

BASIC PRINCIPLES OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Jonathan M. Harris

Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts, USA

Keywords: Sustainable development, Economic Perspective, Ecological Perspective, Social Perspective.

Contents

1. The Concept of Development
 2. Sustainable Development: Defining a New Paradigm
 3. The Economic Perspective
 4. The Ecological Perspective
 5. The Social Perspective
 6. A synthesis of perspectives?
 7. New Goals and New Policies for the Twenty-First Century
- Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketch

Summary

This chapter introduces the concept of *sustainable development*. We begin by disaggregating the components of the concept and showing how each developed through the course of its earlier history. The goals expressed or implied by the sustainable development are multidimensional, raising the issue of how to balance objectives and how to judge success or failure. Though it may be impossible to posit a single operational definition of sustainable development with a high level of control, it is instructive to examine the problem from several different disciplinary perspectives,. We examine the concept from the point of view of *economic* systems, *environmental* systems, and *social* systems.

- The original idea of development was based on a straight-line progression from traditional to modern mass-consumption society. Within this framework, a tension developed between the promotion of economic growth and the equitable provision of basic needs. Development as it has proceeded over the last half-century has remained inequitable, and has had growing negative environmental impacts.
- A concept of sustainable development must remedy social inequities and environmental damage, while maintaining a sound economic base.
- The conservation of natural capital is essential for sustainable economic production and intergenerational equity. Market mechanisms do not operate effectively to conserve natural capital, but tend to deplete and degrade it.
- From an ecological perspective, both population and total resource demand must be limited in scale and the integrity of ecosystems and diversity of species must be maintained.

- Social equity, the fulfillment of basic health and educational needs, and participatory democracy are crucial elements of development, and are interrelated with environmental sustainability.

Taken together, these principles clearly suggest new guidelines for the development process. They also require a modification of the original goal of economic growth. Economic growth, especially for those who lack essentials, is clearly needed, but must be subject to global limits and should not be the prime objective for countries already at high levels of consumption.

1. The Concept of Development

Great ideas are usually simple. While the specific analysis of any important topic will necessarily involve complexity and subtlety, the fundamental concepts which underlie powerful paradigms of thought are usually relatively straightforward and easy to grasp. In the area of social science, ideas which affect millions of people and guide the policies of nations must be accessible to all, not just to the elite. Only thus can they permeate institutions from the local to the global level, and become a part of the human landscape, part of the fabric within which we define our lives.

Such is the concept of development. Prior to the second half of the twentieth century, the idea of development as we know it today barely existed. The structures of imperial and colonial power which dominated the world in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries made little provision for economic and social advance in what we now call the developing world. Colonial regions functioned primarily to supply imperial powers with raw materials and cheap labor – including slave labor as late as the mid-nineteenth century.

Within the richer countries of Europe, North America, and Japan, economic growth was of course central to the generally accepted goals of “progress” and “modernization”, but there was relatively little concern for issues of equity and social justice. The desperate poverty and weak or non-existent social safety nets in Europe and the United States during the Great Depression showed how even in these countries, policy was not driven by the needs of the majority of people.

By the end of the Second World War, perceptions and policy had changed drastically. Economic and social improvement for the majority had become a major preoccupation of governments, and with the crumbling of colonial power relations this goal was extended to the poorer nations of the world. Economic development, with its social and institutional correlates, came to occupy an essential place in theory and policy, as well as in the Cold War competition between capitalism and communism. As the historian of economic thought Roger Backhouse puts it:

“Development economics in its modern form did not exist before the 1940's. The concern of development economics, as the term is now understood, is with countries or regions which are seen to be *under* or *less* developed relative to others, and which, it is commonly believed, *should*, if they are not to become ever poorer relative to the developed countries, be developed in some way.”

Within formal neoclassical economic theory, an effort has been made to achieve a positive rather than a normative perspective – that is, to describe what *is* rather than positing what *should be*. Development economics, in contrast, is explicitly normative, as Backhouse’s description makes clear. As such, it cannot avoid concern with social and political issues, and must focus on goals, ideals, and ends, as well as economic means.

