

EXTREMOPHILES: BASIC CONCEPTS

Charles Gerday

Laboratory of Biochemistry, University of Liège, Belgium

Keywords: extremophiles, thermophiles, halophiles, alkaliphiles, acidophiles, metallophiles, barophiles, psychrophiles, piezophiles, extreme conditions

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Effects of Extreme Conditions on Cellular Components
 - 2.1. Membrane Structure
 - 2.2. Nucleic Acids
 - 2.2.1. Introduction
 - 2.2.2. Desoxyribonucleic Acids
 - 2.2.3. Ribonucleic Acids
 - 2.3. Proteins
 - 2.3.1. Introduction
 - 2.3.2. Thermophilic Proteins
 - 2.3.3. Psychrophilic Proteins
 - 2.3.4. Halophilic Proteins
 - 2.3.5. Piezophilic Proteins
 - 2.3.6. Alkaliphilic Proteins
 - 2.3.7. Acidophilic Proteins
3. Conclusions
- Acknowledgments
- Glossary
- Bibliography
- Biographical Sketch

Summary

Extremophiles are organisms which permanently experience environmental conditions which may be considered as extreme in comparison to the physico-chemical characteristics of the normal environment of human cells: the latter belonging to the mesophile or temperate world. Some eukaryotic organisms such as fishes, invertebrates, yeasts, fungi, and plants have partially colonized extreme habitats characterized by low temperature and/or of elevated hydrostatic pressure. In general, however, the organisms capable of thriving at the limits of temperature, pH, salt concentration and hydrostatic pressure, are prokaryotic. In fact, some organisms depend on these extreme conditions for survival and have therefore developed unique adaptations, especially at the level of their membranes and macromolecules, and affecting proteins and nucleic acids in particular. The molecular bases of the various adaptations are beginning to be understood and are briefly described. The study of the extremophile world has contributed greatly to defining, in more precise terms, fundamental concepts such as macromolecule stability and protein folding. In addition extremophiles offer unique and

unlimited potential in development of biotechnological tools, which are only now beginning to be exploited.

1. Introduction

The word “extremophile” refers to organisms that survive, and indeed proliferate, under physico-chemical conditions to some extent removed from those characterizing a suitable environment for human beings. This anthropocentric view is quite useful in defining what is an “extreme property” since the possible deleterious effect of an unsuitable parameter, physical or chemical, on human cell integrity is easily appreciated. Environments considered as harmful include those characterized by extreme acidity or alkalinity, very low or elevated temperatures, or by pressures remote from so-called atmospheric pressure. To these, one can add any environment in which our eukaryotic cells will suffer from the presence of various xenobiotic substances, such as heavy metals and high salt concentrations, or from the absence of oxygen. The cells, mainly prokaryotic, capable of supporting one or several of these extreme conditions, have been grouped into families on the basis of the main characteristic of their environment. One can nowadays therefore distinguish seven families of extremophiles:

1. *Thermophiles*, adapted to temperatures generally exceeding 60 °C.
2. *Psychrophiles*, which can readily grow at temperatures often below 0 °C.
3. *Halophiles*, which can be completely dependent on salt concentrations exceeding the salinity of seawater by more than a factor of ten.
4. *Alkaliphiles*, which can easily thrive at pH values exceeding 10.
5. *Acidophiles*, which can easily thrive at pH values close to 0.
6. *Metallophiles*, which can survive in high concentrations of heavy metals.
7. *Barophiles*, now more commonly named *piezophiles*, which can be successful in environments exposed to hydrostatic pressures as high as about 1,000 atmospheres—the conditions prevailing in the deepest ocean regions such as the Mariana Trench (–10,897m). By analogy, organisms having colonized ecosystems deprived of oxygen are known as *anoxiphiles*.

In 1974, MacElroy proposed the name “extremophile” to designate any organism able to support environmental conditions usually fatal to most eukaryotic cells. This extremophily has pertinently been compared to the eccentricity characterizing human beings displaying behavior considered marginal by normal citizens. In contrast, the term *mesophile* is applied to organisms adapted to non-stressing environmental conditions such as those experienced by normal eukaryotic cells.

