku, S. and B. Hyma. (1999). *Indigenous Institutions and Resource Management in Ghana*. *Indigenous Knowledge and Development Monitor*, Vol. 7(3): 15-17. [This presents an in-depth discussions on traditional African beliefs fused with practical indigenous conservation practices]

DFID (2002). *Better Livelihoods for Poor People: The role of Land Policy*. Issues Paper Discussion Paper, NRI/DFID, Rural Livelihoods Department/Infrastructure and Urban Development Department. Department for International Development, London, 44pp. [This treats the history of land reforms in

Africa]

Fortes, M. (1945). The Dynamics of Clanship among the Tallensi, *Land tenure and Agrarian Reform in Africa and the Near East: An Annotated Bibliography*, (ed. T.J. Anderson), Boston, Mass: G.K. Hall and Co, 67pp. [This gives a summary of local entitlements to land and agricultural systems]

Hoyt, R.S. and Chodorow, S. (1976). *Europe in the Middle Ages*. Third Edition, San Diego/ Washington DC: Harcourt Brace Javanovich Publishers, 707pp. [This presents a classic account of the history of Europe in The Middle Ages]

Kuntu-Mensah, P. 2000. *Land Tenure and Title Registration in Ghana*. Maine: University of Maine, Spatial Information Engin. Website: <http://www.spatial.maine.edu/~pmensah/mensah>. [Discusses ethnic-cultural underpinnings of land tenure in Ghana and how they affect entitlements to land]

Kwadwo Asenso-Okyere, W. S.Y. Atsu and I.S. Obeng. (1993). *Communal Property Resources in Ghana: Policies and Prospects*. Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research (ISSER), University of Ghana, Legon. Discussion Paper 27, 26 pp. [Discusses the land tenure systems in Ghana and policy options for reforms]

La Anyane, S. (1972). *Effects of Land Tenure on Migration, Labour Mobility and Employment in Ghana*. Land tenure and Agrarian Reform in Africa and the Near East: An Annotated Bibliography, (ed. T.J Anderson). Boston, Mass: G.K. Hall and Co, 69pp. [This gives a summary of constraints posed by land tenure systems to agricultural development in Ghana]

Laqueur, W. (1992). *Europe in Our Time: A History 1945-1992*. New York, London, Toronto, Auckland: Penguin Books, 617pp. [This provides an incisive chronicle of the political, economic, social and cultural evolution from the Cold War to the Fall of Berlin Wall and the disintegration of the Soviet Union]

Lund, C. (2000). *African Land Tenure: Questioning the Basic Assumptions*. IIED Issue Paper 100, International Institute for Environment and Development, 24pp. [This gives an exciting overview of land tenure systems in Africa with a captivating case study on Burkina Faso in West Africa]

Ntiamoa-Baidu, Y. (1995). *Indigenous vs. Introduced Biodiversity Conservation Strategies: The Case of Protected Areas Systems in Ghana*. African Biodiversity Series, May 1995, Vol. 1:1-11. Washington: The Biodiversity Support Program. [This discusses the efficacy of indigenous conservation approaches for sustainable natural resources management in Africa]

Sarfo-Mensah, P. (2002). *Commercial Agriculture, Traditional Religious Beliefs and Local Natural Resources Management: Some Lessons from the Savannah Forest Transition of Ghana*, The Land 6(2): 129-140. [This discusses changes in traditional beliefs and how it affects agriculture and land tenure systems in the middle belt of Ghana]

Thomson, D. (1974). *Europe Since Napoleon*. New York/London: Penguin Books, Fifth Edition, 1004pp. [This analysis the history of Europe from the time of Napoleon to contemporary times with a an in-depth presentation on changes of land ownership systems in Europe]

Warriner D. (1964). *Land Reform and Economic Development*. Agriculture in Economic Development, (eds. C.K. Eicher and L.W. Witt), New York/London: McGraw Hill Book Company, 272-298. [This presents a comprehensive discussion on land reform and social change]

Wayne, E.K. and Lewinsky M. (1991). *Experiencing World History*. American Service Incorporated, 781pp. [This provides quick summaries of history of Europe]

World Bank (2001). *Land Policy and Administration. Question and Answer on Land Issues at the World Bank prepared for the 2001 Annual Meetings of the Boards of Governors*. World Bank Group and International Monetary Fund, September 29-30, 2001 Washington, D.C:<http://inweb18.worldbank.org/ESSD/ardext.nsf/24ParentDoc/LandPolicyandAdministration> [This provides in-depth analysis of pertinent questions and issues on land administration]

Biographical Sketch

Paul Sarfo-Mensah is a Research Fellow at the Bureau of Integrated Rural Development (BIRD), Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology (KNUST), Kumasi, Ghana.

He has academic training in agricultural economics, rural research and rural policy, environmental development and natural resources management. He holds a postgraduate certificate in Rural Research and Rural Policy (IDS, University of Sussex, UK, 1992), an MPhil in Socioeconomics of Agroforestry (KNUST, 1995) and a PhD in Natural Resources Management (Natural Resources Institute (NRI), the University of Greenwich, UK, 2001).

He has post-qualification experience of 18 years and has carried out extensive research on social forestry, agricultural, environmental and rural development issues. He has undertaken a number of consultancies and participated in many collaborative research and development (R&D) activities for both local and international agencies and institutions including: FAO; DFID (UK); CIDA, CARE International; Technical Center for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (CTA) of EU/ACP, The Netherlands; World Bank; and Forestry Services and Wildlife Division of the Forestry Commission of Ghana and other national ministries, agencies and departments as well as local and international NGOs.