When W.W. Rostow published his ambitious overview of economic development, *The Stages of Economic Growth*, in 1960, he subtitled it “A Non-Communist Manifesto”. Conscious of the claims of Marxism to offer a path to a better future for the majority of the world’s peoples, Rostow sought to counterpose a superior vision of social and economic goals. Notable in this perspective was a linear conception of economic development.

According to this view, all successfully developing countries would pass through a series of stages, from traditional society through economic “take-off” to maturity and high mass-consumption. The “less-developed” nations therefore might reasonably hope to achieve the “mature” status of the U.S.A. and Europe without the need for communist revolution. Rostow’s concept of take-off, as well as his overall perspective of economic and social progress towards a goal of mass consumption, was widely accepted by development theorists.

Thus economists, other social scientists, and policymakers adopted a framework of thought which was much more ambitious in its scope than previous formulations of political economy. The clear goal of economic development policy was to raise living standards throughout the world, providing steadily more goods and services to an expanding population. The international institutional structures set up after the Second World War, including the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, and the United Nations, were specifically designed with this goal in mind.

As development policy has evolved, different approaches have been emphasized at different times. The original emphasis was on promoting more productive agriculture and industrialization. In the late 1970's a focus on basic needs was advocated by Paul Streeten, Mahbub Ul Haq, and others. Education, nutrition, health, sanitation, and employment for the poor were the central components of this approach – reflecting an acknowledgment that the benefits of development did not necessarily “trickle down” to those who needed them most.

This perspective inspired the creation of the United Nations Development Programme’s Human Development Index, which uses health and education measures together with Gross Domestic Product (GDP) to calculate an overall index of development success.

In the 1980's the focus shifted to “structural adjustment”, including liberalization of trade, eliminating government deficits and overvalued exchange rates, and dismantling inefficient parastatal organizations. Structural adjustment was seen as correcting the errors of earlier, government-centered development policies which had led to bloated bureaucracies, unbalanced budgets, and excessive debt. But critiques of structural

adjustment policies have found them at odds with the basic needs priorities. Market-oriented reforms have often led to greater inequality and hardship for the poor even as economic efficiency improved. A tension thus remains between the basic needs and market-oriented perspectives on development.

At the turn of the century, what is the 50-year record of the broad-reaching, and historically fairly young, effort at global development? The concept has been widely accepted, by countries of varied political structure. There have been remarkable successes – notably in East Asia – and worldwide progress both in standard GDP measures and in measures of human development such as life expectancy and education. There have also been areas of slow or negative growth, especially in Africa, where GDP increase was slow and food production per capita in decline even before the rapid spread of AIDS devastated many countries and dramatically lowered life expectancies.

Globally, most countries have made significant advances both in GDP and in Human Development Index measures. But overall, the record of development on a world scale is open to two major criticisms:

- The benefits of development have been distributed unevenly, with income inequalities remaining persistent and sometimes increasing over time. The global numbers of extremely poor and malnourished people have remained high, and in some areas have increased, even as a global middle class has achieved relative affluence.
- There have been major negative impacts of development on the environment and on existing social structures. Many traditional societies have been devastated by development of forests, water systems, and intensive fisheries. Urban areas in developing countries commonly suffer from extreme pollution and inadequate transportation, water, and sewer infrastructure. Environmental damage, if unchecked, may undermine the achievements of development and even lead to collapse of essential ecosystems.

These problems are not minor blemishes on an overall record of success. Rather, they appear to be endemic to development as it has taken place over the past half-century, and to threaten to turn success into failure. World Bank President James Wolfensohn and chief economist Joseph Stiglitz acknowledged in 1999 that these issues are crucial to address if global development is to succeed. Harsher critics of the development paradigm, such as Richard Norgaard, see them as indicative of fundamental error:

“Modernism, and its more recent manifestation as development, have betrayed progress . . . while a few have attained material abundance, resource depletion and environmental degradation now endanger many and threaten the hopes of all to come . . . Modernism betrayed progress by leading us into, preventing us from seeing, and keeping us from addressing interwoven environmental, organizational, and cultural problems.”

Whether we seek a reform or a radical rethinking of the concept of development, it is evident that changes are required in both goals and methods. The straightforward view

of development as an upward climb, common to all nations but with different countries at different stages, seems inadequate for the twenty-first century. The absolute gaps between rich and poor nations, and between rich and poor groups within individual countries, are widening, not narrowing. And even if we can imagine all nations reaching stable populations and satisfactory levels of GDP by, say, 2050, can we envision the planetary ecosystem surviving the greatly increased demands on its resources and environmental absorption capacity?