Eukaryotic cells and multicellular organisms are usually very sensitive to unusual physical or chemical environmental conditions. For instance, the temperature limit for their survival does not exceed 60 °C, as in the case of some algae and fungi, whereas most other eukaryotic organisms do not tolerate temperatures higher than 50 °C. This is due to the particular sensitivity of some cellular components, the integrity of which is altered above a certain temperature threshold. This remark is, of course, also valid for the other extreme parameters mentioned above. The discovery of organisms resistant to temperatures higher than 60 °C only dates back to the late 1960s, when microorganisms capable of growing at temperatures greater than 70 °C were identified in hot springs at

the Yellowstone National Park in the USA. Further investigation led to the identification of extraordinary microorganisms capable of growing at a temperature of 113 °C. These included *Pyrolobus fumarii*, isolated from a deep-sea hydrothermal vent and amazingly unable to thrive at temperature lower than 90 °C. In similar extreme contexts, microorganisms such as *Picrophilus oshimae*, discovered in acidic geothermally heated waters, can grow successfully in pH environments close to zero, equivalent to a 1M solution of Hydrochloric acid. Even more impressive are the organisms sustaining pressures equivalent to 1,000 atmospheres miraculously exhumed from the 10 km deep Mariana Trench, an elongated depression on the Pacific Ocean floor, in the early 1970s. These few examples testify to the remarkable adaptation processes which have taken place in order to render life possible in such extreme conditions. An irresistible current of curiosity has drawn numerous scientists into this field of investigations, not only to try to understand the molecular processes involved, but also to exploit the unique properties of these organisms and, in particular, of their enzymes.

Taking into account the origin of earth, resulting from a condensation process of cosmic dust about 4.5 billion years ago, one can assume that the primitive earth or initial crust amounted to a patchwork of hostile environments in which a cell prototype, having apparently some properties in common with the actual prokaryotes, was born. This crucial process took place 3.7–3.8 billion years, during the early stages of the Archaean: the first eon, corresponding to the period of time encompassing the origin of earth up until 2.5 billion years ago. This dating is supported by the fact that vestigial cells have been discovered in rocks 3.5 billion years old.

Two fundamental questions can now be addressed:

- Did the primordial cells originate from multiple streams or from a single ancestor? In other words, was early life already characterized by a certain degree of biodiversity?
- What were the characteristics of the proto-biotope(s) which has (have) enabled the establishment of cellular life?

These questions will be discussed in detail in other articles in EOLSS on-line, notably those by Michael Gross, Nicolas Glansdorff, and Patrick Forterre, but the idea has been formulated that perhaps the emergence of cellular organization was quickly succeeded by a rapid diversification, forced by the existence of environments displaying properties close to those defining the biotopes which are now known as extreme biotopes. Alternatively, perhaps the primordial cell or microorganism originated in a unique and specific environment and has seen slow and progressive modifications, these being modulated by the rapid global changes and the catastrophic processes responsible for the diversity which can be observed nowadays. However, the remarkable diversity in the present world system may represent only 0.1 percent of all species that ever inhabited the earth! The explosion of multicellular and skeleton-forming species succeeding the Ediacaran fauna and characterizing the most recent eon, the Phanerozoic, about 500 millions years ago, underlines the importance of global and rapid changes—like, for example, the emergence of high concentrations of oxygen in the atmosphere at that time—in the evolution and diversification of animal species. The fact that only 0.1

percent of “historical” overall diversity still exists nowadays does not mean that the biodiversity is decreasing globally. Although this is a matter of controversy, especially regarding the real number of species inhabiting our earth, there is some evidence that global diversity is continually increasing, the speciation rate apparently remaining higher than the extinction rate. The idea is supported in part by the fact that young fossil sites are generally richer in species than older ones.

