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Summary

Gastrointestinal fistulae are potentially catastrophic conditions, which continue to be associated with an appreciable mortality. The purpose of this review is to identify the latent lethal factors of those conditions in an attempt to control them. This review demonstrates that invasive sepsis continues to be the most likely cause of mortality. This was found to be closely followed by malnutrition which, in turn was rendered difficult to reverse in the presence of undrained sepsis. Nonetheless malnutrition alone can also be a determinant of mortality. The third cause of mortality may follow a failure to properly correct fluid and electrolytes imbalance. This factor should not remain an appreciable lethal factor, given the monitoring capacity, and the associated means to correct such imbalances, which should be
available in all modern hospitals.

With the above understanding; the successful treatment of fistulae demands the following:-

1. Proper use of fluid and electrolyte replacement.
2. Nutritional support.
3. Proper diagnosis of the fistulae on the basis of their etiology, pathology and anatomical locations.
4. Control of sepsis by radiological assisted methods or open surgical techniques and/or by the use of appropriate antibiotics.
5. Surgical treatment of fistulae resistant to spontaneous closure after the optimal use of supportive management.

1. Definition

A gastrointestinal fistula is a term used to describe an abnormal communication between the gastrointestinal tract and the body surface. It also describes an abnormal passage that connects one segment of the gastrointestinal (GI) passage to another segment of the same system. The term could also be used to describe a pathologic connection with other organs or tracts such as found with fistulae that may connect the GI tract with the ureters, the bladder, or the pleura.

It may help to recall that, from an anatomical standpoint, the GI tract may be described as a musculomebranous tube that extends from the mouth all the way to the anus. It is lined by a mucous membrane that has developed from the endoderm of the developing fetus.

2. Historical notes

There is a paucity of notes on the subject of GI fistulas in antiquity, although documentations exists on the presence of urinary fistulae in Egyptian women such as was found in the mummy of a woman who lived in the eleventh dynasty, around 2050 B.C.

The most plentiful records on fistulas in antiquity the perianal varieties of such conditions. This is so, possibly because such conditions are not known to be fatal and are amenable to both proper management and accurate description. We thus have evidence of the recognition of the existence of perianal fistulation, with a description that goes back all the way to Hippocrates (c.460-377 BC), the Greek physician of antiquity whose name is still called upon today in the oath that carries his name.

Later in the history of surgery, we find that the proper treatment of such indolent fistulae, on a great French king, helped to raise the status of surgeons to a professional standing not enjoyed before. The King in question was Louis XIV of France, known to posterity as the Sun King. But that exalted status did not immune Louis’ royal anal canal from developing a fistula. Thus in 1687, “the French Surgeons had a lucky brake”, when one of them, C.F. Felix (1650-1703) was called in to operate on the king. “Felix’s success earned him an estate and 300,000 livres”.

Reports on post operative fistulae are not as plentiful in pre-modern history, as they are
today, possibly because these usually follow surgeries that became feasible only after the introduction of general anesthesia in the middle of the 19th century. Also war related reports of intestinal injuries must have led to early mortality, giving no time for fistulae to develop. And yet fistulas must have developed as a result of shot gun injuries, and we have a US army surgeon, named William Beaumont (1785 – 1853), to thank for recording such a fact. In 1822 he was called in to treat a 19 years old trapper, named Alexis St. Martin, who was recovering from a gaping gun shot wound of the abdomen that left him with a permanent gastro-cutaneous fistula. Both patient and surgeon have found a lasting place in the books of physiology, as a result of the studies on gastric juice that Beaumont carried out on his patient and which he published in 1833.

With the advent of modernity, which came with a more accurate appreciation of anatomy, and with a better knowledge of pathology; the existence of internal fistulae started to be recognized in the second half of the 19th century. Thus Murchison was possibly the first to report on the condition of spontaneous gastrocolic fistula. The recognition of the pathogenesis of diverticulitis permitted Sidney Jones to recognize the entity of vesicocolic fistula as a complication of colonic diverticulitis.

Other than progress in pathology, entrance in the 20th century came with new diagnostic modalities, such as the availability of radiological techniques.

What is impressive is how early, in the course of history, was the recognition of the effect of nutrition on the outcome of GI fistulae. Thus, as early as 1858, Wilhelm Busch proceeded to improve the nutrition of a woman suffering from a jejunal fistula complicated by malnutrition. He achieved this by constructing a feeding jejunostomy and giving his patient a high caloric and high protein diet. It thus became clear that the stomach is not necessary for digestion, since his patient gained 19lbs. As a result; as noted by Josef Fischer, feeding jejunostomy was soon adopted in Europe. More than half a century later, Clark recognized the positive effect of nutrition on healing and on the closure of fistulae. But, following this study, and despite the sporadic calls that were made in a series of publications, the nutritional factor was by and large neglected by the surgical establishment in the US.

3. Classification

3.1. From an anatomical standpoint; GI fistulae are traditionally classified as internal or external.

i- Internal fistulae are defined as a communication between two or more loops of the GI tract. Alternatively it may indicate a communication between a segment of the GI tract and another organ such as the lung or pleura. Such fistulas are difficult to manage and rarely if ever close spontaneously.

ii- External fistulae are clearly visible to the examiner since they connect a segment of the GI passage to the skin, and are thus called “Enterocutaneous”. In contrast to internal fistulae, the external varieties may be expected to heal spontaneously.

At the same time such conditions are also described as lateral or end fistulae, depending on
their relation to the fistulation of the diseased viscus. Thus a controlled duodenal stump fistula is a form of end fistulae.

3.2. Classification based on the loss of fluid, electrolytes and proteins.

Because such losses are linked to prognosis, the fluid output has been conveniently used to classify fistulae into:

a-High-output (>500 ml/day)
b-Moderate-output (200-500 ml/day)
c-Low output (<200 ml/day)

3.3. Classification according to etiology.

This will be reviewed when the etiology of fistulae will be examined.
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