

NUCLEAR ISSUES

Catherine-Zoi Varfis

Center for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL), Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Keywords: civil liability, IAEA, INES, NEA/OECD, notification, nuclear accident, nuclear damage, nuclear energy, nuclear safety, physical protection of nuclear material, radiation protection, radiation, radioactive wastes, risk assessment, spent fuel

Contents

1. Introduction
 2. International Legal Framework
 - 2.1. Physical Protection of Nuclear Material
 - 2.2. Normal Operation
 - 2.2.1. Radiological Protection and Intervention
 - 2.2.2. Nuclear Safety
 - 2.2.3. Radioactive Wastes and Spent Fuel Management
 - 2.3. Emergency Situations
 - 2.3.1. Notification of a Radiological Emergency
 - 2.3.2. Assistance in Case of a Radiological Emergency
 - 2.3.3. The International Nuclear Events Scale (INES)
 - 2.4. Liability for Nuclear Damage
 3. New Challenges
- Glossary
Bibliography
Biographical Sketch

Summary

The current debate over climate change and increasing pressure to marginalize fossil fuel, coupled with a general hesitation to privilege and invest in research on alternative sources of energy, could lead towards increased recourse to nuclear power for energy production. New forms of terrorism, combined with poor conditions of security at nuclear storage facilities in many former Soviet Republics and the continuing instances of smuggling and illicit trafficking of nuclear materials have placed nuclear negotiations once again high on the international agenda.

The international legal framework for nuclear energy, in its current form, was developed mainly as a reaction to the accident in the Ukrainian nuclear power plant of Chernobyl, in the former USSR in April 1986, and is, therefore, relatively recent. Acknowledgment of the environmental impact of nuclear energy applications is also relatively new and its regulation is generally incidental to, or, at the very best, a specific component of a broader regime, rather than its exclusive focus. While the entire edifice of international environmental law is based on the concepts of transparency and accountability, nuclear regulation remains one of the last sectors in which information to the public is still relatively restricted.

In regulating nuclear activities, three main themes emerge: prevention or accidents, communication and management of their effects, and liability (redress) for damages caused to human life or property. Currently, a number of international agreements are in force regulating all three of these aspects. The Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material, the Convention on Nuclear Safety, and the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and the Safety of Radioactive Wastes cover the aspects of prevention. Two 1986 Vienna Conventions on Early Notification, Cooperation, and Assistance in Cases of Radiological Emergencies deal with response to and management of nuclear accidents or radiological emergencies, while civil liability conventions for nuclear damage provide necessary redress mechanisms. Communication is a core element of all conventions, as it guarantees that information will be exchanged and decisions will be made on the basis of all available data.

1. Introduction

The international legal framework for nuclear energy, in its current form, was developed mainly as a reaction to the accident in the Ukrainian nuclear power plant of Chernobyl, in the former USSR in April 1986, and so it is relatively recent. Acknowledgment of the environmental impact of nuclear energy applications is also relatively new and its regulation is generally incidental to or, at the very best, a specific component of a broader regime, rather than its exclusive focus. Such approach comes in sharp contrast with the clear-cut environmental regulation of other activities or substances, such as oil or fishing.

International regulation of nuclear energy is a delicate and complex task. Scientific and political reasons account for this.

A first set of complications arises out of the very nature of nuclear energy. Radiation affects both human health and the natural environment. It operates on a time scale that goes beyond the lifespan of individuals, extending in time to entire generations. While significant progress has been made in exploring the effects of radiological contamination on living organisms and the ways these are produced over time, causality remains problematic, since there can be a significant lapse of time before such adverse effects emerge and become detectable. This complicates the setting up of risk assessment procedures and liability regimes for compensation of nuclear damage.

Another set of complications relates to the relative ease with which nuclear materials can be used for both peaceful and military purposes. Indeed, assuming a state possesses a certain degree of know-how, such conversion is relatively simple and can even occur within the same installation. An increasing number of states have access to nuclear technology, used, for example, in a variety of applications in agriculture, health, and environmental remediation. Most states are, however, precluded from using this technology to acquire nuclear weapons. This sometimes results in tensions between the international community, desirous to ensure that nuclear materials are not diverted from peaceful applications and used for the development of nuclear weapons, and individual states, resentful of a control they perceive as attempting to limit their national sovereignty and reduce their defense options.

The catastrophic potential of nuclear energy demands extreme safety measures. In this context, however, safety oftentimes becomes synonymous with secrecy, hence the reluctance of states to provide access to facilities where nuclear materials are used and/or stored. Same reluctance applies to the supply of information to the public in general. While the entire edifice of international environmental law is based on the concepts of transparency and accountability, nuclear regulation remains one of the last sectors in which information to the public is still relatively restricted.