One can assume that the wet environments, perhaps the cradle of primordial cells, were probably quite diversified in their hostile properties due to their tumultuous origin. It is quite possible that various extremophiles have been the earliest colonizers of our planet and that they were followed by more “normal” organisms, progressively adapted to environments evolving slowly towards biotopes displaying a set of relatively benign properties as defined earlier. If this scenario is correct, the extremophiles could therefore be considered as relics, still colonizing environments which have escaped the process of anthropomorphic normalization.

The somewhat controversial phylogenetic tree of microorganisms (see “Phylogeny of extremophile organisms,” EOLSS on-line, 2002) has been reconstituted from the analysis of sequence differences in ribosomal RNA. Based on the pioneering work of Carl Woese, it indicates that thermophilic species are very close, in terms of phylogenetic distances, to the point of separation between bacteria and archaea. This has given rise to the idea that the primordial cell was a thermophile.

2. Effects of Extreme Conditions on Cellular Components

Once again, we may take as reference points cellular components typical of human cells, or of microorganisms experiencing non-extreme conditions of temperature, pH, salinity, pressure, and concentration of xenobiotic substances. To analyze the adaptation processes one has to define the effects of certain physico-chemical parameters on various cellular compounds. It seems reasonable to focus primarily on the basic components of a cell, which are the membranes, the nucleic acids, and the proteins. We select these macromolecular and supramolecular structures as indicators of adaptation because they are stabilized by weak interactions, which are themselves very sensitive to the properties of the environment. Moreover, they are obviously the main actors of cellular life, controlling the exchanges between the intracellular space and the extracellular environments, cell division, cell structure, and cell chemistry.

-
-
-

TO ACCESS ALL THE 42 PAGES OF THIS CHAPTER,
Visit: <http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sampleAllChapter.aspx>

Bibliography

- Aguilar, C. F.; Sanderson, I.; Moracci, M.; Ciaramella, M.; Nucci, R.; Rossi, M.; Pearl, L. 1997. Crystal Structure of the β -Glycosidase from the Hyperthermophilic Archaeon *Sulfolobus Solfataricus*: Resilience as a Key Factor in Thermostability. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, No. 271, pp. 789–802. [The first publication showing that the resilience of internal cavities occupied by water molecules can significantly contribute to the stability of an hyperthermophilic protein.]
- Albers, S. V.; Van de Vossenberg, L. C.; Driessen, A.; Konings, W. 2001. Bioenergetics and Solute Uptake under Extreme Conditions. *Extremophiles*, No. 5, pp. 285–94. [A review explaining how the membranes of extremophiles can transport solutes despite extreme environmental conditions.]
- Arakawa, T.; Bhat, R.; Timasheff, S. N. 1990. Why Preferential Hydration Does Not Always Stabilize the Native Structure of Globular Protein. *Biochemistry*, No. 29, pp. 1924–31. [A reconsideration of the exclusion principle and the preferential hydration process of proteins in the presence of certain additives.]
- Beadle, B. M.; Baase, W. A.; Wilson, D. B.; Gilkes, N.; Shoichet, B. K. 1999. Comparing the Thermodynamic Stabilities of a Related Thermophilic and Mesophilic Enzyme. *Biochemistry*, No. 38, pp. 2570–6. [A very good analysis of thermostability curves underlining also the relative importance of melting temperatures as criteria to evaluate the thermostability of a protein.]
- Biesecker, G.; Harris, J. I.; Thierry, J. C.; Wolker, J. E.; Wonacott, A. J. 1977. Sequence and Structure of D-glyceroldehyde 3-phosphate Dehydrogenase from *Bacillus Stearothermophilus*. *Nature*, No. 266, pp. 328–33. [An early comparison at the three-dimensional level of proteins from a mesophile and a thermophile.]
- Dalluge, J.; Hamamoto, T.; Horikoshi, K.; Morita, R. Y.; Stetter, K. O.; McCloskey, J. A. 1997. Post Transcriptional Modification of tRNA in Psychrophilic Bacteria. *Journal of Bacteriology*, No. 179, pp. 1918–23. [Describes the role played by post transcriptional modification in the flexibility of tRNA in psychrophiles.]
- DeLong, E. F.; Yayanos, A. A. 1985. Adaptation of the Membrane Lipids of a Deep-Sea Bacterium to Changes in Hydrostatic Pressure. *Science*, No. 228, pp. 1101–2. [Changes in fatty acid compositions as a function of the pressure used for the culture of a deep-sea bacterium.]
- Grogan, D. W. 1998. Hyperthermophiles and the Problem of DNA Instability. *Molecular Microbiology*, No. 28, pp. 1043–9. [A review discussing various aspects of DNA stability at high temperatures.]
- Gross, M.; Jaenicke, R. 1994. Proteins under Pressure. The Influence of High Hydrostatic Pressure on Structure, Function and Assembly of Proteins and Protein Complexes. *European Journal of Biochemistry*, No. 221, pp. 617–30. [An extensive discussion of the effect of pressure on proteins.]
- Jaenicke, R. 1999. Stability and Folding of Domain Proteins. *Prog. Biophys. Molec. Biol.*, No. 71, pp. 155–241. [An excellent review with case studies.]
- Kates, M.; Hagen, P. O. 1964. Influence of Temperature on Fatty Acid Composition of Psychrophilic and Mesophilic *Serratia* Species. *Canadian Journal of Biochemistry*, No. 42, pp. 481–88. [One of the first comparison of the membrane lipid compositions as a function of growth temperature.]
- Kawai, G.; Yamamoto, Y.; Kamimura, T.; Masegi, T.; Sekine, M.; Hata, T.; Iimori, T.; Watanabe, T.; Miyazawa, T.; Yokoyama, S. 1992. Conformational Rigidity of Specific Pyrimidine Residues in Trna Arises from Post Transcriptional Modifications that Enhance Steric Interaction Between the Base and the 2'-Hydroxyl Group. *Biochemistry*, No. 31, pp. 1040–6. [Describes the role played by 2'-O-methylation of ribose on the conformation and stability of tRNAs.]

