

INDIGENOUS PEOPLE AND THEIR COMMUNITIES

David H. Bennett

Australian High Commission, Pretoria, South Africa

Keywords: Equity, environment, land, living standards, self-determination, cosmological beliefs, ancestral beings, landscapes, Dreamtime, Mabo decision, land management

Contents

1. Introduction
 2. Land Tenure and Self-Determination
 3. Cosmological Beliefs
 - 3.1. Dreamtime
 - 3.2. Ancestral Beings
 - 3.3. Landscapes
 4. Mabo
 5. Land Management
 - 5.1. Sharing Natural Resources
 - 5.2. The Right to Manage Resources Responsibly
 - 5.3. The Right to a Chosen Lifestyle
 - 5.4. A Clean and Healthy Environment
 6. Conclusion
- Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketch

Summary

This is a brief overview of some of the current inequalities between Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal people in Australia, a generalized Aboriginal worldview, and the relevance of abolishing of the doctrine of *terra nullius* to redressing some of the inequalities and recognizing elements of Aboriginal worldviews.

1. Introduction

A 1993 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commission (ATSISJC) report notes that equity is “the commonplace experience of most Australians.” But Australian Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are the “most disadvantaged group within the Australian community, in most cases overwhelming so” says the *Intersectoral Issues Report* produced by the Ecologically Sustainable Development Working Group Chairs (ESD Chairs). In terms of health, housing, employment, education, and other social indicators, Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples are unacceptably below Australian standards. Of particular concern is the marginal economic status of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women and the declining labor market position of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people. Only 72% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander men and 44% of Aboriginal and Torres Strait

Islander women are in the labor force, that is working or attempting to find a job, as compared with the general population where 75% of men and 48% of women are in the labor force. Unemployment among Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples was 38% according to the Australian Bureau of Statistics in 1995.

The 1994 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission (ATSIC) report *Indigenous People Today: A Statistical Focus by ATSIC Regions* stated that life expectancy for “Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander males at 57 years is 17 years below the life expectancy for the total Australian males [sic] population. For Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander females the life expectancy is 65 years compared to 80 years for the total female population.”

Two percent of all Aboriginal private dwellings do not have running water; 2% do not have electricity or gas connected; 3% do not have a toilet; and 4% do not have bathing facilities in the dwelling, although half (2%) have access to a communal bathroom or shower, noted the Australian Bureau Statistics in 1995.

2. Land Tenure and Self-Determination

Health, housing, employment, education, lack of self-determination, and dispossession from land can be turned into a self-perpetuating causal cycle. As the Australian Department of Environment, Sport and Territories recognized in 1993, this cycle also contains environmental problems “directly affecting a large portion of the population (not just Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples) and adding to social equity problems . . . [T]hose who are most environmentally disadvantaged are usually also likely to be the most economically and socially disadvantaged.”

The 1991 Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody concluded that improved living standards in most communities would not be achieved without security of land tenure and self-determination. Enhanced environmental circumstances, community control, and improved delivery of health, housing, education, and other social programs also depends to a considerable extent on the security of land title and the perceived level of self-determination within the indigenous communities receiving these programs. The Commonwealth, State, and Territory governments have given support to, and in some instances implemented, the recommendations made by the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody relating to land needs.

In other words, to address the cycle of health, housing, employment, education, and social inequities (the cycle of poverty) requires addressing, among other things, land needs. The reason for this lies in the foundations of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander worldviews. The 1991 Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody states in its discussion on the importance of land: “Aboriginal attachment to land is non-transferable, and relates to the particular land itself, in a way which is connected with their religious beliefs and with the renewal of social life.” Aboriginal attachment to land is non-transferable because for each group there are specific ceremonies, songs, legends, and other cultural and spiritual ties that link a specific group to a specific “country” (see *Cultural Justice*).

3. Cosmological Beliefs (see *Cultural Relativism*)

3.1. Dreamtime

To understand Aboriginal cosmological beliefs about the nature of humans, the condition of human life, and the existence out of which the diversity of the universe sprang, it is imperative to understand something of the complex interrelationships among living people, their country, their totems, and their ancestral beings as embodied in the principle of the Dreamtime or the Dreaming. Traditional Aboriginal ethical norms, values, and ideals are founded in the Dreamtime. The Dreamtime is both an active creation period, when the world was given shape and the norms, values, and ideals of Aboriginal people were fixed like the landscape, and a continuous passive time now “existing alongside secular time but not identical with it” that reaffirms the norms, values, and ideals set during the creation period, says Berndt in *Australian Aboriginal Religion*. In the creative phase the moral universe was established and fixed once and for all time. The Dreaming “determines not only what life *is* but also *what it can be*,” says Stanner in *White Man Got No Dreaming: Essays 1938–1973*.