2. International Legal Framework

The current (2002) international nuclear legal framework dates back to 1955, when the General Assembly of the United Nations established the Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR). A year later, in 1956, the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) was set up. In 1957, two more international bodies were created: the European Atomic Energy Agency (EURATOM), as part of the system of the European Economic Communities, and the Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). These are also the main institutions that exercise competence in the field of nuclear regulation. In this context, another organization should also be mentioned, the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), an NGO set up in 1928 as a Commission linked to the International Congresses of Radiology. While conceived at first as an independent body to formulate recommendations relating to protection in medical radiology, its field of competence has widened to include all aspects of protection against ionizing radiation. As such, it issues recommendations based on general principles of radiation protection and is collaborating closely with the IAEA, which is the only global international organization with competence in the field of nuclear energy.

The IAEA's mandate contains three fundamental objectives: to help ensure nuclear safety worldwide, to help prevent nuclear weapons proliferation, and to enhance the contribution of nuclear technologies towards meeting the needs of its member states. According to its statute, "the Agency shall seek to accelerate and enlarge the contribution of atomic energy to peace, health, and prosperity throughout the world. It shall ensure, so far as it is able, that assistance provided by it or at its request or under its supervision or control is not used in such a way as to further any military purposes." Accordingly, the two principal sectors of the Agency's work are controlling nonproliferation of nuclear weapons and promoting nuclear safety.

The legal basis for controlling nonproliferation of nuclear weapons is provided by the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), in force since 1970, and extended indefinitely in 1995. Under this treaty, states that do not possess nuclear weapons commit not to acquire any and accept the obligation to conclude safeguards agreements with the IAEA covering all their peaceful nuclear activities. The purpose of these safeguard agreements is to ensure that states are abiding by their obligation to use nuclear energy for peaceful purposes. To this effect, non-nuclear-weapons states are concluding formal agreements with the IAEA by which they accept to have IAEA inspectors visiting their nuclear facilities and controlling the whereabouts of nuclear material under their control, confirm physical inventories of nuclear material, inspect surveillance equipment. etc. The five nuclear weapons states (USA, France, UK, China,

and the Russian Federation) convene under the NPT to undertake negotiations in good faith toward nuclear disarmament and, while not obliged to conclude safeguards agreements with the IAEA, have agreed that IAEA safeguards may apply to all or part of their civil nuclear activities. Thus, the IAEA creates a system of nuclear accountancy that traces all types of nuclear materials and ensures that none is diverted into creation of nuclear weapons. At the same time, because of the transparency established with safeguard agreements, confidence is enhanced that states do abide with their international commitments relating to nuclear containment and gradual disarmament. Promotion of nuclear safety is achieved mainly through adoption of legally binding agreements bearing on three basic aspects of nuclear energy: physical protection of nuclear materials, nuclear safety, and management of nuclear wastes. Although the IAEA is not an international regulatory body, strictly speaking, it is instrumental in fostering international cooperation and brokering adoption of international agreements. To this effect, the IAEA is working closely with its member states and with other international organizations, such as the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) and the International Maritime Organization (IMO). It also issues technical recommendations, circulars, and guidelines, which, though not legally binding per se, are generally adopted by its member states and are considered to reflect the state of art guidelines on the subjects they regulate.

In regulating nuclear activities, three main themes emerge: prevention or accidents, communication and management of their effects, and liability (redress) for damages caused to human life or property. Currently, a number of international agreements are in force regulating all three of these aspects. The Convention on Physical Protection of Nuclear Material, the Convention on Nuclear Safety, and the Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and the Safety of Radioactive Wastes cover the aspects of prevention. Two 1986 Vienna Conventions on Early Notification, Cooperation, and Assistance in Cases of Radiological Emergencies deal with response to and management of nuclear accidents or radiological emergencies, while civil liability conventions for nuclear damage provide necessary redress mechanisms. Communication is a core element of all conventions, as it guarantees that information will be exchanged and decisions will be made on the basis of all available data.

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 19 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

Beck P. and Grimston M. (2002). *Double or Quits? The Global Future of Civil Nuclear Energy*. Briefing Paper, April 2002, 12 pp. London, UK: The Royal Institute of International Affairs, Sustainable Development Programme. [The authors offer an excellent overview of the current debate within the energy community regarding the future of nuclear energy, taking into account the environment, the safety of installations, economics and the availability of resources.]

Boyle A. (1989). Nuclear Energy and International Law: An Environmental Perspective. *British Year Book of International Law* **60**, 257. [This article explores in depth the various international agreements and case law relating to nuclear energy.]

Carroll S. (1996). Transboundary Impacts of Nuclear Accidents : Are the Interests of Non-Nuclear States Adequately Addressed by International Nuclear Safety Instruments? *RECIEL* **5**, 205–210. [This is an interesting article addressing an important and sensitive problem: whether international law is taking into account the difficult situation of states that, while not possessing nuclear plants themselves, might be affected by those of their neighboring states]

De Kageneck A. and Pinel C. (1998). The Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel Management and on the Safety of Radioactive Waste Management. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* **47**, 409–425. [A complete presentation of the convention and its negotiating history.]