Knoll, A. H. 1991. End of the Proterozoic Eon. *Scientific American*, No. 265, pp. 42–9. [Here it is explained that a drastic and sudden increase in O₂ level in the earth atmosphere gave rise to an explosion of multicellular organisms about 700 million years ago.]

Kobori, H.; Sullivan, C. W.; Shizuya, H. 1984. Heat Labile Alkaline Phosphatase from Antarctic Bacteria: Rapid 5' End Labeling of Nucleic Acids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, No. 81, pp. 6691–5. [The first report underlining the peculiar properties of psychrophilic enzymes.]

Kowalak, J. A.; Dalluge, J. J.; McCloskey, J. A.; Stetter, K. O. 1994. The Role of Post Transcriptional Modification in Stabilization of Transfer RNA from Hyperthermophiles. *Biochemistry*, No. 33, pp. 7869–76. [The first systematic investigation related to the chemical modifications of tRNA in hyperthermophiles.]

Krulwich, T. A. 1995. Alkaliphiles: Basic Molecular Problems of pH Tolerance and Bioenergetics. *Molecular Microbiology* No. 25, pp. 403–10. [A very good review.]

Langworthy, T. A. 1982. Lipids of Thermoplasmas. *Methods in Enzymology*, No. 88, pp. 396–406. [Study of a peculiar acidophilic archaeobacterium lacking a cell wall.]

MacDonald, I. G. 1988. Application of the Theory of Homeoviscous Adaptation to Excitable Membranes: Pre-Synaptic Processes. *Biochemical Journal*, No. 256, pp. 313–27. [Investigates the modification of the homeoviscosity of membranes as a function of different parameters, especially pressure.]

MacElroy, R. D. 1974. Some Comments on the Evolution of Extremophiles. *Biosystems*, No. 6, pp. 74–5. [The paper in which the word “extremophile” was first used as a definition for organisms able to colonize environments hostile to mesophiles.]

Matin, A. 1990. Keeping a Neutral Cytoplasm; The Bioenergetics of Obligate Acidophiles. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, No. 75, pp. 307–18. [An early review showing how acidophiles maintain the pH of their intracellular space at neutral.]