The growing awareness of these challenges to traditional development thinking has led to the increasingly wide acceptance of a new concept – that of sustainable development. ‘*Development which protects the environment*’, ‘*development which advances social justice*’ - phrases such as these have surrounded the introduction of what has been claimed to be a new paradigm. The new formulation has been eagerly adopted both by critics of standard development practice and by leaders of existing development institutions. But what does sustainable development really mean?

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 20 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Ackerman, Frank et al., *World Energy Modernization Plan*, discussion paper available at <http://www.wemp.org>.

Anand, Sudhir and Amartya K. Sen (1996) *Sustainable Human Development: Concepts and Priorities*. United Nations Development Programme, Office of Development Studies Discussion Paper Series.

Anil Markandya and Julie Richardson eds. (1993). *Environmental Economics: A Reader*, Part III: Instruments for Environmental Control and Applications. New York: St. Martin’s Press.

Backhouse, Roger (1991). *A History of Modern Economic Analysis*. Oxford, UK: Basil Blackwell.

Bossell, Hartmut, ed. (1999). *Indicators for Sustainable Development: Theory, Method, Applications: A Report to the Balaton Group*, p.2. Winnipeg, Canada: International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD).

Ciriacy-Wantrup. See Ciriacy Wantrup, S.V. (1952), *Resource Conservation*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Daly, Herman E. (1991). *Steady State Economics* (2nd ed.), Chapters 2 and 9. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Daly, Herman E. (1994). “Operationalizing Sustainable Development by Investing in Natural Capital,” in Ann Mari Jansson et al. eds., *Investing in Natural Capital: The Ecological Economics Approach to Sustainability*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Durning, Alan (1992). *How Much is Enough? The Consumer Society and the Future of Earth*. Worldwatch Environmental Alert Series (Linda Starke ed.). New York and London: W.W.Norton.

Ehrlich, Paul R. “Ecological Economics and the Carrying Capacity of the Earth,” in Ann Mari Jansson et

al eds., *Investing in Natural Capital: The Ecological Economics Approach to Sustainability*. Washington, D.C.: Island Press. Original research in Vitousek, P.M., P.R. Ehrlich, A.H. Ehrlich, and P.A. Matson, "Human Appropriation of the Products of Photosynthesis" (1986). *BioScience* 36 (6): 368-73.

Frank Ackerman et al eds. (1997), *Human Well-Being and Economic Goals*, Part X (Washington, D.C.: Island Press)

Harris, Jonathan M. and Scott Kennedy (1999). "Carrying Capacity in Agriculture: Global and Regional Issues," *Ecological Economics* 29 (3) pp.443-461

Hartwick, J.M. 1977, "Intergenerational Equity and the Investing of Rents from Exhaustible Resources," *American Economic Review* 66 pp. 972-974

Holling, C.S. (1994). "An Ecologist View of the Malthusian Conflict," in Kerstin Lindahl-Kiessling and Hans Landberg eds., *Population, Economic Development, and the Environment*, p. 84. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Holmberg ed. (1992), *Making Development Sustainable*, Chapter 1

Howarth, Richard B. and Richard B. Norgaard (1993). "Intergenerational Transfers and the Social Discount Rate." *Environmental and Resource Economics* 3 (Aug.): 337-58.

MacKenzie, James J. (1996) *Oil as a Finite Resource: When will Global Production Peak?* Washington, D.C: World resource Institute

Mick Common and Charles Perrings, "Towards an Ecological Economics of Sustainability" (1992) *Ecological Economics* 6 pp. 7-34

Myers, N. (1996). "The World's Forests: Problems and Potentials." *Environmental Conservation* 23 (2) pp.156-168; Postel, S. (1999). *Pillar of Sand, Can the Irrigation Miracle Last?* New York, W.W. Norton & Company.

Norgaard, Richard B. (1994). *Development Betrayed: The End of Progress and a Coevolutionary Revisioning of the Future*, p. 2. New York and London: Routledge.