In the Dreamtime genesis, the world was without form, but it was not void. Unlike the Biblical Genesis, creation in the Dreaming is not *ex nihilo* but a transformation and culmination of a formed but featureless world already existing. No explanation is given, nor thought needed, for existence prior to the Dreaming. Perhaps this is because taken as a whole the Dreamtime stories of the Aborigines “deal less with origins as such than with the instituting of relevances—the beginnings of a moral system—in a life which already was,” says Stanner in *On Aboriginal Religion*. The Dreaming is not a theory of mystical explanation of moral origins, but an account of what Stanner calls “the principle of assent to the disclosed terms of life” that governs the ritual behavior and descriptive statements about the moral relations among people, their “country,” and other species. In other words, Aborigines are not attempting to analyze why things are the way they are, but are accepting Dreamtime stories as demonstrations of the way things are.

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 10 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Australia. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission (ATSIC) (1992). *National Commitment to Improved Outcomes in the Delivery of Programs and Services for Aboriginal Peoples and Torres Strait Islanders*, endorsed by the Council of Australian Governments, Perth, Western Australia, 7 December 1992. Canberra: ATSIC. [Policy statement by Australian Federal, State, and Territory governments of agreed issues relating to delivery of programs and services.]

Australia. Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Social Justice Commission (ATSISJC) (1993). *Report*. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service. Available on the Internet at: http://www.hreoc.gov.au/social_justice/social_justice/index.html#. [The 1993 *Report* was the first of a series of annual reports on cases, issues, and progress in social justice for indigenous people.]

Australia. Ecologically Sustainable Development Working Group Chairs (ESD Working Group Chairs) (1992). *Intersectoral Issues Report* (Parliamentary Papers (Australia. Parliament), 1992, No. 47), 248 pp. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service. [Makes recommendations on implementation of ESD principles on integrated policy and decision-making approaches.]

Australia. Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody (RCIADIC) (1991). *National Report. Volume 3. Overview and Recommendations by Commissioner Elliott Johnston, QC; and* (1992) *Aboriginal Deaths in Custody: Response by Governments to the Royal Commission*. Canberra: Australian Government Publishing Service. Available on the Internet at: <http://www.austlii.edu.au/au/other/IndigLRes/rciadic/index.html>. [An extensive and in-depth series of reports on the cases, causes, and possible solutions to the high number indigenous deaths in jails and prisons.]

Berndt R.M. (1970). Traditional morality as expressed through the medium of an Australian Aboriginal religion. *Australian Aboriginal Anthropology: Modern Studies in the Social Anthropology of the Australian Aborigines* (ed. R.M. Berndt), pp. 216–247. Nedlands, W.A.: University of Western Australia Press for the Australian Institute of Aboriginal Studies. [Article on various ways traditional morality is expressed.]

Berndt R.M. (1974). *Australian Aboriginal Religion*, 4 vols. in 1. Leiden: E.J. Brill. [A comprehensive work on what is good and bad in Aboriginal religion and various major practices.]

Birckhead J., De Lacy T., and Smith L., eds. (1992). *Aboriginal Involvement in Parks and Protected Areas* (Papers presented to conference at Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW, July 1991) (Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies), 390 pp. Canberra, ACT: Aboriginal Studies Press. [Discusses implications for involving or not involving Aboriginal people in park management. Especially relevant are the papers by Harry Daphney and Francis Royee—Kowanyama and Malanbarra Views on nature conservation management—and Lesley Head—Australian Aborigines and a changing environment: views of the past and implications for the future.]

Stanner W.E.H. (1960). On Aboriginal religion. *Oceania* **30**, 245–278. [One of a series of articles elucidating various aspects of Aboriginal religious views.]

Stanner W.E.H. (1979). *White Man Got No Dreaming: Essays 1938–1973*, 389 pp. Canberra: Australian National University Press. [Anthropological essays on similarities and differences in the worldviews of Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal Australians.]

Sweeney D. (1993). Fishing, hunting and gathering rights of Aboriginal peoples in Australia. *UNSW Law Journal* **16**(1), 97–160. [An expansive overview on legal aspects of traditional rights in light of the Mabo decision.]

Biographical Sketch

David Bennett has been the executive director of the Australian Academy of the Humanities, the executive director of National Academies Forum, the environment officer for the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Commission, a bibliographer for the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies, a policy officer for the Department of the Environment, Sport and Territories, and the acting director of the Mawson Graduate Centre for Environmental Studies at the University of Adelaide, Australia. He has taught philosophy and/or environmental philosophy at the University of Oklahoma, the University of Adelaide, and the Australian National University. Dr. Bennett has published on environmental philosophy, particularly environmental ethics, the ethical treatment of non-human animal species, and population issues relating to the environment. In relation to these topics, he has worked in Western ethics, Australian Aboriginal ethics, and Taoism (Daoism). With Richard Sylvan, Dr. Bennett co-authored *The Greening of Ethics*.