Mevarech, M.; Frolow, F.; Gloss, L. M. 2000. Halophilic Enzymes: Protein with a Grain of Salt. *Biophys. Chem.*, No. 86, pp. 155–64. [A recent discussion related to the adaptation of halophilic malate dehydrogenase and ferredoxin to high salt concentrations.]

Michels, P. C.; Hei, D.; Clark, D. S. 1996. Pressure Effects on Enzyme Activity and Stability at High Temperatures. *Advances in Protein Chemistry*, No. 48, pp. 341–76. [An excellent review in which the increase in activity of a hydrogenase under pressure is discussed.]

Mozhaev, V.; Heremans, K.; Frank, J.; Masson, P.; Balny, C. 1996. High Pressure Effects on Protein Structure and Function. *Proteins*, No. 24, pp. 81–91. [A very good review.]

Noon, K. R.; Bruenger, E.; McCloskey, J. A. 1998. Post Transcriptional Modifications in 16S and 23S rRNAs of the Archaeal Hyperthermophile *Sulfolobus Solfataricus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, No. 180, pp. 2883–8. [A systematic investigation of the chemical modifications occurring in ribosomal RNAs—discussion of their role.]

Oren, A. 1999. Bioenergetic Aspects of Halophilism. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, No. 63, pp. 334–48. [A review describing the osmotic problems halophiles have to face to be energetically competent.]

Pace, C. N. 1992. Contribution of the Hydrophobic Effect to Globular Protein Stability. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, No. 226, pp. 29–35. [A very good discussion.]

Perutz, M. F.; Raidt, H. 1975. Stereochemical Basis of Heat Stability in Bacterial Ferredoxins and in Haemoglobin A2. *Nature*, No. 255, pp. 256–9. [The first discussion of the structural parameters responsible for the stability of thermophilic proteins.]

Russell, N. J.; Fukunaga, N. 1990. A Comparison of Thermal Adaptation of Membrane Lipids in Psychrophilic and Thermophilic Bacteria. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, No. 75, pp. 171–82. [A review comparing the mechanisms of thermal adaptation of the membrane of thermophiles and psychrophiles.]

Siebenaller, J. F.; Somero, G. 1979. Pressure Adaptative Differences in the Binding and Catalytic Properties of Muscletype (M4) Lactate Dehydrogenases of Shallow- and Deep-Living Marine Fishes. *Journal of Comparative Physiology*, No. 129, pp. 295–300. [An early discussion of the effect of pressure on the catalytic properties of enzymes originating in environments of different depths.]

Singer, S. J.; Nicholson, G. L. 1972. The Fluid Mosaic Model of the Structure of Membranes. *Science*, No. 175, pp. 720–31. [First description of the structure of a membrane as a bilayer of lipids.]

Somero, G. N. 1977. Temperature as a Selective Factor in Protein Evolution: the Adaptational Strategy of Compromise. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, No. 194, pp. 175–88. [An exhaustive discussion of the effects of temperature on biological systems.]

Swezey, R. R.; Somero, G. N. 1982. Polymerization, Thermodynamic and Structural Stabilities of Skeletal Muscle Actins from Vertebrates Adapted to Different Temperatures and Hydrostatic Pressures. *Biochemistry*, No. 21, pp. 4496–503. [An early comparison of the effect of pressure on the polymerization of actin monomers from fishes originating from different depths.]

Takami, H.; Inoue, A.; Fuji, F.; Horikoshi, K. 1997. Microbial Flora in the Deepest Seamount of the Mariana Trench. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, No. 152, pp. 279–85. [Details the biodiversity of prokaryotic organisms and other encountered at more than 10,000 m depth.]

Van de Vossenberg, J. L.; Ublink-Kok, T.; Elferink, M. G.; Driessen, J. M.; Konings, W. 1995. Ion Permeability of the Cytoplasmic Membrane Limits the Maximum Growth Temperature of Bacteria and Archaea. *Molecular Microbiology*, No. 18, pp. 925–32. [Review explaining the effect of temperature on the ion permeability of the membranes of prokaryotic organisms.]