Pinstrup-Andersen, Per, and Rajul Pandya-Lorch (1998) "Food Security and Sustainable Use of Natural Resources: A 2020 Vision," *Ecological Economics* 26 (1), pp. 1-10.

Platt-McGinn, A. (1998). *Rocking the Boat: Conserving Fisheries and Protecting Jobs*. Washington D.C., Worldwatch Institute;

Pretty, Jules, and Robert Chambers, "Towards a Learning Paradigm: New Professionalism and Institutions for Agriculture," in Jonathan M. Harris ed., *Rethinking Sustainability: Power, Knowledge, and Institutions*. University of Michigan Press, forthcoming 2000.

Porter, Philip W. and Eric S. Sheppard (1998). "Views from the Periphery: Encountering Development," in Porter and Sheppard, *A World of Difference: Society, Nature, Development*. New York: Guilford Press.

Powers, Charles W. and Marian R. Chertow, "Industrial Ecology: Overcoming Policy Fragmentation," in Marian Chertow and Daniel Esty eds (1997) *Thinking Ecologically: The Next Generation of Environmental Policy*. New Haven and London: Yale University Press.

Rajaram Krishnan, Jonathan M. Harris, and Neva R. Goodwin eds. (1995), *A Survey of Ecological Economics*, p. 108-112. Washington, D.C.: Island Press.

Reed ed. (1997), *Structural Adjustment, the Environment and Sustainable Development*, Chapter 2.

Rostow, W.W. (1960). *The Stages of Economic Growth: A Non-Communist Manifesto*

Rostow, W. W. (1998) *The Great Population Spike and After: Reflections on the 21st Century*. New York and Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.

Richard England and Jonathan Harris (1997), *Alternatives to Gross National Product: A Critical Survey* (Tufts University Global Development and Environment Institute Discussion Paper #5, <http://www.tufts.edu/gdae>.)

Solow, R.M. (1986) "On the Intertemporal Allocation of Natural Resources," *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 88 pp.141-149.

Socolow, Robert et al (1994). *Industrial Ecology and Global Change*. New York and Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Streeten, Paul , with Shahid Burki, Mahbub Ul Haq, Norman Hicks, and Frances Stewart (1981). *First Things First: Meeting Basic Human Needs in the Developing Countries*. Published for the World Bank. New York and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Stiglitz, J. (1997), "An Agenda for Development for the Twenty-First Century", presented at the World Bank Ninth Annual Conference on Development Economics

Stiglitz, J.(1998), " More Instruments and Broader Goals: Moving Toward the Post Washington Consensus." WIDER Annual Lectures No. 2, Helsinki.

The Economics of Global Warming (1992), Chapters 6 and 7. Washington, D.C.: Institute for International Economics.

Toman, Michael A. "The Difficulty in Defining Sustainability" (1992), *Resources* 106 pp.3-6

UNDP, Human Development Report 1994.

UNDP Human Development Report 1997, Chapter 3.

United Nations Development Programme, *Human Development Report* (1990-1998).

World Bank, *World Development Report 1997: The State in a Changing World*. New York: Oxford University Press.

World Bank (1997). *Expanding the Measure of Wealth: Indicators of Environmentally Sustainable Development*. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

World Bank, *World Development Report 1997*, Foreword.

World Commission on Environment and Development (1987). *Our Common Future*.

Biographical Sketch

Jonathan M. Harris (Director, Theory and Education Program, Tufts University Global Development and Environment Institute) holds a B.A. from Harvard University and a Ph.D. from Boston University. He is the author of *Environmental and Natural Resource Economics: A Contemporary Approach* (Houghton Mifflin, 2002). He is co-editor of the *Frontier Issues in Economic Thought* volumes *A Survey of Sustainable Development*, *A Survey of Ecological Economics*, and *Human Well-Being and Economic Goals*. He is also editor of *Rethinking Sustainability: Power, Knowledge, and Institutions*; author of "World Agriculture and the Environment"; and co-author of environmental teaching modules in microeconomics and macroeconomics. Dr. Harris has served as Adjunct Associate Professor of International Economics at Tufts University's Fletcher School of Law and Diplomacy, and as consultant and lecturer at the Brown University Watson Institute International Scholars of the Environment Program and the University of the Middle East.