ElBaradei M. (1995). Verifying Non-Proliferation Pledges: The Evolution and Future Direction of the IAEA Safeguards System. *Leiden Journal of International Law* **8**, 347–359. [This is a very clear and concise account of the structure and evolution of the nuclear safeguards system.]

ElBaradei M., Nwogugu E., and Rames J. (1995). International Law and Nuclear Energy: Overview of the Legal Framework. *IAEA Bulletin* **37**, 116–126. [This is a detailed, though brief overview of the current international legal instruments regulating various aspects of nuclear energy.]

Galizzi P. (1996). Questions of Jurisdiction in the Event of a Nuclear Accident in a Member State of the European Union. *Journal of Environmental Law* **8**, 71–97. [The author explores the highly technical but very important issue of jurisdiction, the rules according to which it is defined and the subsequent problems generated in this context.]

Herttrich M., Janke R., and Kelm P. (1994). International Co-operation to Promote Nuclear-Reactor Safety in the Former USSR and Eastern Europe. *Green Globe Yearbook*, **1994**, 89–101. [A very good and detailed presentation of nuclear reactor safety issues in the Former USSR and Eastern Europe and the international efforts exerted in order to increase nuclear safety standards.]

Jenkins B. D. (1998). Establishing International Standards for Physical Protection of Nuclear Material. *The Nonproliferation Review*, **Spring-Summer 1998**, 98–110. [This is a compelling analysis of the difficulties encountered and the options explored in order to establish international standards for the physical protection of nuclear materials.]

Kamminga, M. (1995). The IAEA Convention on Nuclear Safety. *International and Comprehensive Law Quarterly* **44**, 872–882. [A concise and competent analysis of the convention, highlighting some of the the key problems the convention sets out to address.]

Layard A. (1996). Nuclear Liability Damage Reform After Chernobyl. *RECIEL* **5**, 218–224. [This article presents and explains the legal framework for addressing nuclear liability issues. The author offers a broad overview of how the current international system is structured.]

Romerio F. (1998). The risks of the nuclear policies. *Energy Policy*, **26**, 239–246. [The article carries out an evaluation of the role of nuclear policies both from an environmental perspective and from the point of view of security of energy supplies.]

Sands P. (1996). Observations on International Nuclear Law Ten Years after Chernobyl. *RECIEL* **5**, 199–204. [The author offers his compelling thoughts on the evolution of international law in addressing nuclear energy issues.]

Szasz P. C. (1992). The IAEA and Nuclear Safety. *RECIEL* **1**, 165–172. [This is an interesting presentation of the various IAEA activities with regard to nuclear safety.]

Szasz P. (1996). IAEA Safeguards for NPT. *RECIEL* **5**, 239–245. [Overview of the IAEA safeguards system and its function.]

Tonhauser W. and Jankowitsch O. (1997). La Convention commune sur la sûreté de la gestion du combustible usé et sur la sûreté de la gestion des déchets radioactifs. *Droit Nucléaire* **60**, 9–23. [This is a comprehensive presentation of the 1997 treaty.]

Websites

IAEA: www.iaea.or.at/

NEA/OECD: www.nea.fr/

ICRP: www.irpa.at/irpa/icrp.htm

Uranium Information Center: www.uic.com.au/

Uranium Institute: www.uilondon.org/

European Environment Agency: www.eea.eu.int/

Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe - REC: www.rec.hu/

Biographical Sketch

Catherine-Zoi Varfis is a lawyer-linguist at the Court of Justice of the European Communities, in Luxembourg. She is a member of the Thessaloniki Bar Association, a Research Associate of the Hellenic Institute of International and Foreign Law in Athens, and a Senior Research Fellow of the Center for International Sustainable Development Law, Montreal, Canada.

Dr. Varfis holds a law degree and a PhD from the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki and a *Certificat* in International Studies from the Graduate Institute of International Studies, in Geneva. Her fields of expertise include international and European environmental law, law of the Sea with emphasis on Mediterranean issues, and the international regulation of biotechnology.

She has been a program assistant with the ILO, a research and teaching assistant with the Law Faculty of the University of Geneva, and a visiting scholar at the Lauterpacht Research Center for International Law at the University of Cambridge. While in Geneva, she collaborated regularly with the International Academy of the Environment, teaching in its annual training courses on Principles and Processes of Sustainable Development. She has taught International and European Economic Law and Public International Law for the Thessaloniki branch of the Universities of Sorbonne and Strasbourg, and has also given seminars on international environmental law and marine resources in Belgium, Greece, The Netherlands, Norway, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. She is a member of the ILA (British Branch) and a founding member of the Greek Association for International Law.