Vekovski, V.; Schlatter, D.; Zuber, A. 1990. Structure and Function of L-Lactate Dehydrogenase from Thermophilic, Mesophilic and Psychrophilic Bacteria. *Biological Chemistry Hoppenseyler*, No. 371, pp. 103–10. [The first comparative study of the structure of enzymes originating in different temperature environments.]

Vieille, C.; Zeikus, G. 2001. Hyperthermophilic Enzymes: Sources, Uses and Molecular Mechanisms for Thermostability. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, No. 65, pp. 1–43. [An outstanding review.]

Wiame, J. M.; Harpigny, R.; Dothey, R. G. 1959. A New Type of Acetobacter: Acetobacter Acidophilum. *Journal of General Microbiology*, No. 20, pp. 165–72. [The first exhaustive description of an acidophile responsible for vinegar production.]

Woese, C. R.; Fox, G. E. 1997. Phylogenetic Structure of the Prokaryotic Domain: The Primary Kingdoms. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, No. 74, pp. 5088–90. [Proposed phylogenetic tree, positioning archaeobacteria and eubacteria relative to eukaryotic organisms.]

Yayanos, A. A.; Dietz, A. S.; Van Bostel, R. 1972. Isolation of a Deepsea Barophilic Bacterium and some of its Growth Characteristics. *Science*, No. 205, pp. 808–10. [First barophilic bacterium isolated from a deep-sea amphipod.]

Yayanos, A. A.; Dietz, A. S.; Van Boxtel, R. 1981. Obligately Barophilic Bacterium from the Mariana Trench. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, USA*, No. 78, pp. 5212–15. [About the characteristics and culture conditions of an obligate barophile.]

Yumoto, I.; Yamazaki, K.; Hishinuma, M.; Nodasaka, Y.; Inoue, N.; Kawasaki, K. 2000. Identification of Facultatively Alkaliphilic *Bacillus* sp. Strain YN-200 and its Fatty Acid Composition and Cell–Surface Aspects Depending on Culture Ph. *Extremophiles*, No. 5, pp. 285–90. [One of the very few study related to the adaptation of membrane lipids in alkaliphiles.]

Zecchinon, L.; Claverie, P.; Collins, T.; D’Amico, S.; Delille, D.; Feller, G.; Georgette, D.; Gratia, E.; Hoyoux, A.; Meeuwis, M. A.; Sonan, G.; Gerday, C. 2001. Did Psychrophilic Enzymes Really Win the Challenge? *Extremophiles*, No. 5, pp. 313–21. [A recent review related to the adaptation of enzymes from psychrophiles]

Zobel, C. E. 1952. Bacterial Life at the Bottom of the Philippine Trench. *Science*, No. 115, pp. 507–8. [The first publication reporting the properties of a deep-sea bacterium.]

Biographical Sketch

Charles Gerday received a B.Sc. degree in Chemistry in 1961 from the University of Liège in Belgium and was appointed research assistant in the Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine in the same university. In 1964, he became lecturer at the Department of Biochemistry of the University of Montreal (Canada), and obtained a Ph.D. degree in 1967 with a thesis focusing on the synthesis of tritium labeled, optically active amino acids. Back in Belgium in 1967, he was appointed successively lecturer and senior lecturer in the Department of General Biology at the University of Liège where his research explored the regulation of muscle contraction by calcium-binding proteins. In 1972, he was a post-doctoral research fellow in the Laboratory of Molecular Biophysics at the University of Oxford. From 1981, his research activities were centered on the molecular adaptation of enzymes produced by cold-adapted organisms such as fish and bacteria originating from the Antarctic. He participated to several expeditions in the Antarctic. In 1988, he was appointed Professor of Biochemistry and Head of the Laboratory of Biochemistry at the University of Liège. He is the author of 160 original publications, and has been Chairman of the Belgian Biophysical Society since 1994.